

Unknown

From: Bob Bell [bbell@frbcmh.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:49 AM
To: 'John Sodrel'; 'Eddie'
Cc: Tibbles; Kristy R (DFG); DFG; DWC Wolf Comments (DFG sponsored); Taylor; Kenton P (DFG); DFG; Commissioner (DFG sponsored); Lloyd; Denby S (DFG); Soukup; Jerry L (DFG); Larsen; Douglas N (DFG); Clarke; Ronald G (DFG); Titus; Kimberly (DFG); Hilderbrand; Grant (DFG); DelFrate; Gino (DFG); DFG; DWC Public Information Region 3 (DFG sponsored); James; David D (DFG); Nowlin; Roy A (DFG); Becker; Earl F (DFG); mark.mcnay@alaska.gov; cfeener@catg.org; cjudkins@mtaonline.net; tspraker@fishgame.state.ak.us; ted.spraker@alaska.gov; cliff.judkins@alaska.gov; ben.grussendorf@alaska.gov; richard.burley@alaska.gov; Fleener; Craig L (DFG); bob.bell@alaska.gov; lewis.bradley@alaska.gov; Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Palin; Sarah H (GOV); aoc@alaska.net; aocpac@msn.com; hunterheritagefoundationak@msn.com; info@protectmoose.com; office@alaskaprohunter.org; jklutsch@bristolbay.com; kelly@vrem.com; rfithian@alaskaprohunter.org; akpen@aol.com; nwebster@gci.net; alaskanate@excite.com; theperrins@rainypasslodge.net; mlitzen@kenai.net; hunting@akmountainsafaris.com; wildsue@aptalaska.net; info@kenaisci.org; Lewis; Larry L (DFG); markburdick@hotmail.com; fishart@alaska.com; creditcardmike@yahoo.com; admin@aksafariclub.org; president@aksafariclub.org; akhunter@hot-shot.com; vicepresident@aksafariclub.org; treasurer@aksafariclub.org; bwanamike@ak.net; Parnell; Sean R (GOV)

Subject: RE: Thank you!

John: Once again thank you for your kind and well thought out comments on our game management practices. I am sure they will be discussed at our next meeting. I would never use the descriptive titles you assign yourself in this email, but hey, if the shoe fits! I do disagree with you that those descriptions fit most Americans. Just a select few who claim them for themselves such as you have. By the way, John, I have heard that the federal government has a predator control program in your state where they shoot coyotes from airplanes and also have a poison program for coyotes. I hope you are not too busy helping us to look into what is happening in your back yard. If you need any advice let us know. Yours truly, Bob

From: John Sodrel [mailto:jesodrel@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:56 AM

To: Eddie

Cc: kristy_tibbles@fishgame.state.ak.us; dfg.dwc.wolfcomments@alaska.gov; kenton.taylor@alaska.gov; dfg.commissioner@alaska.gov; denby.lloyd@alaska.gov; jerry.soukup@alaska.gov; doug.larsen@alaska.gov; ron.clarke@alaska.gov; kim.titus@alaska.gov; grant.hilderbrand@alaska.gov; gino.delfrate@alaska.gov; dfg.dwc.publnfor3@alaska.gov; david.james@alaska.gov; roy.nowlin@alaska.gov; earl.becker@alaska.gov; mark.mcnay@alaska.gov; bbell@frbcmh.com; cfeener@catg.org; cjudkins@mtaonline.net; tspraker@fishgame.state.ak.us; ted.spraker@alaska.gov; cliff.judkins@alaska.gov; ben.grussendorf@alaska.gov; richard.burley@alaska.gov; craig.fleener@alaska.gov; bob.bell@alaska.gov; lewis.bradley@alaska.gov; governor@gov.state.ak.us; sarah.palín@alaska.gov; aoc@alaska.net; aocpac@msn.com; hunterheritagefoundationak@msn.com; info@protectmoose.com; office@alaskaprohunter.org; jklutsch@bristolbay.com; kelly@vrem.com; rfithian@alaskaprohunter.org; akpen@aol.com; nwebster@gci.net; alaskanate@excite.com; theperrins@rainypasslodge.net; mlitzen@kenai.net; hunting@akmountainsafaris.com; wildsue@aptalaska.net; info@kenaisci.org; larry_lewis@fishgame.state.ak.us; markburdick@hotmail.com; fishart@alaska.com; creditcardmike@yahoo.com; admin@aksafariclub.org; president@aksafariclub.org; akhunter@hot-shot.com; vicepresident@aksafariclub.org; treasurer@aksafariclub.org; bwanamike@ak.net; sean.parnell@alaska.gov

Subject: RE: Thank you!

--> If not wanting other sentient beings such as wolves and bears to be slaughtered barbarically from the air makes me a "zealot" or "lunatic" or "tyrant," then I'm proud to be one, as are the vast majority of other people in this great country!

10/23/2009

--> Allowing the massacring of wolves and bears from planes because a handful of people get their jollies from it but against the wishes of a majority of Alaskans, who have voted twice to ban the practice, is the "real world" or "logical" or "reasonable"?!

--> You call gunning down helpless animals from planes part of the "natural world," a "predatory skill," "managing and competing," something that has gone on "since time immemorial," and, most ludicrously, "hunting"?! Even most true hunters don't believe any of that bullshit! You're an even more despicable excuse for a human being than I thought.

--> Inhumanely slaughtering wolves and bears from the air, or from anywhere, is part of the "natural world and God's plan" and opposing/denouncing it makes me a "hedonist non-believer"?! What fucking "church" do you go to or phony Bible do you read? Any doubt that you'll someday be rotting in Hell is now gone!

--> Sanctioning and/or participating in the barbaric, uncivilized, inhumane, cruel, evil, needless massacring of other sentient beings, especially from planes, is "good work"?! Fuck you!

My sincere dream is that every plane involved in this abominable endeavor crashes and burns, except for one that would be predatorily "managing" and "hunting" you! :)

Your "idiot antagonist",
John Sodrel

Eddie <mtnman@mtaonline.net> wrote:
Bob,

You should know by now that the zealots from America (Remember that place? Used to be known as the home of the brave and the land of the free) have become completely dis-connected from the real world. There isn't any logic a reasonable person can effect to debate such lunacy. Bottom line, John and his type are tyrants engulfed in their own self-righteousness.

As a native born Alaskan, and more importantly a native born predator from planet earth (I have no idea where aliens such as Sodrel come from) I completely support the 100,000 plus years of hunting traditions inherited by me from my ancestors. That includes embracing the reality that my predatory skills derive from cognitive ability, not fangs and claws, and the concept that managing and competing for prey species is something that has gone on in the natural world (something Sodrel obviously knows nothing about) since time immemorial.

If anyone in this discussion is going to hell, it is your antagonists who continue to hold close to the belief that they are somehow apart from the natural world and God's plan. Their's is the way of the hedonist non-believers who in their arrogance believe they can erect heaven here on earth. As for me, I will continue being the natural born predator God created me to be, so keep up the good work.

Eddie

P.S. as in your case I am sure this idiot will respond to my comments. My advice to you and everyone else is don't waste your time paying any further attention to this kind of nonsense.

From: John Sodrel [<mailto:jesodrel@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 8:05 PM

To: Bob Bell; kristy_tibbles@fishgame.state.ak.us; dfg.dwc.wolfcomments@alaska.gov; kenton.taylor@alaska.gov; dfg.commissioner@alaska.gov; denby.lloyd@alaska.gov; jerry.soukup@alaska.gov; doug.larsen@alaska.gov; ron.clarke@alaska.gov; kim.titus@alaska.gov; grant.hilderbrand@alaska.gov; gino.delfrate@alaska.gov; dfg.dwc.pubinfor3@alaska.gov; david.james@alaska.gov; roy.nowlin@alaska.gov;

10/23/2009

earl.becker@alaska.gov; mark.mcnay@alaska.gov; cfeener@catg.org; cjudkins@mtaonline.net;
tspraker@fishgame.state.ak.us; ted.spraker@alaska.gov; cliff.judkins@alaska.gov; ben.grussendorf@alaska.gov;
richard.burley@alaska.gov; craig.fleener@alaska.gov; bob.bell@alaska.gov; lewis.bradley@alaska.gov;
governor@gov.state.ak.us; sarah.palin@alaska.gov
Cc: aoc@alaska.net; aocpac@msn.com; hunterheritagefoundationak@msn.com; info@protectmoose.com;
office@alaskaprohunter.org; jkluttsch@bristolbay.com; kelly@vrem.com; rfithian@alaskaprohunter.org;
akpen@aol.com; nwebster@gcl.net; alaskanate@excite.com; theperrins@rainypasslodge.net; mlitzen@kenai.net;
hunting@akmountainsafaris.com; wildsue@aptalaska.net; info@kenaisci.org; larry_lewis@fishgame.state.ak.us;
markburdick@hotmail.com; fishart@alaska.com; creditcardmike@yahoo.com; admin@aksafariclub.org;
president@aksafariclub.org; akhunter@hot-shot.com; vicepresident@aksafariclub.org; mtnman@mtaonline.net;
treasurer@aksafariclub.org; bwanamike@ak.net
Subject: RE: Thank you!

Bob (et al.),

- a) You have no right to "manage" (i.e., massacre) wildlife, nor does anyone else.
- b) Chasing down animals with planes and shooting them with shotguns is *humane*?!
- c) Wolves and bears killing moose and caribou is called nature, you dumbass.
- d) "Helpless" and "innocent" refers to you slaughtering them, not to their natural survival and hunting skills.
- e) I have no problem with people eating moose and caribou, so your whole infantile "Safeway" comparison is irrelevant and a crock of shit.
- f) The issue isn't people killing moose and caribou for food. The issue is some Alaskans barbarically slaughtering wolves (and bears) and lying about the reason why!
- g) You and your immoral, asshole buddies are doing all this in direct violation of federal law and against the wishes of a majority of principled citizens in your state.

So, go fuck yourself and have fun rotting in Hell!!

Warmly,
John Sodrel
New Albany, IN

Bob Bell <bbell@frbcmh.com> wrote:

John: Thank you for your pleasant and insightful email in regard to our management of wildlife in Alaska. With informed citizens such as yourself I am sure all of the wild critters in your state are carefully and humanely managed. In case you are not aware 80% of the prey species in Alaska that die each year are killed by the helpless and innocent bears and wolves you refer to in your email. I suspect our moose and caribou donâ€™t see them in the same light as you do, but then I am sure you are much more informed than they. As far as you visiting our state, I would have to discourage you from doing that as there are a number of vile, despicable and loathsome people here who do eat moose and caribou because they donâ€™t have a Safeway to buy meat from or have a slaughterhouse to do their killing for them. I am sure you have both so you can be a person of conscience and be nobler than these poor wretches. By the way, these vile people harvest about 5% of the animals each year. In regard to your wish for the disposition of our souls I hope you are mistaken, but if not I am sure I will see you there. Sincerely Bob

From: John Sodrel [mailto:jesodrel@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 1:55 PM

To: kristy_tibbles@fishgame.state.ak.us; dfg.dwc.wolfcomments@alaska.gov; kenton.taylor@alaska.gov;
dfg.commissioner@alaska.gov; denby.lloyd@alaska.gov; jerry.soukup@alaska.gov; doug.larsen@alaska.gov;
ron.clarke@alaska.gov; kim.titus@alaska.gov; grant.hilderbrand@alaska.gov; gino.delfrate@alaska.gov;
dfg.dwc.pubinfor3@alaska.gov; david.james@alaska.gov; roy.nowlin@alaska.gov; earl.becker@alaska.gov;
mark.mcnay@alaska.gov; bbell@frbcmh.com; cfeener@catg.org; cjudkins@mtaonline.net;
tspraker@fishgame.state.ak.us; ted.spraker@alaska.gov; cliff.judkins@alaska.gov; ben.grussendorf@alaska.gov;

10/23/2009

richard.burley@alaska.gov; craig.fleener@alaska.gov; bob.bell@alaska.gov; lewis.bradley@alaska.gov; governor@gov.state.ak.us; sarah.palin@alaska.gov
Cc: aoc@alaska.net; aocpac@msn.com; hunterheritagefoundationak@msn.com; info@protectmoose.com; office@alaskaprohunter.org; jklutsch@bristolbay.com; kelly@vrem.com; rfithian@alaskaprohunter.org; akpen@aol.com; nwebster@gci.net; alaskanate@excite.com; theperrins@rainypasslodge.net; mlitzen@kenai.net; hunting@akmountainsafaris.com; wildsue@aptalaska.net; info@kenaisci.org; larry_lewis@fishgame.state.ak.us; markburdick@hotmail.com; fishart@alaska.com; creditcardmike@yahoo.com; admin@aksafariclub.org; president@aksafariclub.org; akhunter@hot-shot.com; vicepresident@aksafariclub.org; mtnman@mtaonline.net; treasurer@aksafariclub.org; bwanamike@ak.net
Subject: Thank you!

Dear Alaskan wildlife officials,

Just wanted to thank you for making my decision, and that of everyone I know, an easy one to never set foot in your state or knowingly support anything that has to do with Alaska. Your continued slaughtering of wolves from the air and otherwise, and decision to do the same to bears, is reprehensible in the extreme and will not be tolerated by people of conscience!

I don't give a damn about your bogus, unfounded rationale of increasing ungulate populations for subsistence--read trophy and sport--hunting, only a vile, despicable, loathsome human being could approve of and/or participate in such a barbaric, inhumane endeavor. What the hell gives you the right to arbitrarily massacre other sentient beings to euphemistically "manage" them?!

Unfortunately we don't live in a perfect world, but if we did anyone involved in and/or supportive of these decisions would suffer the same fate as what is being cruelly inflicted on helpless, innocent wolves and bears. Although I'm not a religious person, I take great comfort in knowing that all of you will one day be burning in Hell for your evil actions!

Scornfully,
John Sodrel
New Albany, IN

Be a better friend, newshound, and know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. [Try it now.](#)

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013591

Unknown


From: mattgill@gci.net on behalf of Matt Gill [mattgill@gci.net]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:26 AM

To: Bishop; Clark C (DOL); Notti; Emil R (CED); Galvin; Patrick S (DOR); Bales; Johanna D (DOR); Scavera; Paula D (DOL); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Balash; Joseph R (GOV); Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); Smith; Lynne M (GOV); Palin; Sarah H (GOV); Parnell; Sean R (GOV); Hooley; Jason M (GOV); Davis; Mark R (CED); Robinson; Daniel C (DOL)

Subject: Alaska State Home Building Association Reception Wednesday 18th at the Hanger

The members of ASHBA would be honored by your attendance.



if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Matt Gill

Legislative Consultants
P.O. Box 100593
Anchorage, AK 99510
907-586-2565 Juneau Office
907-250-2420 Cell

10/23/2009

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:18 AM
To: neil wetherington
Subject: RE: Plz consider these words...

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

From: neil wetherington [mailto:montanakidhammer@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 4:38 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Lieutenant Governor Sean Parnell (GOV sponsored); Thomas, Joe (LAA); Miller, Derek (LAA); Rep. John Harris; John Coghill; David Guttenberg; Kawasaki, Scott Jw (LAA); McGuire, Lesil L (LAA); Jay Ramras; Stevens, Gary L (LAA)
Subject: Plz consider these words...

Dear Governor, Lt. Governor, Senators and Congress member;

I'm writing to ask for your support to we the shooting training program. I'm not only echoing Mr. Kruger's words, but I am a NRA Certified Instructor for nigh on 20 years and have a long list of students needing a range to receive their proper firearm training. Please consider these words:

From: bronko77@hotmail.com
Subject: Shooting Range Closure
Date: Mon, 17 Mar 2008 14:46:41 -0800

Hello Everybody!!

This is not an AK FNRA update.
I am writing you today as an NRA member.

Recently the Senate Finance Committee decided to pull the funding for the 3 Hunter Education Shooting Ranges we have in the State. Fairbanks, Juneau and Rabbit Creek. Without funding these three ranges will have to close their doors essentially CRIPPLING the shooting sports here in Alaska.

10/23/2009

I am writing you today asking that you contact the Senators on the Senate Finance Committee to urge them to pass an amendment to the budget bill to include funding for our three Hunter Education Ranges. Below are the contact email addresses for the Senators on the Senate Finance Committee.

Senator Joe Thomas@legis.state.ak.us ; Senator Charlie Huggins@legis.state.ak.us ;
Senator Fred Dyson@legis.state.ak.us ; Senator Kim Elton@legis.state.ak.us
Senator Bert Stedman@legis.state.ak.us ; Senator Lyman Hoffman@legis.state.ak.us ;
Senator Donald Olson@legis.state.ak.us ;

Time is of the essence on this issue. So if you could please contact them as soon as possible.

If anything we need more ranges in Alaska not less.

Thanks for your help on this issue.

Sincerely,
Brad Kruger
AK NRA Field Rep
907-299-0784

Thanks,

Neil Wetherington,

Montana Kid Hammer, 

Name me a War that Cowboys ever Lost!

Looking for last minute shopping deals? [Find them fast with Yahoo! Search.](#)

10/23/2009

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:06 AM

To: 'ucida@acsalaska.net'

Subject: RE: oppose proposal #44823 in HB 311

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

From: Audrey [mailto:ucida@acsalaska.net]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:57 AM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: oppose proposal #44823 in HB 311

I am opposed to proposal #44823 in HB 311 which would give an appropriation of funds for a boat launch with 75-100 capacity parking on the Kasilof River at Trujillo's Landing - Ed's Kasilof Seafoods. There has been no public notification, concept design, or public concern addressed. They are trying to circumvent the public process. A boat launch would devastate habitat along the Lower Kasilof River, this fishery cannot withstand that kind of pressure. The two-stroke engines now banned from the Kenai would now go to the Lower Kasilof and the health of the river would be at stake. It would also disrupt long established, quiet local neighborhoods. Please do not pass this in the budget.

Thank you,
Audrey Stephens
Kasilof, Alaska

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013595

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 5:05 PM
To: Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Re: Governor's statement on House vote - please approve

Sounds great to change.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 15:39:33

To: "Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov>, ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>, "Kelly, Russell T (GOV)" <russ.kelly@alaska.gov>, "Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>

Subject: RE: Governor's statement on House vote - please approve

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Should be changed to

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Am I too much of a softie? KJR

Karen J. Rehfeld, Director

Office of Management & Budget

465-3568

karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov <mailto:karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>

From: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 3:11 PM


To: ExternalEmailgsp; Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Kelly, Russell T (GOV); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)

Subject: Governor's statement on House vote - please approve

All,

Please approve quote. Reporters are quickly approaching deadlines.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted



Sharon Leighow

Deputy Press Secretary

Deputy Communications Director

(907) 269-7450 Anchorage

(907) 465-4031 Juneau

(907) 240-7943 cell

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 4:52 PM
To: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV); Ruaro; Randall P (GOV)
Subject: Ktuu poll on vetoes

On their website

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 4:01 PM
To: Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Re: Governor's statement on House vote - please approve
Importance: High

Great, looks good - anyone with anything to add? If not- it's good to go.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov>

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 15:10:36

To: Sarah Palin <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>, "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>, "Kelly, Russell T (GOV)" <russ.kelly@alaska.gov>, "Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>

Subject: Governor's statement on House vote - please approve

All,

Please approve quote. Reporters are quickly approaching deadlines.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Sharon Leighow

Deputy Press Secretary

Deputy Communications Director

(907) 269-7450 Anchorage

(907) 465-4031 Juneau

(907) 240-7943 cell

Unknown

From: Rutherford, Marty K (DNR) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MKRUTHERFORD]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 2:37 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Re: Gasline Communications

Governor,

I concur with Bruce Ander's most recent e-mail to you. We are in the middle of a monumental evaluation task on the TC' proposal and LNG options and we have involved the best experts available. Unfortunately the complexities of the projects and required evaluation of these projects, and the iterative aspect of the evaluation, make setting a special session date very difficult. Nonetheless we are forcing a decision by the end of this week. What I can tell you now is we will absolutely NOT be ready for a special session by mid-April. A more realistic question is can we be ready by mid-May. Once the analysis of data is complete, the Commissioners must make a decision and then it will take 6 weeks to write-up the required Finding. Finally, I am feeling very badly because it appears we have not kept you adequately up to speed. I have assumed that you were being provided regular updates. I now believe I, or perhaps Pat, Tom, and I, need to be providing you more extensive briefings on some regular schedule that works for you. Please advise when we might talk about where we are in the process, and what we are learning, as well as some of the challenges we are facing. We would love to have your participation, input and guidance.

Thanks for your time,

Marty

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>
To: Irwin, Tom E (DNR); Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Galvin, Patrick S (DOR)
Sent: Tue Mar 18 12:08:58 2008
Subject: Fw: Gasline Communications

Here's what I sent to bruce re: my soon-to-be-given response to lawmakers and reporters on what we're planning for AGIA review business- they're losing patience with me not having dates and times for them to plan around:

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 19:52:11
To: "Bruce Anders" <bruce.anders@alaska.gov>

Subject: Re: Gasline Communications

I'm back in Juneau. We're losing ground, I believe, in the public discussion on what we're considering or not considering under AGIA. Even before Meg comes on we need to assure Alaskans that we're reasonable and fairly considering all ideas, including Hickel's call for continued consideration of Alaskan gasline project(s). It should not have to be a reminder via his op-eds - to Alaskans, that of course this consideration is the right thing to do.

Also, legislators and reporters want to know now what the timeline is on review bc they're feeling like (as some have told me) we either don't know what we're doing, or are keeping info from them re: timeline and their ability to plan their summer with families, campaigns, etc. I need to tell them asap what the gas team is thinking on this - I haven't got any answer yet and they're losing patience with me. I'm going to tell them to stay in Juneau after they gavel out April 13 and we'll delve right into sp session bc they don't want to leave their places of residence here in Juneau and risk huge inconvenience because we're not telling them dates and times we need them for AGIA business.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Anders, Bruce F (DNR)" <bruce.anders@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 15 Mar 2008 17:51:29

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Gasline Communications

Re #3, if it was more convenient, I could do Monday in Anchorage (instead of Tuesday in Juneau), maybe drive you to the airport?

----- Original Message -----

From: Anders, Bruce F (DNR)

To: ExternalEmailgsp <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sat Mar 15 16:06:03 2008

Subject: Gasline Communications

Governor,

1. Thanks for forwarding your concerns re TC bridge shipper option. Agree with you that we need to reassure Alaskans that TC is by no means pre-ordained. Our evaluation of LNG

options will be extremely robust -- four idealized (i.e., better than AGPA or Sinopec) LNG options, that will fully expose the strength (or, possibly, relative weakness) of TC app. When I explained this approach to concerned leggies, they basically said, "that's all I needed to hear, thanks." We need to better get that message out to Alaskans. I will work on that.

2. Meg update: We had a great couple of hours together this am. Isabella joined the meeting (see attached -- check out the two pair of eyes!), and added some really good insight from the Baby Boomer perspective (she seemed far better in tune than Halcro). Though Meg and I have been doing lots of talking over the past few weeks, we got together today to solidify her prospective role. We have a proposal: Privileged or Personal Material Redacted
Privileged or Personal Material Redacted Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted If you green light this, Meg will work directly with Tibbles to get er done.

3. Request: I am in the process of updating my gasline Outreach Plan. But there are some key important matters I need to chat with you about, particularly concerning the National Outreach Plan. Since (due to the ANSCA chats), I know you've got a few free mins on Tuesday, could I get 30-45 of those (preferably just you and me)? Marty and I spoke at length earlier today, and she asked me to contact you and request it. If okay, let me know, and I will work with Janice to set up.

Thanks!

Bruce

Unknown

From: Kelly, Russell T (GOV) [russ.kelly@alaska.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2008 8:07 AM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV)
Subject: Governor making the right call on supplemental budget
FDNM gets it at least...

Capital is capital

Governor making the right call on supplemental budget

Published Wednesday, March 19, 2008

A budget can be a dynamic beast, sometimes born of little more than a hazy crystal ball and a heap of educated guesses that metamorphose into sometimes frightening forms through a fiscal year.

But the beast should at least have recognizable parts — an operating budget, a capital budget, a supplemental budget. Some emergency reserves are part of the picture, too. When Gov. Sarah Palin insists that \$70 million in capital projects that she vetoed last summer not be shifted to last year's supplemental budget, she is on solid footing. She said putting the items in the supplemental is simply inappropriate. Alaskans will see the logic in her stance.

Still, the state House voted unanimously Monday and 38-1 on Tuesday to pass the supplemental budget with the \$70 million in projects included.

The Senate agreed on Tuesday, passing the supplemental budget 15-5. The bill now goes to the governor for her signature — and quite possibly some line-item vetoes. Lawmakers can try to override the vetoes, or they could try to put these items back in the 2009 capital budget.

With a near-unanimous vote for the supplemental budget in the House, it appears that going the veto-override route may be tempting to legislators.

Indeed, the supplemental budget manages billions of dollars. There are factors in that bill that are extraordinarily positive. It is a popular one overall.

And, admittedly, there are some items among that \$70 million for Fairbanks that we would like to see in the state's budget. Our delegation does need local support for these things, which include improvements to the J.P. Jones Community Development Center and reconstruction of the Tanana Valley Sportsmen's Association building, among other projects.

But backtracking to insert these vetoed items into a supplemental budget, however popular, doesn't fit the purpose of a supplemental budget. These expenses, though small in relation to the total package, are hangers-on to what is otherwise good legislation. The

10/23/2009

problem is that they do not have to be there and, in fact, there is a better and more logical place for them.

It may be feasible in the politics of the moment to insert \$70 million in the supplemental budget, but the public understands what is a capital budget item and where those expenses should be logged; a capital expense is a capital expense.

Legislators should fight to put the items into the 2009 capital budget.

If they lose that struggle, at least they were fighting the right fight.

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013606

Unknown

From: cindysmi@acsalaska.net
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 9:00 AM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Cc: Lieutenant Governor Sean Parnell (GOV sponsored); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Rep.Mike.Chenault@legis.state.ak.us
Subject: Veto F&G#44823- Kasilof boat launch

March 19, 2008
State of Alaska
Office of the Governor
Juneau, Alaska

Re: Lower Kasilof Boat Launch, FY2009 Governors Capital Budget Appropriation Department of Fish and Game, proposal #44823 in SB256

Honorable Governor Palin:

I understand that Fish and Game proposal #44823 is in your capital budget, but I would like to request that you veto this funding. How can F&G get funding to buy private property, to put in a public access motorized boat launch, before there has been any environmental assessments, public concerns, and input from the local residents, on how this will impact the river and our community. We do not want any motorized public access this far up the river, if there is a need for a motorized boat launch then it should be centrally located at the mouth of the Kasilof River where all the other boat traffic is located. We are concerned that once F&G get funding for this proposal we will have no recourse to keep this out of our neighborhood and protect the Kasilof River.

I am aware that Fish and Game say they are going to evaluate two sites for this proposal, the Trujillo parcel and Foxhill Estate Subdivision. I cannot support the funding of this proposal because the Trujillo parcel is where Kenai River Sportfishing Assoc. targeted, while floating with Fish and Game, as their ideal boat launch, that will be used primarily for commercial guide drift boat interest, but in order to obtain the use of Federal money from the tackle tax, they need to create a motorized boat launch for public use. I am concerned that what is happening to the Kenai River with overuse of motorized boats and increased traffic, how can we let the same devastation happen to the Kasilof River? As residents of this community, do we not have the right to express our concerns and have them heard before F&G have the funds to purchase one of these sites?

There are many people, myself included, that are very concerned with the issue of more traffic on the Kasilof River, especially with motorized public access, when the Kenai River is being purged of two-stroke motors, where will all those displaced dipnetters and fisherman end up? This is bigger than F&G wanting to use access through our neighborhood, this is going to be devastating to the Kasilof River and our community.

Last year you vetoed this project. Unfortunately, it has passed the House and Senate process this year, I urge you to veto it again.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Smith
cindysmi@acsalaska.net
262-8432

10/23/2009

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 12:41 PM
To: noel@alaska.com
Subject: RE: Legal_and_lawsuits

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 12:43 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Legal_and_lawsuits

Web mail from: Mr. Noel Rea
address: Box 135 Wrangell AK 99929

MESSAGE:

Please consider the broader picture in the 'debate' with the legislature on the supplemental capital projects. Much has been done regarding funds being saved and improved ethics among both the house and the senate. Please consider accommodating the request for the formally vetoed capital projects in the spirit of moving forward on larger issues such as the gas line and health care which deserve your time.

I think you have clearly made your point and the public has appreciated this effort however I think it may be time to accept these projects and move on. I don't agree with some of the projects either and perhaps you could target the larger appropriations (those at \$5 million or greater) for a bond package. Items like the Port of Anchorage would benefit from greater discussion of need and impact because the project is incredibly large.

The greater fiscal control is kept and needed on larger items while smaller communities with smaller requests can be helped with minimal impact made on the overall budget.

Thanks,

Noel Rea

noel@alaska.com

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 12:37 PM
To: Irwin, Tom E (DNR)
Subject: Re: AGIA

I'll make the time - everyone just needs to let me know what I need to know because I'm asked everyday what's going on. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 10:37:46

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: AGIA

As your time allows I would appreciate you hearing from Marty so you can get her overview also. Tom

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Irwin, Tom E (DNR)

Sent: Tue Mar 18 07:34:40 2008

Subject: Re: AGIA

I'm asking janice to put on sched a good chunk on time to get a preliminary overview of what we're looking at. Joe and I talked about that last night and I asked him for that review. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 07:27:37

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: AGIA

Yes, it is appropriate and wanted that you participate as much as your time allows. You and the gasoline team need to be on the same page just like with the AGIA bill and with ACES. If you let us know timing we can facilitate updates/participation/communications with you.

AGIA is much different than the decision to be made for the Point Thomson remand hearing. God bless. Tom

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Irwin, Tom E (DNR)

Sent: Tue Mar 18 07:06:35 2008

Subject: AGIA

I'm hearing more scuttlebutt from TC's visit to lobby for Congressional assistance back in DC - that they were very careful not to sound like \$\$\$ was an AGIA prerequisite, but it seemed very clear that more assistance was in their game plan.

Is it appropriate to share concerns like this with you as the review is ongoing? Is it appropriate to share with Marty, Joe, Pat... anyone during the fact-finding, objective review period?

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 12:09 PM
To: Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Marty K (DNR); Galvin; Patrick S (DOR)
Subject: Fw: Gasline Communications

Here's what I sent to bruce re: my soon-to-be-given response to lawmakers and reporters on what we're planning for AGIA review business- they're losing patience with me not having dates and times for them to plan around:

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 19:52:11

To: "Bruce Anders" <bruce.anders@alaska.gov>

Subject: Re: Gasline Communications

I'm back in Juneau. We're losing ground, I believe, in the public discussion on what we're considering or not considering under AGIA. Even before Meg comes on we need to assure Alaskans that we're reasonable and fairly considering all ideas, including Hickel's call for continued consideration of Alaskan gasline project(s). It should not have to be a reminder via his op-eds - to Alaskans, that of course this consideration is the right thing to do.

Also, legislators and reporters want to know now what the timeline is on review bc they're feeling like (as some have told me) we either don't know what we're doing, or are keeping info from them re: timeline and their ability to plan their summer with families, campaigns, etc. I need to tell them asap what the gas team is thinking on this - I haven't got any answer yet and they're losing patience with me. I'm going to tell them to stay in Juneau after they gavel out April 13 and we'll delve right into sp session bc they don't want to leave their places of residence here in Juneau and risk huge inconvenience because we're not telling them dates and times we need them for AGIA business.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Anders, Bruce F (DNR)" <bruce.anders@alaska.gov>

Date: Sat, 15 Mar 2008 17:51:29

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Gasline Communications

----- Original Message -----

To: ExternalEmailqsp <qov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: Gasline Communications

Governor.

1. Thanks for forwarding your concerns re TC bridge shipper option. Agree with you that we need to reassure Alaskans that TC is by no means pre-ordained. Our evaluation of LNG options will be extremely robust -- four idealized (i.e., better than AGPA or Sinopec) LNG options, that will fully expose the strength (or, possibly, relative weakness) of TC app. When I explained this approach to concerned leggies, they basically said, "that's all I needed to hear, thanks." We need to better get that message out to Alaskans. I will work on that.

2. Meg update: We had a great couple of hours together this am. Isabella joined the meeting (see attached -- check out the two pair of eyes!), and added some really good insight from the Baby Boomer perspective (she seemed far better in tune than Halcro). Though Meg and I have been doing lots of talking over the past few weeks, we got together today to solidify her prospective role. We have a proposal: Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

with Tibbles to get er done. If you green light this, Meg will work directly

3. Request: I am in the process of updating my gasline Outreach Plan. But there are some key important matters I need to chat with you about, particularly concerning the National Outreach Plan. Since (due to the ANSCA chats), I know you've got a few free mins on Tuesday, could I get 30-45 of those (preferably just you and me)? Marty and I spoke at length earlier today, and she asked me to contact you and request it. If okay, let me know, and I will work with Janice to set up.

Bruce

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 12:09 PM
To: Leighow; Sharon W (GOV); Mason; Janice L (GOV)
Cc: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV)
Subject: Re: Lisa

We can't do press until we're ready with answers, the school folks can be pushed back if need be I my understanding is it's just a stop by.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sharon Leighow
To: Governor Sarah Palin
To: Janice Mason
Sent: Mar 18, 2008 11:15 AM
Subject: RE: Lisa

Governor -

You have a meeting with school board officials at 3.

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:09 AM
To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)
Subject: RE: Lisa

will mike have karen and eddy ready to go by 2:30? i wont be getting back from lunch until about then... how about 3pm?

"Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov> wrote:

Thanks-

I am letting reporters know you will be holding a press availability around 2:30.

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:44 AM

To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)

Cc: Mason, Janice L (GOV); Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)

Subject: Lisa

Sharon- ktuu will be at the hse to get a shot of lisa coming for lunch

12:50. I told Bill if there was anything newsworthy I'd let him know

- he asked if it'd be ok for the photo op - I said yes.

Janice pls note on sched.

Sent from my BlackBerry(r) device from Cellular One

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 12:07 PM
To: Kelly; Russell T (GOV); Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)
Cc: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Mason; Janice L (GOV)
Subject: Re: Media

I have that lunvh so it needs to be soon- otherwise I'll have nothing definitive to say except "we're discussing our options"...

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Kelly, Russell T (GOV)" <russ.kelly@alaska.gov>

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 11:38:55

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com, "Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov>

Cc: "Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>, "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>, "Mason, Janice L (GOV)" <janice.mason@alaska.gov>

Subject: Re: Media

Once Mike is done with TP's I thought it would be a good time to at least revisit today's approach...now that we've had a chance to take a breath.

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)

Cc: Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Mason, Janice L (GOV); Kelly, Russell T (GOV)

Sent: Tue Mar 18 11:36:15 2008

Subject: Media

I don't know if we're going to be ready for an afternoon press availability. We still don't know what we're going to do with the vetoes, I still don't know what all is in the budget, we still don't know what battles we'd be wise to pick- how will this all be figured out in the next couple of hours? And I have a 1.5 hr lunch with Lisa in between???

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:59 AM
To: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Re: Possible New Talent...

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Kris Perry
To: Governor Sarah Palin
Sent: Mar 18, 2008 9:43 AM
Subject: RE: Possible New Talent...

She's coming into the office tomorrow morning at 10am. I'll report back after we meet. I liked the sound of her on the phone.

From: Sarah Palin (mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:37 AM
To: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Fwd: Possible New Talent...

do you have an idea when you'll get to talk to her?

Note: forwarded message attached.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:42 AM
To: Kelly; Russell T (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)
Subject: Re: KTUU

If we do press, why don't we invite leggies who can explain their side of this again- let Harris answer. I'm going to hate the perceived pettiness of this, and the "he said-she said" angle that Harris, et al, suggests re: who's not trying hard enough to communicate through this. We could at least say we (unprecedented, again) invited leadership to share in the press availability with us so we all can communicate with Alaskans about this- they're the important ones in this issue.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Kelly, Russell T (GOV)" <russ.kelly@alaska.gov>

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 11:29:41

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com, "Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)" <mike.tibbles@alaska.gov>, "Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV)" <karen.rehfeld@alaska.gov>, "Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov>

Subject: KTUU

Fyi

----- Original Message -----

From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>

To: Kelly, Russell T (GOV)

Sent: Tue Mar 18 11:27:16 2008

Subject: Google Alert - John Harris Alaska

Google News Alert for: John Harris Alaska

House bucks Palin, approves budget bill <<http://www.ktuu.com/Global/story.asp?S=8034627>>
<<http://www.ktuu.com/Global/story.asp?S=8034627>> >

KTUU - Anchorage, AK, USA

The vote followed a meeting between House Speaker John Harris and Palin Chief of Staff Mike Tibbles, which both men described as unproductive. ...

See all stories on this topic <<http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ncl=http://www.ktuu.com/Global/story.asp%3FS%3D8034627>> <<http://news.google.com/news?hl=en&ncl=http://www.ktuu.com/Global/story.asp%3FS%3D8034627>> >

This as-it-happens Google Alert is brought to you by Google.

Remove <[http://www.google.com/alerts/remove?s=EAAAAJzJumYY6M1-PMF0CYsRq0M&hl=en&gl=\"'>http://www.google.com/alerts/remove?s=EAAAAJzJumYY6M1-PMF0CYsRq0M&hl=en&gl=>](http://www.google.com/alerts/remove?s=EAAAAJzJumYY6M1-PMF0CYsRq0M&hl=en&gl= > this alert.

Create <[http://www.google.com/alerts?hl=en&gl=\"'>http://www.google.com/alerts?hl=en&gl=>](http://www.google.com/alerts?hl=en&gl= another alert.

Manage <[http://www.google.com/alerts/manage?hl=en&gl=\"'>http://www.google.com/alerts/manage?hl=en&gl=>](http://www.google.com/alerts/manage?hl=en&gl= your alerts.

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:36 AM
To: Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)
Cc: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Mason; Janice L (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV)
Subject: Media

I don't know if we're going to be ready for an afternoon press availability. We still don't know what we're going to do with the vetoes, I still don't know what all is in the budget, we still don't know what battles we'd be wise to pick- how will this all be figured out in the next couple of hours? And I have a 1.5 hr lunch with Lisa in between???

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:16 AM
To: Kelly; Russell T (GOV); McBride; Rhonda (GOV)
Cc: Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Dyson

Pls wk with Dyson on setting up his Native Elders round table/task force. He's still upset that he ask for this last yr and it didn't get done. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 2:40 PM

To: 'ucida@acsalaska.net'

Subject: RE: oppose final budget - SB 256

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

From: Audrey [mailto:ucida@acsalaska.net]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 2:24 PM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: oppose final budget - SB 256

Honorable Governor Sarah Palin:

Frustrating news re: Kasilof Boat Launch. The House just passed their final version of the budget and this proposal is still on SB256. It went onto the Senate floor and they also concur, so it is in the Governor's hands to veto or pass.

****Please veto this budget version.

Thank you,
Audrey Stephens
Kasilof, Alaska

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013622

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 12:48 PM

To: 'Dyer VanDevere'

Subject: RE: Kasilof Boat Ramp at Ed's Kasilof Seafoods

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

From: Dyer VanDevere [mailto:dyer@vandevere.net]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 1:43 PM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Swanton, Charles O (DFG); Crome, Cora J (GOV); Lloyd, Denby S (DFG); Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Balash, Joseph R (GOV); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Rep.Kevin.Meyer; Rep.Mike.Chenault; Sen.Tom.Wagoner; Irwin, Tom E (DNR)

Subject: Kasilof Boat Ramp at Ed's Kasilof Seafoods

State of Alaska

Office of the Governor

Re: Lower Kasilof boat launch, FY2009 Governors Capital Budget Appropriation Department of Fish and Game proposal #44823, included in HB311 & SB256

Honorable Governor Palin:

I'm writing this letter in strong opposition to funding of the boat launch at Eds Kasilof seafoods on the kasilof river in F&G proposal #44823 (boat launch) as in SB256 and HB311. Where is the public process? I see notices about meetings for the johnson lake recreational site & this site has been there for a long time but nothing about this NEW project in my backyard. I'm a 28 year resident of Coal Creek Country Estates, the peace & tranquility has been greatly diminished with the increase in activity in past years from the Eds Kasilof boat ramp traffic. I can hardly imagine a full fledged facility next door. In my opinion the state hasn't had a stellar record when it comes to creating situations and monitoring and dealing with the problems it makes. Case in point are the mouths of the Kasilof & Kenai rivers.

Thank you for your time

Dyer VanDevere

PO Box 504 Kasilof

AK 99610

10/23/2009

Unknown

From: Sarah Palin [gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:09 AM

To: Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)

Subject: RE: Lisa

will mike have karen and eddy ready to go by 2:30? i wont be getting back from lunch until about then... how about 3pm?

"Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov> wrote:

Thanks-

I am letting reporters know you will be holding a press availability around 2:30.

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:44 AM

To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)

Cc: Mason, Janice L (GOV); Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)

Subject: Lisa

Sharon- ktuu will be at the hse to get a shot of lisa coming for lunch 12:50. I told Bill if there was anything newsworthy I'd let him know - he asked if it'd be ok for the photo op - I said yes.

Janice pls note on sched.

Sent from my BlackBerry(r) device from Cellular One

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013624

Unknown

From: Dyer VanDevere [dyer@vandevere.net]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 1:43 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Cc: Swanton; Charles O (DFG); Crome; Cora J (GOV); Lloyd; Denby S (DFG); Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Balash; Joseph R (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Rep. Kevin Meyer; Rep. Mike Chenault; Sen. Tom Wagoner; Irwin; Tom E (DNR)
Subject: Kasilof Boat Ramp at Ed's Kasilof Seafoods
State of Alaska

Office of the Governor

Re: Lower Kasilof boat launch, FY2009 Governors Capital Budget Appropriation Department of Fish and Game proposal #44823, included in HB311 & SB256

Honorable Governor Palin:

I'm writing this letter in strong opposition to funding of the boat launch at Eds Kasilof seafoods on the kasilof river in F&G proposal #44823 (boat launch) as in SB256 and HB311. Where is the public process? I see notices about meetings for the johnson lake recreational site & this site has been there for a long time but nothing about this NEW project in my backyard. I'm a 28 year resident of Coal Creek Country Estates, the peace & tranquility has been greatly diminished with the increase in activity in past years from the Eds Kasilof boat ramp traffic. I can hardly imagine a full fledged facility next door. In my opinion the state hasn't had a stellar record when it comes to creating situations and monitoring and dealing with the problems it makes. Case in point are the mouths of the Kasilof & Kenai rivers.

Thank you for your time

Dyer VanDevere

PO Box 504 Kasilof

AK 99610

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013625

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:44 AM
To: Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)
Cc: Mason; Janice L (GOV); Fagerstrom; Erika (GOV)
Subject: Lisa

Sharon- ktuu will be at the hse to get a shot of lisa coming for lunch 12:50. I told Bill if there was anything newsworthy I'd let him know - he asked if it'd be ok for the photo op - I said yes.

Janice pls note on sched.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=EFAGERSTROM]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:08 AM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Cc: Nizich; Michael A (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); 'fek9wnr@yahoo.com'; Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); Balash; Joseph R (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV)
Subject: Re: Gov's Hse

Governor,

We won't have the projects start in May and I'll work with Mike Nizich for scheduling.

Thanks,

Erika

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)

Cc: Nizich, Michael A (GOV); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Todd <fek9wnr@yahoo.com>; Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Balash, Joseph R (GOV); Kelly, Russell T (GOV)

Sent: Tue Mar 18 07:39:12 2008

Subject: Gov's Hse

Erika: Special session may be throughout May, so pls don't have water shut off in the house during that month. Pls work with Mr. Nizich on timing of the water repair issues, thanks. We should know more on sp sess timing soon.

Joe- when will we know what AGIA folks are looking at??? Leggies want to know also, asap.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 10:06 AM

To: cindysmi@acsalaska.net

Subject: RE: Veto F&G#44823- Kasilof boat launch

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

From: cindysmi@acsalaska.net [mailto:cindysmi@acsalaska.net]

Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 9:00 AM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Cc: Lieutenant Governor Sean Parnell (GOV sponsored); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld, Karen J (GOV); Rep.Mike.Chenault@legis.state.ak.us

Subject: Veto F&G#44823- Kasilof boat launch

March 19, 2008
State of Alaska
Office of the Governor
Juneau, Alaska

Re: Lower Kasilof Boat Launch, FY2009 Governors Capital Budget Appropriation Department of Fish and Game, proposal #44823 in SB258

Honorable Governor Palin:

I understand that Fish and Game proposal #44823 is in your capital budget, but I would like to request that you veto this funding. How can F&G get funding to buy private property, to put in a public access motorized boat launch, before there has been any environmental assessments, public concerns, and input from the local residents, on how this will impact the river and our community. We do not want any motorized public access this far up the river, if there is a need for a motorized boat launch then it should be centrally located at the mouth of the Kasilof River where all the other boat traffic is located. We are concerned that once F&G get funding for this proposal we will have no recourse to keep this out of our neighborhood and protect the Kasilof River.

I am aware that Fish and Game say they are going to evaluate two sites for this proposal, the Trujillo parcel and Foxhill Estate Subdivision. I cannot support the funding of this proposal because the Trujillo parcel is where Kenai River Sportfishing Assoc. targeted, while floating with Fish and Game, as their ideal boat launch, that will be used primarily for commercial guide drift boat interest, but in order to obtain the use of Federal money from the tackle tax, they need to create a motorized boat launch for public use. I am concerned that what is happening to the Kenai River with overuse of motorized boats and increased traffic, how can we let the same devastation happen to the Kasilof River? As residents of this community, do we not have the right to express our concerns and have them heard before F&G have the funds to purchase one of these sites?

There are many people, myself included, that are very concerned with the issue of more traffic on the Kasilof River, especially with motorized public access, when the Kenai River is being purged of two-stroke motors, where will all those displaced dipnetters and fisherman end up? This is bigger than F&G wanting to use access through our neighborhood, this is going to be devastating to the Kasilof River and our community.

Last year you vetoed this project. Unfortunately, it has passed the House and Senate process this year, I urge you to veto it again.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Smith
cindysmi@acsalaska.net
262-8432

10/23/2009

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:02 AM
To: Smith; Lynne M (GOV)
Subject: FW: Weekly: Subprime Crisis: Better--Not More--Regulation Needed

From: membership@uschamber.com [mailto:membership@uschamber.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:01 AM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Weekly: Subprime Crisis: Better--Not More--Regulation Needed

[View this online.](#)

March 18, 2008

uschamber.com

FIGHTING FOR YOUR BUSINESS

Subprime Crisis: Better--Not More--Regulation Needed

By Tom Donohue

The subprime crisis and credit crunch have rejuvenated a public dialogue about the regulation of the U.S. capital markets.

By capital markets, I'm talking about the vast array of financial products and services—debt and equities securities, mutual funds, commodities and derivative products, credit and banking products, and insurance—upon which businesses, investors, and consumers depend. All of these are essential for financing new ideas, innovations, and jobs, as well as new homes, retirement, and college tuition.

More lawsuits and additional regulatory or legislative Band-Aid fixes are not solutions for the challenges facing our financial markets. Rather, it's time for thoughtful and comprehensive capital markets regulatory reform. We need better regulation, not more regulation.

[Join the conversation.](#)

Capital Roundup

Here are a few of the exclusive stories appearing on our Web site this week. Visit uschambermagazine.com for the very latest news, updates, and special features.

IRS Names Top 12 Income Tax Scams for 2008

Internet plays designed to steal financial and personal information tops the list of income tax scams uncovered by IRS auditors and criminal investigators this year, according to the IRS' "Dirty Dozen" list for 2008.

Chamber Coalition Holds Climate Change Dialogues

A Chamber-led coalition has kicked off a four-city road show intended to stimulate a national discussion on key climate change issues and alternatives

Member Benefits

New 65% Discount for U.S. Chamber Members

Members now receive a 65% discount with Yellow Transportation on qualifying less-than-truckload shipments! If you are currently enrolled in the USCC/Yellow program, your account was updated to the new discount effective 1/1. To enroll or receive information, please call 800-293-0414, or visit: www.myyellow.com/uschamber.

Benefits Guide

FedEx **monste**

Quips

"The [C]hamber started winning cases in part by refining its strategy ... The [C]hamber would decide which of the many cases brought to its attention were in the long-term strategic interest of American business and then hire leading business lawyers to write supporting briefs or argue the case."

—*New York Times*, March 16

Event

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013629

to currently proposed legislation.

Chamber Forum Focuses on Health IT

To make health care more safe, affordable, and accessible for consumers and employers, businesses must push for the widespread adoption of health information technology (IT), according to government officials, health care experts and business leaders attending the National Chamber Foundation's health IT forum.

Also In This Issue

- [In Your Backyard: Family Leave Bill Advances](#)
- [Small Business: Are Your E-mails Being Received?](#)
- [Economy: Consumer Demand Sluggish in February](#)
- [Small Businesses Need Help](#)
- [Chamber Wins Grassroots Award](#)
- [Talking Business With Steny Hoyer](#)

2nd Annual Capital Markets

Summit:

Strengthening U.S. Capital Markets for All Americans

March 26, Washington, D.C.

At this full-day event in Washington, attendees will get an in-depth look at a number of the most important issues facing our capital markets. The summit will feature prominent speakers in government, public policy, and business who will focus on the current regulatory environment and significant emerging trends.

[Register today.](#)

uschamber.com Weekly | Editor: John Reid
Writers: Sheryli Poe, Giovanni Coratolo

You are subscribed as: governor@gov.state.ak.us

Your Account:

[Receive as plain text](#) | [Manage all Chamber subscriptions](#)

Stop receiving *uschamber.com Weekly*:

<http://www.uschamber.info/fedmember/remove-domain-direct.tcl?ctx=center&nkey=w73wqb64r765en3d&>

If you do not wish to receive U.S. Chamber messages related to the partnership, reply to this e-mail with REMOVE as the subject line, or [click here](#). To simply change your e-mail preferences or Small Business Center password, please update your profile on your [Subscription Management Page](#). You must follow these instructions in order to ensure that you are properly removed. For more information about U.S. Chamber member benefits, call our dedicated customer service line at 1-800-638-6582.



Unknown

From: Leschper, Beth (GOV) [beth.leschper@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:31 AM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Mason; Janice L (GOV)
Subject: Re: IOGCC release

May 4-6 in Calgary.

We are working on a script for a welcome that we can videotape in case you cannot attend.

A group of us, including Dan Seamount and the gasline team have been working to help IOGCC develop the meeting agenda. It is almost complete.

Beth

----- Original Message -----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)
To: Leschper, Beth (GOV); Mason, Janice L (GOV)
Sent: Tue Mar 18 09:21:07 2008
Subject: Fw: IOGCC release

When is their next mtg that I'm supposed to chair?

----- Original Message -----

From: Leschper, Beth (GOV)
To: ExternalEmailgsp
Cc: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Tue Mar 18 09:17:09 2008
Subject: IOGCC release

For your approval, please see the second graph below in this IOGCC draft release. Dan Seamount has already seen this.

C. Michael Smith Named Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission Executive Director

The Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission today announced that C. Michael "Mike" Smith has been selected as executive director. Smith will be responsible for providing advocacy, coordination, education and strategy to the IOGCC's 30 member and eight associate states on key domestic energy issues.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

From 2002 to 2004 Mr. Smith served as assistant secretary of fossil energy for the U.S. Department of Energy. He served as the primary policy advisor to Secretary Spencer Abraham on federal coal, petroleum, and natural gas programs, including extensive research and development efforts. Smith's responsibilities included overseeing an organization of nearly 1,000 scientists, engineers, technicians and administrative staff in two national laboratories, four field offices and at DOE's headquarters in Washington, D.C. He was responsible for several high-priority presidential initiatives, including the implementation of the Bush Administration's \$2 billion development of a new generation of environmentally sound clean coal technologies and the \$1 billion FutureGen project. Duties also included managing the nation's Strategic Petroleum Reserve and the Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve, both key emergency response tools available to the president to protect Americans from energy supply disruptions.

Mr. Smith's international experience includes service with the secretary general, Ministry of Science and Technology, People's Republic of China as a co-chair of the US-China Oil and Gas Forum and as chairman of the policy group, Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum (CSLF). CSLF is a Bush Administration initiative with a 21 country membership seeking technical solutions to the capture and storage of carbon dioxide from energy generating facilities. Additionally, he led U.S. bilateral fossil energy protocols in Australia, India, Norway and Russia.

From 1995 to 2002, Mr. Smith served as Oklahoma's secretary of energy in the cabinet of

former Gov. Frank Keating. He was responsible for fossil energy policy and oversight of seven major state energy agencies and commissions. He served as the governor's official representative to the IOGCC, the Southern States Energy Board, the Interstate Mining Compact Commission and the Governors' Ethanol Coalition. He served IOGCC as its vice chairman in 1999.

Mr. Smith served as president of the Oklahoma Independent Petroleum Association in 1994 and operated as an independent oil and gas exploration company based in Oklahoma City. He practiced energy law and earned Bachelor of Arts and law degrees from the University of Oklahoma.

About the IOGCC

The IOGCC is a multi-state government agency whose mission is to promote the conservation and efficient recovery of the nation's domestic oil and natural gas resources while protecting health, safety and the environment.

In 1935, six states took advantage of a constitutional right to "compact," or agree to work together, to resolve common issues. Faced with unregulated petroleum overproduction and the resulting waste, the states endorsed and Congress ratified a compact to take control of the issues.

Today, the IOGCC's membership is comprised of 30 member states, eight associate states and eight international affiliate country members. The IOGCC is headquartered in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. For more information, visit <http://www.iogcc.state.ok.us>
<<http://www.iogcc.state.ok.us/>> .

###

Unknown

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored) [govpalin@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:21 AM
To: Leschper, Beth (GOV); Mason; Janice L (GOV)
Subject: Fw: IOGCC release

When is their next mtg that I'm supposed to chair?

----- Original Message -----

From: Leschper, Beth (GOV)
To: ExternalEmailgsp
Cc: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Tue Mar 18 09:17:09 2008
Subject: IOGCC release

For your approval, please see the second graph below in this IOGCC draft release. Dan Seamount has already seen this.

C. Michael Smith Named Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission Executive Director

The Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission today announced that C. Michael "Mike" Smith has been selected as executive director. Smith will be responsible for providing advocacy, coordination, education and strategy to the IOGCC's 30 member and eight associate states on key domestic energy issues.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

From 2002 to 2004 Mr. Smith served as assistant secretary of fossil energy for the U.S. Department of Energy. He served as the primary policy advisor to Secretary Spencer Abraham on federal coal, petroleum, and natural gas programs, including extensive research and development efforts. Smith's responsibilities included overseeing an organization of nearly 1,000 scientists, engineers, technicians and administrative staff in two national laboratories, four field offices and at DOE's headquarters in Washington, D.C. He was responsible for several high-priority presidential initiatives, including the implementation of the Bush Administration's \$2 billion development of a new generation of environmentally sound clean coal technologies and the \$1 billion FutureGen project. Duties also included managing the nation's Strategic Petroleum Reserve and the Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve, both key emergency response tools available to the president to protect Americans from energy supply disruptions.

Mr. Smith's international experience includes service with the secretary general, Ministry of Science and Technology, People's Republic of China as a co-chair of the US-China Oil and Gas Forum and as chairman of the policy group, Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum (CSLF). CSLF is a Bush Administration initiative with a 21 country membership seeking technical solutions to the capture and storage of carbon dioxide from energy generating facilities. Additionally, he led U.S. bilateral fossil energy protocols in Australia, India, Norway and Russia.

From 1995 to 2002, Mr. Smith served as Oklahoma's secretary of energy in the cabinet of former Gov. Frank Keating. He was responsible for fossil energy policy and oversight of seven major state energy agencies and commissions. He served as the governor's official representative to the IOGCC, the Southern States Energy Board, the Interstate Mining Compact Commission and the Governors' Ethanol Coalition. He served IOGCC as its vice chairman in 1999.

Mr. Smith served as president of the Oklahoma Independent Petroleum Association in 1994 and operated as an independent oil and gas exploration company based in Oklahoma City. He practiced energy law and earned Bachelor of Arts and law degrees from the University of Oklahoma.

About the IOGCC

The IOGCC is a multi-state government agency whose mission is to promote the conservation and efficient recovery of the nation's domestic oil and natural gas resources while

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:21 AM
To: Tibbies; Michael A (GOV)
Cc: Kelly; Russell T (GOV); Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)
Subject: Ask Harris

Importance: High

While you're down there... Ask him WHY he doesn't want to just sit down and talk about overall spending and what projects are appropriate? We're offering, why's he refusing? Also, I'm going email Meyer unless someone can ask him why he'd say such a thing to McAllister re: a "cat fight" the other day.

Pls ask Harris WHY tho', before I talk to reporters today.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:49 AM
To: huffmane9@gci.net
Subject: RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:25 AM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Mr. James Huffman
address: 9930 Annette Cr Eagle River AK 99577

MESSAGE:

I urge you to stand firm against the legislature regarding the current supplemental spending bill. Legislators trying to gain support from constituents are unwisely inserting many "pet projects" into the budget. Many of these items were vetoed last year and have no place in the supplemental budget. I strongly urge you to veto EVERY item that is recycled from last year.

I am also disappointed at the level of spending from House and Senate members. While the state coffers are experiencing a significant budget surplus, not enough of this excess is being saved. Alaska will encounter rainy days in the near future and it is the responsibility of the Governor and Legislator to be good stewards of the resources and ensure enough money is saved for those rainy days. From what I have seen of the current spending bills, there is little fiscal discipline by our state government.

James Huffman

huffmane9@gci.net

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:29 AM
To: mattpeter2003@mac.com
Subject: RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 6:44 AM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Mr. Matthew Peter
address: 1800 Beaver Place Apt# 1 ANCHORAGE AK 99504
907-360-9090

MESSAGE:

Ma'am,

I have been listening to the budget issues your dealing with right now, and I just wanted to say that I'm glad to see a fiscal conservative in office and I stand behind you 100%. You continue to impress me and I thank God we have you for a governor!

Yours truly,

Matt P.

mattpeter2003@mac.com

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 7:39 AM
To: Fagerstrom; Erika (GOV)
Cc: Nizich; Michael A (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Todd; Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); Balash; Joseph R (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV)
Subject: Gov's Hse

Erika: Special session may be throughout May, so pls don't have water shut off in the house during that month. Pls work with Mr. Nizich on timing of the water repair issues, thanks. We should know more on sp sess timing soon.

Joe- when will we know what AGIA folks are looking at??? Leggies want to know also, asap.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 7:35 AM
To: Irwin, Tom E (DNR)
Subject: Re: AGIA

I'm asking janice to put on sched a good chunk on time to get a preliminary overview of what we're looking at. Joe and I talked about that last night and I asked him for that review. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Irwin, Tom E (DNR)" <tom.irwin@alaska.gov>

Date: Tue, 18 Mar 2008 07:27:37

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: AGIA

Yes, it is appropriate and wanted that you participate as much as your time allows. You and the gasline team need to be on the same page just like with the AGIA bill and with ACES. If you let us know timing we can facilitate updates/participation/communications with you.

AGIA is much different than the decision to be made for the Point Thomson remand hearing.
God bless. Tom

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Irwin, Tom E (DNR)

Sent: Tue Mar 18 07:06:35 2008

Subject: AGIA

I'm hearing more scuttlebutt from TC's visit to lobby for Congressional assistance back in DC - that they were very careful not to sound like \$\$\$ was an AGIA prerequisite, but it seemed very clear that more assistance was in their game plan.

Is it appropriate to share concerns like this with you as the review is ongoing? Is it appropriate to share with Marty, Joe, Pat... anyone during the fact-finding, objective review period?

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: Sarah Palin [gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:37 AM

To: Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)

Subject: Fwd: Possible New Talent...

do you have an idea when you'll get to talk to her?

Note: forwarded message attached.

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013643

Unknown

From: Sarah Palin [gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:43 AM

To: Ruaro; Randall P (GOV)

Subject: Re: Rep. Lynn's HB 287 - Divest from companies doing business in Sudan

yes, see where Lynn wants to go with us on this, as i'll be asked again where the admin is on it.
thanks

"Ruaro, Randall P (GOV)" <randall.ruaro@alaska.gov> wrote:

Governor:

At your request, I reviewed HB 287. The bill would place a number of new obligations on the Alaska Permanent Fund, including divesting from companies that do business with Sudan. (The Sudanese government is accused of sponsoring genocide against civilians in Darfur) The bill's prime sponsors are Rep. Lynn and Rep. Gara.

The bill has been heard once this session in House State Affairs. Mike Burns of the Permanent Fund testified against the bill ("we do not believe that investment decisions made for social or political reasons are in the best interest of the permanent fund.") The permanent fund also produced a fiscal note estimating costs at nearly \$11 million for FY 2009, and about \$8 million a year for every year thereafter. The permanent fund attributes most of these costs to fund managers raising their rates for having to change how they handle their portfolios.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Randy

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013644

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:14 AM
To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)
Cc: Mason, Janice L (GOV)
Subject: FW: Homeland_Security

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 7:11 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Homeland_Security

Web mail from: Mr. Joseph McMahon
address: 32 Davis Avenue Mt. Ephraim NJ 08059
856-931-4326

MESSAGE:

Governor,

I have sent a email to Sen. McCain, You would make a wonderful Vice President.
And Best of luck to you having a baby, My oldest Daughter who is 25 is having one as
well, our first Grand child.

GO GIRL AND RUN FOR VICE PRESIDENT

Joe McMahon

mscmswusnret@aol.com

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:13 AM
To: mmstep@ptialaska.net
Subject: RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 7:24 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Mr. Mel Stephens
address: PO Box 1129 Kodiak AK 99615
907-486-3143

MESSAGE:

Dear Gov. Palin:

Show us that last year wasn't an abberation and VETO the damned supplemental operating budget line items containing all those capital projects. We are behind YOU on this and NOT our namby pamby gimmie-gimmie legislators!

Mel Stephens

Kodiak

mmstep@ptialaska.net

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 7:36 AM
To: Mason; Janice L (GOV); Balash; Joseph R (GOV)
Cc: Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Rutherford; Marty K (DNR); Galvin; Patrick S (DOR)
Subject: AGIA

Janice- pls wk with Joe on securing a chunk of time for me to get an update on AGIA.
Sooner is better. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:08 AM
To: lmertes@northstar.k12.ak.us
Subject: RE: Education

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 9:01 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Education

Web mail from: Ms. Lori Mertes
address: 121 Eureka Fairbanks AK 99701
907 452-6514

MESSAGE:

Dear Governor Palin,

In January when you came to talk to the Delegate Assembly you stated we would be getting much needed money for our school districts to be able to run their schools better. Well our district has stated that the money will be here sooner, but is not adequate to run our schools and give the teachers a raise in pay (we are negotiating a new contract) and they are proposing giving us no raise for three years and capping the health cost to the district and the teachers would pay any additional health cost-so indeed they are decreasing out pay.

What is the FNSBSD getting from the state and will it be enough to run the schools and give teachers the much needed increase.

Thank you for listening and congratulations on the upcoming birth of your new addition. I think you are an inspiration for women everywhere and to our young daughters that they can have careers too and still have a family.

Thanks for your time

Lori Mertes

Resource Teacher and Parent of 4

FNSBSD

lmertes@northstar.k12.ak.us

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:05 AM
To: kouskov1528@hotmail.com
Subject: RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 11:31 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Ken Lester
address: box 373 Kodiak AK 99615
907-486-3376

MESSAGE:

Stick to your guns on the budget. The Legislature is doing just what was done in the 80's. Just because there is extra money they want to spend it. We people of Alaska are tired of anchorage getting all the funding. You should take a trip to Kodiak and drive on the State roads. They are a mess and we need help more than Anchorage. I also would wager that there many communities in Alaska that can prove the same things. But, just beacuse we have extra dosen't mean it has to be spent. We need a savings account with a principal that the Legislature cannot touch.

kouskov1528@hotmail.com

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 6:17 AM
To: Irwin; Tom E (DNR)
Subject: Re: Confidential

Thank you Tom- I've been praying for wisdom also on this- I am so glad to know you join me in this- God will have to show me what to do on the people's budget because I don't yet know the right path... He will show me though. Thank you and God bless your day today!

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Irwin
To: Governor Sarah Palin
Sent: Mar 17, 2008 11:40 PM
Subject: Confidential

Governor, just wanted to encourage you on the budget and let you know I have prayed in Jesus name for you to have wisdom and discernment and that God would bless you and your family. Tom

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: McBride, Rhonda (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RMFAUBION]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:11 PM
To: 'Sarah Palin '
Subject: Dyson Report

I had promised you a report today on my meeting with Fred Dyson and follow-ups to vet his ideas.

I'm not done yet, but will submit it to you soon.

Sorry for the delay.

Thanks,

Rhonda

Rhonda McBride
Rural Affairs Advisor
Office of the Governor
Atwood Building, Suite 1700
550 West 7th Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Tel: (907) 269-7451
E-Mail: Rhonda.McBride@alaska.gov

8/28/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013652

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:40 PM
To: Sarah Palin
Cc: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Ethics Training

Governor,

Just a note to let you know that I and my staff (Anchorage and Juneau) underwent an ethics refresher course today with a specific focus on maintaining separation between the Office of the Lieutenant Governor and the campaign. We'll continue to maintain that separation required by law and the public trust, and as previous lieutenant governors and other elected officials have done when they have run for office while being in office.

Regards,

Sean

8/28/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013653

Unknown

From: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWLEIGHOW]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:26 AM
To: Katz; John W (GOV)
Cc: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: op ed

Thanks John – Your Op Ed was published in the Juneau Empire today. The Ketchikan Daily News ran it this weekend.

From: John Katz [mailto:jwkatz@ALASKADC.org]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 5:13 AM
To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)
Subject: Re: op ed

I reside in DC. Thanks for shopping this. If someone doesn't publish it, you and I might want to talk about other ways to respond to Congressman Young's inaccurate and gratuitous shots at the Palin administration.

P.S.

I don't know the Juneau Empire staff very well anymore. Please make them understand that I came from Alaska. I don't think of us as DC lobbyists.

Please note that my email address has changed. My new email address is jwkatz@alaskadc.org. Thank you .

>>> On 3/17/2008 at 5:52 PM, in message
<41AD1B17A04BD8448ABBB348230CC93D5FD296@SOAANCMSG04.soa.alaska.gov>
"Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov> wrote:

John,

The Juneau Empire is considering your Op Ed piece. They would like to know what city you reside in. Thanks

Sharon Leighow
Deputy Press Secretary
Deputy Communications Director

(907) 269-7450 Anchorage
(907) 465-4031 Juneau
(907) 240-7943 cell

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013654

Unknown

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:41 AM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Subject: FW: Budget

This one will make you smile! Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:12 AM
To: peter.kamilos@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Budget

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2008 7:28 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Budget

Web mail from: Mr. Peter Kamilos
address: HC 35 Box 53552X Wasilla AK 99654
907.373.6256

MESSAGE:

Governor Palin,

I am writing to let you know that despite the fact that I am a pretty strong anti-Republican--you are the first Republican I ever voted for--I am still behind you.

As this budget mess gets hammered out I intend to back your ultimate decision. I value your voice of moderation and reason--in most things--and hope that you continue in this vein.

Whether you veto the budget and the crooks in Juneau or decide to make peace with them, I am for once comfortable in the fact that you will make the right decision.

I don't like Republicans, I am not a big fan of Democrats, but I support you, your policies, and plan to vote for you next time you are due for election.

Thank you and good luck.

--Peter Kamilos--

peter.kamilos@gmail.com

Unknown

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:27 AM
To: Palin; Sarah (GOV sponsored); Leschper, Beth (GOV)
Subject: RE: IOGCC release

Sunday, May 4th through Tuesday, May 6th, 2008 in Calgary, Alberta - Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:21 AM
To: Leschper, Beth (GOV); Mason, Janice L (GOV)
Subject: Fw: IOGCC release

When is their next mtg that I'm supposed to chair?

----- Original Message -----

From: Leschper, Beth (GOV)
To: ExternalEmailgsp
Cc: Palin, Sarah (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Tue Mar 18 09:17:09 2008
Subject: IOGCC release

For your approval, please see the second graph below in this IOGCC draft release. Dan Seamount has already seen this.

C. Michael Smith Named Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission Executive Director

The Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission today announced that C. Michael "Mike" Smith has been selected as executive director. Smith will be responsible for providing advocacy, coordination, education and strategy to the IOGCC's 30 member and eight associate states on key domestic energy issues.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

From 2002 to 2004 Mr. Smith served as assistant secretary of fossil energy for the U.S. Department of Energy. He served as the primary policy advisor to Secretary Spencer Abraham on federal coal, petroleum, and natural gas programs, including extensive research and development efforts. Smith's responsibilities included overseeing an organization of nearly 1,000 scientists, engineers, technicians and administrative staff in two national laboratories, four field offices and at DOE's headquarters in Washington, D.C. He was responsible for several high-priority presidential initiatives, including the implementation of the Bush Administration's \$2 billion development of a new generation of environmentally sound clean coal technologies and the \$1 billion FutureGen project. Duties also included managing the nation's Strategic Petroleum Reserve and the Northeast Home Heating Oil Reserve, both key emergency response tools available to the president to protect Americans from energy supply disruptions.

Mr. Smith's international experience includes service with the secretary general, Ministry of Science and Technology, People's Republic of China as a co-chair of the US-China Oil and Gas Forum and as chairman of the policy group, Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum (CSLF). CSLF is a Bush Administration initiative with a 21 country membership seeking technical solutions to the capture and storage of carbon dioxide from energy generating facilities. Additionally, he led U.S. bilateral fossil energy protocols in Australia, India, Norway and Russia.

From 1995 to 2002, Mr. Smith served as Oklahoma's secretary of energy in the cabinet of former Gov. Frank Keating. He was responsible for fossil energy policy and oversight of seven major state energy agencies and commissions. He served as the governor's official representative to the IOGCC, the Southern States Energy Board, the Interstate Mining Compact Commission and the Governors' Ethanol Coalition. He served IOGCC as its vice chairman in 1999.

Mr. Smith served as president of the Oklahoma Independent Petroleum Association in 1994 and operated as an independent oil and gas exploration company based in Oklahoma City. He practiced energy law and earned Bachelor of Arts and law degrees from the University of Oklahoma.

About the IOGCC

The IOGCC is a multi-state government agency whose mission is to promote the conservation and efficient recovery of the nation's domestic oil and natural gas resources while protecting health, safety and the environment.

In 1935, six states took advantage of a constitutional right to "compact," or agree to work together, to resolve common issues. Faced with unregulated petroleum overproduction and the resulting waste, the states endorsed and Congress ratified a compact to take control of the issues.

Today, the IOGCC's membership is comprised of 30 member states, eight associate states and eight international affiliate country members. The IOGCC is headquartered in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. For more information, visit <http://www.iogcc.state.ok.us>
<<http://www.iogcc.state.ok.us/>> .

###

Unknown

From: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWLEIGHOW]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:16 AM
To: 'Sarah Palin'; Mason; Janice L (GOV)
Subject: RE: Lisa

Governor -
You have a meeting with school board officials at 3.

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:09 AM
To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)
Subject: RE: Lisa

will mike have karen and eddy ready to go by 2:30? i wont be getting back from lunch until about then...
how about 3pm?

"Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov> wrote:
Thanks-

I am letting reporters know you will be holding a press availability
around 2:30.

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 10:44 AM
To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)
Cc: Mason, Janice L (GOV); Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)
Subject: Lisa

Sharon- ktuu will be at the hse to get a shot of lisa coming for lunch
12:50. I told Bill if there was anything newsworthy I'd let him know
- he asked if it'd be ok for the photo op - I said yes.

Janice pls note on sched.
Sent from my BlackBerry(r) device from Cellular One

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013660

Unknown

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KYPERRY]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:51 AM
To: 'Sarah Palin'; Kelsey; Mark D (GOV)
Subject: RE: FW: Fowler Oil & Gas

Yeah, and the folks he's involved with too. Ouch.

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:36 AM
To: Kelsey, Mark D (GOV); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Re: FW: Fowler Oil & Gas

sounds like there's more to Fowler than we know of? based on Zaz's article...

"Kelsey, Mark D (GOV)" <mark.kelsey@alaska.gov> wrote:
Latest CBM update from Bob Fowler.

Mark D. Kelsey
Special Assistant to the Governor
Office of Gov. Sarah Palin
907.269.7450, Anchorage

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Fowler [mailto:rnfowler57@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thu 3/13/2008 6:22 PM
To: Robert Fowler
Subject: Fowler Oil & Gas

Governor Palin

I just wanted you to be the first to know that we delivered the drilling permit bond to the AOGCC today for our Kircher #1 permit.

Best Regards
Bob Fowler

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013661

Unknown

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 2:55 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: RE: Need attachment
Importance: High

I did not receive the attachment - Janice

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 2:44 PM
To: Heyward, Lydia D (LAW)
Subject: RE:

Thank you for writing to Alaska Governor Sarah Palin. The concerns, opinions, and/or information you have sent are important and valuable to the Governor. Although she is unable to respond to each and every email herself, your message has been received and is being reviewed by the appropriate staff person in this office who can best address your need, suggestion, or comment.

From: Heyward, Lydia D (LAW)
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 2:07 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject:

This photo was taken at the Great Alaska Shootout and I thought you might like a copy. My granddaughter is very proud of the picture!

Lydia Heyward, Investigator
State of AK, Dept. of Law
Criminal Div., Office of Special Prosecutions and Appeals
Medicaid Fraud Control Unit
310 K St., Ste. 300
Anchorage, AK 99501
(907) 269-6296 (direct line) (907) 269-6202 (fax)
(email) Lydia.Heyward@alaska.gov

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013662

Unknown

From: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWLEIGHOW]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:26 AM
To: Katz, John W (GOV)
Cc: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: op ed

Thanks John - Your Op Ed was published in the Juneau Empire today. The Ketchikan Daily News ran it this weekend.

From: John Katz [mailto:jwkatz@ALASKADC.org]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 5:13 AM
To: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)
Subject: Re: op ed

I reside in DC. Thanks for shopping this. If someone doesn't publish it, you and I might want to talk about other ways to respond to Congressman Young's inaccurate and gratuitous shots at the Palin administration.

P.S.

I don't know the Juneau Empire staff very well anymore. Please make them understand that I came from Alaska. I don't think of us as DC lobbyists.

Please note that my email address has changed. My new email address is jwkatz@alaskadc.org. Thank you.

>>> On 3/17/2008 at 5:52 PM, in message
<41AD1B17A04BD8448ABBB348230CC93D5FD296@SOAANCMSG04.soa.alaska.gov>
"Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov> wrote:

John,

The Juneau Empire is considering your Op Ed piece. They would like to know what city you reside in. Thanks

Sharon Leighow
Deputy Press Secretary
Deputy Communications Director

(907) 269-7450 Anchorage
(907) 465-4031 Juneau
(907) 240-7943 cell

8/26/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013663

Unknown

From: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RPRUARO]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:28 AM
To: Sarah Palin
Subject: RE: Rep. Lynn's HB 287 - Divest from companies doing business in Sudan

Governor:

I spoke to the staffer carrying the bill for Rep. Lynn. They are trying to come up with amendments that will eliminate or greatly reduce the fiscal impact on the Permanent Fund. The staffer said there may be another hearing but was sure the bill was not going to pass this session. I offered to work with their office over the interim.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Randy

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:43 AM
To: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV)
Subject: Re: Rep. Lynn's HB 287 - Divest from companies doing business in Sudan

yes, see where Lynn wants to go with us on this, as i'll be asked again where the admin is on it. thanks

"Ruaro, Randall P (GOV)" <randall.ruaro@alaska.gov> wrote:

The bill has been heard once this session in House State Affairs. Mike Burns of the Permanent Fund testified against the bill ("we do not believe that investment decisions made for social or political reasons are in the best interest of the permanent fund.") The permanent fund also produced a fiscal note estimating costs at nearly \$11 million for FY 2009, and about \$8 million a year for every year thereafter. The permanent fund attributes most of these costs to fund managers raising their rates for having to change how they handle their portfolios.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Randy

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013664

Unknown

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KYPERRY]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:43 AM
To: 'Sarah Palin'
Subject: RE: Possible New Talent...

She's coming into the office tomorrow morning at 10am. I'll report back after we meet. I liked the sound of her on the phone.

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:37 AM
To: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Fwd: Possible New Talent...

do you have an idea when you'll get to talk to her?

Note: forwarded message attached.

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013665

Unknown

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE
GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 11:49 AM
To: Sarah Palin
Cc: Frye; Ivy J (DOA)
Subject: Interview Scheduled

Governor - Ivy has confirmed the telephone interview with John Gizzie, Political Editor (Washington, DC) for Thursday, 03.18.08 at 10:30 a.m. AK Time. This has been added to your calendar. Janice

Unknown

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KYPERRY]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 5:04 PM
To: 'Sarah Palin'
Subject: Larry Wood

Update: I've sent emails to McHugh, BG Katkus and Commissioner Campbell. Got a response from McHugh - he talked w/Nizich. Katkus responded that he's traveling; his letter was forwarded. Got a response from Craig - no info/he plans to talk w/Nizich tomorrow (his email below).

I've asked to be kept in the loop. I suspect Nizich and/or Craig will update you directly tomorrow.

Found out that Larry sent a letter to you and Russ last August. Russ responded and I've requested a copy of it.

Confidentially/not to fwd: I'm not sensing any urgency, but then it wasn't their name slandered in the paper with no prior knowledge. I'd like to see a letter of clarification or correction, which I will convey once I get more information on the whole issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 4:37 PM
To: Campbell, Craig (MVA)
Cc: Nizich, Michael A (GOV)
Subject: RE: Larry Wood

Thanks. I would appreciate being kept in the loop as this is a constituent that contacts this office and who I have met with previously. I've heard that he is submitting a letter to the editor in the ADN as well which may be in the paper tomorrow. I suspect this will come up again at that time and the Governor will ask what the status is.

Kris

-----Original Message-----

From: Campbell, Craig AK TAG NGAK [mailto:craig.campbell12@us.army.mil]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 4:08 PM

To: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)

Subject: Re: Larry Wood

Got it. Larry has a number of issues with ASDF. I will discuss with Nizich tomorrow.

CEC

-----Original Message-----

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV) <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

To: Campbell, Craig AK TAG NGAK

Sent: Mon Mar 17 15:38:12 2008

Subject: Larry Wood

Commissioner,

In follow-up to the Governor's email regarding Larry Wood and his letter to the editor; I can contact McHugh and Brigadier General Katkus to get more information but wanted to run it through you first.

Larry's concern relates to the State Defense Force and BG Westall.

Thanks,

Kris

Unknown

From: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWLEIGHOW]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 3:11 PM
To: 'Sarah Palin'; Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); Kelly; Russell T (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Governor's statement on House vote - please approve

All,

Please approve quote. Reporters are quickly approaching deadlines.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted



Sharon Leighow
Deputy Press Secretary
Deputy Communications Director

(907) 269-7450 Anchorage
(907) 465-4031 Juneau
(907) 240-7943 cell

8/26/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013669

Unknown

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 2:45 PM
To: Sarah Palin
Subject: FW: Request to speak w/ the Governor

Governor – This is just an FYI for you. Janice

From: Buck, Hannah K (GOV)
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 2:42 PM
To: akreporterng@gcl.net
Subject: Request to speak w/ the Governor

Dear Merica:

To follow up our phone conversation this afternoon, I would like to request that you send me an email explaining your concerns. Due to the Governor's demanding schedule, especially during legislative session, it has been difficult to schedule a time for you to speak with her. By sending a detailed email including the subject matter, we can be sure that your concerns are properly addressed.

I also understand that you tried to approach the Governor last weekend during the Republican Convention but were not able to discuss your concerns. Please keep in mind that the Governor has a very tight schedule and had many engagements to attend to while in Anchorage. I apologize if there were any misunderstandings.

With all respect,

Hannah Buck
Scheduling Assistant
Office of the Governor
(907) 465-3500
hannah.buck@alaska.gov

8/26/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013670

Byers, Gail Y (LAW)

From: Sandy [sacmatthews@acsalaska.net]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 8:43 AM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Crome; Cora J (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Rehfeld; Karen J (GOV); rep.kevin.meyer@legis.state.ak.us; Rep.paul.seaton@legis.state.ak.us; Rep.kurt.olson@legis.state.ak.us; rep_mike_chenault@legis.state.ak.us; Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Lloyd; Denby S (DFG); charlie.swanton@alaska.gov
Subject: Lower Kaslof Boat Launch, Dept. Fish and Game proposal # 44823

6/9/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013671

P.O. Box 265
Kasilof, Alaska 99610
March 18, 2008

State of Alaska
Office of the Governor
P.O. Box 110001
Juneau, Alaska 99811-0001

Re: Department of Fish and Game funding proposal # 44823 now in SB 256 and HB 311
for the purchase of the Trujillo property on the Kasilof River.

Honorable Governor Palin,

Just over 3 weeks ago my neighborhood found out by accident the Department of Fish and Game was again trying to get funding to buy the Trujillo property for a public access power boat launch. The access to this boat ramp would be through my neighborhood. Hopefully you have had a chance to view our correspondence to the State regarding this project.

As far as we know the State has had no public input on the effects of this project on the river, the commercial fishing industry, critical habitat, or the local residents. There is a big push to buy Trujillos when it is not even known if it is an acceptable site for a power boat launch. The Swanson property is also being considered for this boat launch but we have found no funding proposal to buy it too. Why would the State buy one but not the other before the site assessment is completed?

When my neighborhood first began the fight against this power boat launch at Trujillos I just wanted it to be somewhere else on the river. In these few weeks I have thought about it a lot and have come to the realization that I do not want another public access power boat launch any where on the Kasilof River. The Kenai River has so many problems now because of the high numbers of power boats. The Kasilof is a much smaller river and I believe it will be devastated if large numbers of power boats come here, and they will if the State provides easy access to the lower river.

Last year you vetoed this project. If this funding proposal makes it through the Senate and House process this year I urge you to reject it again.

Thank you for your time and thoughtful consideration of this issue.

Sincerely,

Sandra Matthews

Byers, Gail Y (LAW)

From: Sarah Palin [gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:36 AM
To: Kelsey; Mark D (GOV); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Re: FW: Fowler Oil & Gas

sounds like there's more to Fowler than we know of? based on Zaz's article...

"Kelsey, Mark D (GOV)" <mark.kelsey@alaska.gov> wrote:

Latest CBM update from Bob Fowler.

Mark D. Kelsey
Special Assistant to the Governor
Office of Gov. Sarah Palin
907.269.7450, Anchorage

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Fowler [mailto:mfwler57@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thu 3/13/2008 6:22 PM
To: Robert Fowler
Subject: Fowler Oil & Gas

Governor Palin

I just wanted you to be the first to know that we delivered the drilling permit bond to the AOGCC today for our Kircher #1 permit.

Best Regards
Bob Fowler

6/9/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013673

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE
GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 3:18 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Guest book

Just noticed you signed our guest book a week ago. Thanks for stopping by from your "down the hall" address.

Unknown

From: Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=EFAGERSTROM]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 2:21 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Re: Diane

It will be ready and waiting for you tomorrow morning. :)

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>
To: Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)
Sent: Wed Mar 19 13:54:55 2008
Subject: Re: Diane

Thanks. I'll bring it with me in the morning.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)" <erika.fagerstrom@alaska.gov>

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2008 13:54:10

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Re: Diane

She just made a bunch of banana bread. We'll send that over in a basket-can do juice too if that sounds good... unless we hear otherwise. Thanks, E

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>
To: Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)
Sent: Wed Mar 19 13:46:56 2008
Subject: Diane

Could u ask her to prepare something that I could bring to Sen. Dyson's morning meeting tomorrow in his office? It's a morning mtg that I'd guess includes about 20 people- I'll check for sure but we'll assume 20 for now. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 1:55 PM
To: Ruaro; Randall P (GOV)
Subject: Re: Response to Senator Dyson's Re: Eagle River Loop Road

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: "Ruaro, Randall P (GOV)" <randall.ruaro@alaska.gov>

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2008 13:44:18

To: Sarah Palin <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Response to Senator Dyson's Re: Eagle River Loop Road

Governor:

I have an appointment with Senator Dyson for 4:30 today to discuss.

Thanks,

Randy

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 1:36 PM

To: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)

Cc: Von Scheben, Leo (DOT)

Subject: Re: Response to Senator Dyson's Re: Eagle River Loop Road

yes, pls follow up with Fred. let him know we don't ignore his concerns. thanks

"Ruaro, Randall P (GOV)" <randall.ruaro@alaska.gov> wrote:

Governor:

DOT's response to Senator Dyson's draft editorial on Eagle River Loop Road is attached. My understanding is that over the years, DOT has communicated these points to him.

Would you like me try and talk with the Senator on these issues?

Randy

Unknown

From: Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=EFAGERSTROM]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 1:48 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Re: Diane

No problem. Thanks! E

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>
To: Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)
Sent: Wed Mar 19 13:46:56 2008
Subject: Diane

Could u ask her to prepare something that I could bring to Sen. Dyson's morning meeting tomorrow in his office? It's a morning mtg that I'd guess includes about 20 people- I'll check for sure but we'll assume 20 for now. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 1:27 PM
To: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Re: Rosanne

Great. Pls see if she can come down here sooner rather than later. Monday? If she's good, she's got to fill the role of the Comm boss, and [Privile] can still come on bd too in the Anchorage office if that's still her plan. We reduced Security to 1.5 hourly, on call as needed (keeping Bob and Tom part time) - that's confidential until Nizich tells them all - so we have the funds for beefed up Comm staff now.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2008 11:20:22

To: Sarah Palin <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: Rosanne

I met w/Rosanne [Privileged or Personal Material Redacted]
[Privileged or Personal Material Redacted]

If you'd like to meet w/her, I can arrange for Friday in Anchorage or perhaps she can fly to Juneau next week and also meet w/others. [Privileged or Personal Mate]

Kris

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 12:15 PM
To: Leighow; Sharon W (GOV)
Cc: Perry; Kristina Y (GOV); Mason; Janice L (GOV); Fagerstrom; Erika (GOV)
Subject: Re: Institute of the North

Sounds good. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Leighow, Sharon W (GOV)" <sharon.leighow@alaska.gov>

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2008 11:11:11

To: Sarah Palin <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Cc: "Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>, "Mason, Janice L (GOV)" <janice.mason@alaska.gov>, "Fagerstrom, Erika (GOV)" <erika.fagerstrom@alaska.gov>

Subject: Institute of the North

Governor-

The Institute of the North is working on an educational DVD project called "You Own Alaska". The producer, Marla Williams, sat next to you on a recent flight from Seattle. They are requesting a 30 minute interview with you on the issues of being good stewards of Alaska's resources, our responsibilities to ensure Alaska's future, and what it means to have a stake in Alaska. I will get questions in advance.

They would bring a team of four to Juneau and will likely have a lot of equipment to set up. They have requested 90 minutes to set up so I thought maybe we could shoot this at the mansion. Erika and I could coordinate the set up so you would just have to show up for the interview. I have talked with Janice and we could do this next Thursday. Please let me know.

Sharon Leighow

Deputy Press Secretary

Deputy Communications Director

(907) 269-7450 Anchorage

(907) 465-4031 Juneau

(907) 240-7943 cell

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 2:51 PM
To: Spencer; Kari L (GOV)
Subject: FW: Constituent representation on Fish and Game proposal # 44823

From: Sandy [mailto:sacmatthews@acsalaska.net]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 1:38 PM
To: rep_mike_chenault@legis.state.ak.us
Cc: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Lt.Governor@gov.state.ak.us; Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Constituent representation on Fish and Game proposal # 44823

Representative Chenault,

My neighbors and I are very disappointed to know that your office will not be forwarding our correspondence to you regarding our opposition to ADF&G proposal # 44823, the purchase of the Trujillo property on to the Governors office.

This has gone beyond just keeping our neighborhood as it is. The health of the Kasilof River and its strong salmon runs are at stake. As you know the Kenai River has over crowding, destroyed habitat, aggressive power boaters (guides and private) hydrocarbon pollution, and boat motor noise pollution. And now the State wants to bring that to the Kasilof River.

As your constituents my neighbors and I would like you to see to it that our voices are heard. Governor Palin will make the final decision on funding the proposal and we would like her to see how strongly we oppose it.

Sincerely,

Sandra Matthews
P.O. Box 265
Kasilof Alaska, 99610

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013682

Unknown

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored) [governor@alaska.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 2:48 PM
To: Spencer, Kari L (GOV)
Subject: FW: C.Smith followup oppose F&G

From: cindysmi@acsalaska.net [mailto:cindysmi@acsalaska.net]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 11:29 AM
To: tom.wright@legis.state.ak.us
Cc: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored); Lieutenant Governor Sean Parnell (GOV sponsored); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)
Subject: C.Smith followup oppose F&G

March 19, 2008

State of Alaska
Representative Mike Chenault's Office

Mr. Wright,

I wanted to follow-up with our conversation this morning regarding a Lower Kasilof public access motorized boat launch, and would appreciate if Mr. Chenault would please send all copies of my correspondence with your office and yourself to Governor Palin. I would also like Mr. Chenault to send a letter of the concerns in his District regarding Fish and Games Proposal #44823 in SB256, to Governor Palin.

I am aware that this project is in her capital budget and was placed there early in the process, before anyone in our community realized that this project was again in the budget, after being vetoed last year. We have sent numerous letters of concern regarding the process with which this project has been initiated and pushed through.

Mr. Chenault is our District Representative and I feel that he should let Governor Palin know that, as of recently, we have been trying to get our concerns heard by all departments involved in this process. I understand that Mr. Chenault met with Fish and Game, but that does not subside my concerns, I would still like Mr. Chenault to address my concerns to the Governor in a letter from his constituents opposed to the funding of this proposal. I would like to see this proposal vetoed. Thank you for your time. Please see that Mr. Chenault also receives a copy of this correspondence.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Smith
Kasilof, Alaska
262-8432

10/23/2009

Unknown

From: Sarah Palin [gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 1:36 PM
To: Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Cc: Von Scheben; Leo (DOT)
Subject: Re: Response to Senator Dyson's Re: Eagle River Loop Road
yes, pls follow up with Fred. let him know we don't ignore his concerns. thanks

"Ruaro, Randall P (GOV)" <randall.ruaro@alaska.gov> wrote:

Governor:

DOT's response to Senator Dyson's draft editorial on Eagle River Loop Road is attached. My understanding is that over the years, DOT has communicated these points to him.

Would you like me try and talk with the Senator on these issues?

Randy

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013684

Unknown

From: Nate&Roxy Sheets [nrsheets@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 10:42 AM
To: Palin; Sarah H (GOV); Pamell; Sean R (GOV); Kreitzer; Annette E (DOA)
Subject: Budget for Public Safety

Greetings.

I recently read the article in the ADN regarding the \$70 million worth of capital projects vetoed by the Governor last summer. The reason given for why she was doing that was "to have money for funding areas that were important to her, such as: health, **public safety**, education and transportation". I am writing this email to remind you all of that statement. As of now, the Governor and her Administration has proved to be false and misleading in these statements. The current contract proposed to PSEA by the Palin Administration proves that public safety is not an item that is important to any of you. I am appalled that any of you stand by this proposed contract, (a contract that tells every Department of Public Safety employee that you have no regard for them, their families and that they are of little or no importance to The State of Alaska), and continue to publicly make statements expounding on how important the safety of all Alaskans is to you. Perhaps it would be good for all of you to remember the saying "Actions speak louder than words.". The actions shown by this Administration tell me that they are ignorant to the needs of the citizens of The State of Alaska and to the safety and well being of all. These are actions that will be remembered and explained to every Alaskan I meet.

Now is the time for each of you to reevaluate the proposed contract to the PSEA and make it one that is worthy of The State of Alaska.

Thank you for your time. I will look forward to a response from each of you. This is not my first email or letter I have written and I am still waiting for responses on those as well.

Respectfully,

Roxanne Sheets
P.O. Box 45
Aniak, AK 99557
907-675-4864

10/23/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013685

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 6:58 AM
To: Katz; John W (GOV); Mason; Janice L (GOV); Nyholm; Allison J (GOV); Persily; Larry A (GOV)
Subject: Re: Secretary Gutierrez to AK

Yes, I'll do this. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "John Katz" <jwkatz@ALASKADC.org>

Date: Wed, 19 Mar 2008 08:01:45

To: <janice.mason@alaska.gov>, "Allison Nyholm" <anyholm@ALASKADC.org>, "Larry Persily" <lpersily@ALASKADC.org>

Cc: "Governor Sarah Palin" <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Secretary Gutierrez to AK

Janice, would the Governor want us to seek a meeting between the Secretary and her? This is obviously a Senator Stevens trip, but the Secretary would likely meet with the Governor if she is interested.

Topics could include the State's position on agriculture legislation, beluga whales, trade, or fishery issues generally.

Please note that my email address has changed. My new email address is <mailto:jwkatz@alaskadc.org> jwkatz@alaskadc.org. Thank you .

>>> On 3/17/2008 at 11:24 AM, Allison Nyholm <anyholm@ALASKADC.org> wrote:

All,

Secretary Gutierrez will be in the state March 25th and part of the 26th. He will be doing

some events with Senator Stevens in Fairbanks during this trip.

Allison

Unknown

From: Bailey, Frank T (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FTBAILEY]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 4:51 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'; Ruaro; Randall P (GOV)
Subject: FW: Land Meeting Today

Positive comments regarding Christine Klein from the FAA Regional Mgr today out of a meeting in Fairbanks.

F

Hi Christine,

I understand you had a fairly productive meeting with Jim and Steve this morning, at least we are talking now, which is a huge step in the right direction. I hope you can tolerate Steve's exuberance, he is well meaning, but he often times gets overly excited when articulating his position. Trust me, we have admonished him to rein in his delivery, and we will continue to counsel moderation with him. I hope we can get our next steps meeting set so we can move forward on resolving these land use issues collaboratively.

Jim and I are truly thrilled to have you as a partner in the important work we have to do to ensure safety in Alaska aviation. We are committed to helping you as you work your change agenda. Whatever we can do within our purview to help you affect change within the DOT, we will do.

Thanks for embracing this challenge, together I know we can make this work.

Regards,

BK

Byron K. Huffman

Airports Division Manager

FAA-Alaskan Region

(907) 271-5438

"Finding ways to say yes...

Not reasons to say no"

Unknown

From: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RPRUARO]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 7:26 PM
To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Subject: Visit with Sen. Dyson

Governor:

Mike Barnhill from LAW, Russ Kelly, and I spent about an hour with Senator Dyson. Basically, he has 2 issues.

1). **Use of Legislative Intent Language.** Senator Dyson wants the legislature to be able to control the size and other details of state construction projects through "intent" language in the budget. An example he gave relative to the Eagle River Loop Road project was that he wanted the speed limit to be lower and the median to be about 200 feet shorter. (He has constituent(s) with a business who are concerned customers will have a harder time making left turns to his business because of the median) He wants the legislature to be able to control these kinds of details through Intent language. Mr. Barnhill explained the legal issues and we discussed that DOT is usually in a better position to determine these details through their engineers and safety experts. He said he understood, but wants to pursue the issue through a court case if necessary.

2). **Changes to Eagle River Loop Road.** Senator Dyson wanted several changes to the project. DOT made some changes, like partially narrowing the width of the project to the existing ROW, but refused to make other changes, like lowering the speed limit and shortening the median. Senator Dyson said this upset him and he was still going to submit his letter to the editor of the Eagle River Star.

DOT said they get put in a difficult position on projects like this. They design and build roads to serve the numbers of people using the road. On a high volume road like this, it has to be built a certain way to be safe and keep traffic moving, but this can cause problems with the people living or having businesses on the frontage part of the road. DOT held 10-12 public meetings and says that at some meetings, public comments were well in favor of the bike paths. DOT feels they made reasonable changes to the project to the extent they could without getting into safety issues.

I will continue to work with Leo on a plan to get DOT out and communicate with legislators during the interim on the STIP, earmarks, etc. We may be able to head off issues like this in the future with more communication and there is clearly a high level of frustration by many legislators with DOT.

Randy

8/24/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013690

Unknown

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=IJFRYE]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 9:28 AM
To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Subject: supplemental

I agree with Therriault—I think Harris and Lyda are purposefully making this a difficult process. Unfortunate they can't just do what's right. You're awesome tho...

Therriault explained his no vote on the Senate floor. He argued that it was inappropriate to include the capital items in a supplemental budget, which is generally used to cover unexpected expenses incurred over the course of the year. And he accused majority members of including the items in the budget, which covers fiscal year 2008, to make the 2009 capital budget look smaller.

He also suggested majority members were deliberately not cooperating with the governor.

"It just leads me to believe that there must be some other reason (for not putting the projects in the capital budget)," he said.

Therriault said later he thought there might be some effort "to keep some members agitated at the governor," but wouldn't be more specific.

On the floor, members of the bipartisan majority defended the bill by pointing to the savings elements and funding for certain programs.

The five members of the Republican minority were the only senators to vote against the bill.

8/24/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013691

Unknown

From: John Katz [jwkatz@ALASKADC.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 8:37 AM
To: Governor Sarah Palin
Cc: Michael Tibbles; Kris Perry
Subject: Senator Murkowski Lunch

I understand that you had lunch with Senator Murkowski yesterday. I'm not prying, but just wanted to know how it went and whether there is anything that we should follow-up on from here.

I was pleased with Senator Stevens' recent clarification on earmarks but have concluded that there is nothing more we can do to change the inaccurate perceptions of the Voice of the Times on the State's earmark policy.

Please note that my email address has changed. My new email address is jwkatz@alaskadc.org. Thank you .

8/24/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013692

Unknown

From: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RPRUARO]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 12:02 PM
To: 'Sarah Palin'; Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Cc: Von Scheben; Leo (DOT)
Subject: Response to Senator Dyson's Re: Eagle River Loop Road

Governor:

DOT's response to Senator Dyson's draft editorial on Eagle River Loop Road is attached. My understanding is that over the years, DOT has communicated these points to him.

Would you like me try and talk with the Senator on these issues?

Randy

8/24/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013693

Unknown

From: Perry, Kristina Y (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KYPERRY]

Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 11:20 AM

To: 'Sarah Palin'

Subject: Rosanne

I met w/Rosanne. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted
Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

If you'd like to meet w/her, I can arrange for Friday in Anchorage or perhaps she can fly to Juneau next week and also meet w/others. Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Kris

8/24/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013694

Unknown

From: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RPRUARO]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 1:44 PM
To: 'Sarah Palin'
Subject: RE: Response to Senator Dyson's Re: Eagle River Loop Road

Governor:

I have an appointment with Senator Dyson for 4:30 today to discuss.

Thanks,

Randy

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 1:36 PM
To: Ruaro, Randall P (GOV); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV)
Cc: Von Scheben, Leo (DOT)
Subject: Re: Response to Senator Dyson's Re: Eagle River Loop Road

yes, pls follow up with Fred. let him know we don't ignore his concerns. thanks

"Ruaro, Randall P (GOV)" <randall.ruaro@alaska.gov> wrote:
Governor:

DOT's response to Senator Dyson's draft editorial on Eagle River Loop Road is attached. My understanding is that over the years, DOT has communicated these points to him.

Would you like me try and talk with the Senator on these issues?

Randy

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013695

Byers, Gail Y (LAW)

From: winczura@mtaonline.net
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2008 9:42 PM
To: Notti; Emil R (CED)
Cc: Palin; Sarah H (GOV)
Subject: Letter

Attached is a letter that may be of interest to you. I welcome any questions/comments you may have.

John Winczura RN, PA-C

6/9/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013696

John Winczura RN, PA-C

1444 South Creek Rd
Eagle River, AK 99577
(907) 696-1080

March 19, 2008

Alaska State Medical Board

Dear Board Members:

I am addressing this board once again because of difficulties that I am having in successfully working as a physician assistant in the positions that I have attempted to be hired in, but mainly because of the difficulty that I am having in satisfying the demands of this board for obtaining a collaborative physician in the Anchorage bowl. Although I have addressed several different physicians in the area, I have been unable to find one that is willing to act as a collaborative physician in my business endeavor. Sadly, although one would like to think that there are no ramifications from others concerning a history of alcoholism, in real life this is not the case. I have mentioned before that I truly regret my past actions while actively practicing as an alcoholic. I have now been sober for 7 1/2 years and am trying to get my life back together again. This has been an upward battle all the way. I am not expecting others to feel sorry for me, I am not trying to make excuses, and I do not expect that "the world owes me". Be that as it may, it is clear to me that the public at large still has a very negative attitude towards recovering alcoholics and addicts.

This is not just a statement, this is a fact. I have personally experienced this situation over and over again. Major hospitals in the area have refused to hire me into positions that I am well-qualified for even though at the time they have had a shortage of qualified personnel applying for these positions. Several positions as a physician assistant that I have applied for in private clinics, I have never made it past the interview. It seems as soon as I mentioned that I am a recovering alcoholic, or that I am working under a Memorandum of Agreement with the State Medical Board, interest seems to completely die off. I realize that the underlying reasons for this discriminatory behavior stem from my past history, but that is just it-it is past history! Once again I remind this board that I have been clean and sober for about 7 1/2 years.

There are several new members sitting on this board now that may not know my history. I am therefore going to briefly review the history of my interaction with this board. In November of 2000, I realized that my consumption of alcohol had become completely out of control. I voluntarily admitted myself to a world renowned treatment program for healthcare professionals "Talbot Recovery Center" and spent the next four months in inpatient treatment. I invested all this time and money because I felt like I needed to do this to save my life. When I was discharged from this facility, I returned to Alaska with the intention of returning to work as recommended by my treatment counselors. I approached both the Alaska State Nursing Board and the Alaska State Medical Board with the intention of being completely open and honest with these boards as to my past transgressions and my intention for long term sobriety for the future. Interestingly enough, both these boards are under the umbrella of the Department of Community and Economic Development, the supervisor of which at that time was Rick Union. The nursing board, after reviewing my history and the recommendations of the treatment facility, elected to allow me to go back to work with a Memorandum of Agreement that would appropriately supervise my employment as a registered nurse. (I was at that time licensed both as a registered nurse and a physician assistant). The executive director of the nursing board even helped me obtain my first job.

However, when I approached the medical board, I had a completely different reaction. The chairperson (Martha Cotten) blatantly stated during that meeting that "if it were up to me you would never get your physician assistant license again (because you are an alcoholic and you lied to this board)". Needless to say I was flabbergasted. I spent the next 3 1/2 years approaching the board several times attempting to get my license renewed. I had even on the first meeting occasion had a tentative Memorandum of Agreement worked out by the medical board investigators and myself for submission to the board. That MOA was thrown out without any consideration. After 3 1/2 years, when the board finally decided that I was worthy of having my license returned, voted to reinstate my license

March 19, 2008

and then immediately voted to suspend it for another year. During this entire time I was being monitored by an entity of the Department of Community and Economic Development. After that year suspension the board finally accepted a memorandum of agreement and I was able to have my license renewed. It took me over six months after my license being active before I could even find employment even though I was beating the streets daily. One of the clinics that I applied to had actually hired me, signed a collaborative plan that was to be submitted to the medical board, and then the following day after reading a copy of my Memorandum of Agreement (that I am required to give to any of my employers) backed out of the agreement and made an excuse about why he could not hire me at that time. I have reviewed these events not to obtain sympathy from you, nor to self justify my feelings toward the actions of this board in the past, but to enlighten you as to what has transpired over the past 7 1/2 years. I am now 60 years old, without a steady means of employment, recently diagnosed with a potentially fatal disease, unable to complete the requirements for this board in order for my idea for a business venture to come to fruition due to the lack of willingness to participate as a collaborative physician by those physicians approached in the Anchorage area. I therefore would like to suggest some things that the board can consider to help me be successful in working in the profession that I worked so hard for.

At the last meeting that I attended, I requested some minor changes in the memorandum of agreement that would enable me to get started in my new business venture. At this time I would like to make some suggestions that might make this board feel more comfortable.

1. Dismiss the remaining portion of my memorandum of agreement since I have already satisfied the usual and customary length of supervision required by either the nursing or the medical boards. Or,

2. Allow my alternate collaborative physician to be outside of the Anchorage area with the caveat that I maintain face-to-face contact with my primary collaborative physician at least once a week via a video link between myself and the primary physician. A face-to-face meeting in person would be necessary at least once a quarter. I would be more than willing to have a face-to-face meeting with the medical board investigator in charge of my case as frequently as once a week in addition to the requirements already set forth in the current memorandum of agreement.

I have maintained in the past that this board is operating outside the guidelines of the American With Disabilities Act concerning the treatment of recovering alcoholics and addicts such as myself. I have included with this letter an attachment from the Department of Justice concerning Title II of the ADA. I strongly suggest that you review this, especially the highlighted areas so that you may understand why I have been so frustrated with my treatment by this board so far. I am not asking for sympathy, I am not trying to make excuses, and I am not expecting anything to be done outside of what is legally right. In the past I have been criticized for my outspoken nature. It is not within this board's jurisdiction to have their decisions be influenced by my right to free speech. I have always done my best to be compliant to the demands made of me, albeit at times with prejudice; and I have always maintained professionalism in my actions and responses. I AM NOW FORMALLY REQUESTING REASONABLE ACCOMADATION UNDER TITLE II OF THE ADA.

Sincerely,



John Winczura RN, PA-C
Anchorage Housecall Medicine LLC

cc: Governor Sarah Palin
Emil Notti, Commissioner DCED

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of the Attorney General

28 CFR PART 35

[Order No.]

Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in State and Local Government Services

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule implements subtitle A of title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Pub. L. 101-336, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability by public entities. Subtitle A protects qualified individuals with disabilities from discrimination on the basis of disability in the services, programs, or activities of all State and local governments. It extends the prohibition of discrimination in federally assisted programs established by section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 to all activities of State and local governments, including those that do not receive Federal financial assistance, and incorporates specific prohibitions of discrimination on the basis of disability from titles I, III, and V of the Americans with Disabilities Act. This rule, therefore, adopts the general prohibitions of discrimination established under section 504, as well as the requirements for making programs accessible to individuals with disabilities and for providing equally effective communications. It also sets forth standards for what constitutes discrimination on the basis of mental or physical disability, provides a definition of disability and qualified individual with a disability, and establishes a complaint mechanism for resolving allegations of discrimination.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 26, 1992.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Barbara S. Drake, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division; Stewart B. Oneglia, Chief, Coordination and Review Section, Civil Rights Division; John L. Wodatch, Director, Office on the Americans with Disabilities Act, Civil Rights Division; all of the U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530. These individuals may be contacted through the Division's ADA Information Line at (202) 514-0301 (Voice), (202) 514-0381 (TDD), or (202) 514- 0383 (TDD). These telephone numbers are not toll-free numbers.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.

The landmark Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA" or "the Act"), enacted on July 26, 1990, provides comprehensive civil rights protections to individuals with disabilities in the areas of employment, public accommodations, State and local government services, and telecommunications.

This regulation implements subtitle A of title II of the ADA, which applies to State and local governments. Most programs and activities of State and local governments are recipients of Federal financial assistance from one or more Federal funding agencies and, therefore, are already covered by section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. 794) ("section 504"), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicap in federally assisted programs and activities. Because title II of the ADA essentially extends the nondiscrimination mandate of section 504 to those State and local governments that do not receive Federal financial assistance, this rule hews closely to the provisions of existing section 504 regulations. This approach is also based on section 204 of the ADA, which provides that the regulations issued by the Attorney General to implement title II shall be consistent with the ADA and with the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare's coordination regulation, now codified at 28 CFR Part 41, and, with respect to "program accessibility, existing facilities," and "communications," with the Department of Justice's regulation for its federally conducted programs and activities, codified at 28 CFR Part 39.

The first regulation implementing section 504 was issued in 1977 by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) for the programs and activities to which it provided Federal financial assistance. The following year, pursuant to Executive Order 11914, HEW issued its coordination regulation for federally assisted programs, which served as the model for regulations issued by the other Federal agencies that administer grant programs. HEW's coordination authority, and the coordination regulation issued under that authority, were transferred to the Department of Justice by Executive Order 12250 in 1980.

In 1978, Congress extended application of section 504 to programs and activities conducted by Federal Executive agencies and the United States Postal Service. Pursuant to Executive Order 12250, the Department of Justice developed a prototype regulation to implement the 1978 amendment for federally conducted programs and activities. More than 80 Federal agencies have now issued final regulations based on that prototype, prohibiting discrimination based on handicap in the programs and activities they conduct.

Despite the large number of regulations implementing section 504 for federally assisted and federally conducted programs and activities, there is very little variation in their substantive requirements, or even in their language. Major portions of this regulation, therefore, are taken directly from the existing regulations.

In addition, section 204(b) of the ADA requires that the Department's regulation implementing subtitle A of title II be consistent with the ADA. Thus, the Department's final regulation includes provisions and concepts from titles I and III of the ADA.

Rulemaking History.

On February 22, 1991, the Department of Justice published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) implementing title III of the ADA in the *Federal Register*. 56 FR 7452. On February 28, 1991, the Department published a notice of proposed rulemaking implementing subtitle A of title II of the ADA in the *Federal Register*. 56 FR 8538. Each NPRM solicited comments on the definitions, standards, and procedures of the proposed rules. By the April 29, 1991, close of the comment period of the NPRM for title II, the Department had received 2,718 comments. Following the close of the comment period, the Department received an additional 222 comments.

In order to encourage public participation in the development of the Department's rules under the ADA, the Department held four public hearings. Hearings were held in Dallas, Texas on March 4-5, 1991, in Washington, D.C. on March 13-15, 1991, in San Francisco, California on March 18-19, 1991, and in Chicago, Illinois on March 27-28, 1991. At these hearings, 329 persons testified and 1,567 pages of testimony were compiled. Transcripts of the hearings were included in the Department's rulemaking docket.

The comments that the Department received occupy almost six feet of shelf space and contain over 10,000 pages. The Department received comments from individuals from all fifty States and the District of Columbia. Nearly 75% of the comments that the Department received came from individuals and from organizations representing the interests of persons with disabilities. The Department received 292 comments from entities covered by the ADA and trade associations representing businesses in the private sector, and 67 from government units, such as mayors' offices, public school districts, and various State agencies working with individuals with disabilities.

The Department received one comment from a consortium of 540 organizations representing a broad spectrum of persons with disabilities. In addition, at least another 25 commenters endorsed the position expressed by this consortium, or submitted identical comments on one or both proposed regulations.

An organization representing persons with hearing impairments submitted a large number of comments. This organization presented the Department with 479 individual comments, each providing in chart form a detailed representation of what type of auxiliary aid or service would be useful in the various categories of places of public accommodation.

The Department received a number of comments based on almost ten different form letters. For example, individuals who have a heightened sensitivity to a variety of chemical substances submitted 266 post cards detailing how exposure to various environmental conditions restricts their access to public and commercial buildings. Another large group of form letters came from groups affiliated with independent living centers.

The vast majority of the comments addressed the Department's proposal implementing title III. Slightly more than 100 comments addressed only issues presented in the proposed title II regulation.

The Department read and analyzed each comment that was submitted in a timely fashion. Transcripts of the four hearings were analyzed along with the written comments. The decisions that the Department has made in response to these comments, however, were not made on the basis of the number of commenters addressing any one point but on a thorough consideration of the merits of the points of view expressed in the comments. Copies of the written comments, including transcripts of the four hearings, will remain available for public inspection in Room 854 of the HOLC Building, 320 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except for legal holidays, until August 30, 1991.

Overview of the Rule.

The rule is organized into seven subparts. Subpart A, "General," includes the purpose and application sections, describes the relationship of the Act to other laws, and defines key terms used in the regulation. It also includes administrative requirements adapted from section 504 regulations for self-evaluations, notices, designation of responsible employees, and adoption of grievance procedures by public entities.

Subpart B, "General Requirements," contains the general prohibitions of discrimination based on the Act and the section 504 regulations. It also contains certain "miscellaneous" provisions derived from title V of the Act that involve issues such as retaliation and coercion against those asserting ADA rights, illegal use of drugs, and restrictions on smoking. These provisions are also included in the Department's proposed title III regulation, as is the general provision on maintenance of accessible features.

Subpart C addresses employment by public entities, which is also covered by title I of the Act. Subpart D, which is also based on the section 504 regulations, sets out the requirements for program accessibility in existing facilities and for new construction and alterations. Subpart E contains specific requirements relating to communications.

Subpart F establishes administrative procedures for enforcement of title II. As provided by section 203 of the Act, these are based on the procedures for enforcement of section 504, which, in turn, are based on the enforcement procedures for title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4a). Subpart F also restates the provisions of title V of the ADA on attorneys fees, alternative means of dispute resolution, the effect of unavailability of technical assistance, and State immunity.

Subpart G designates the Federal agencies responsible for investigation of complaints under this part. It assigns enforcement responsibility for particular public entities, on the basis of their major functions, to eight Federal agencies that currently have substantial responsibilities for enforcing section 504. It provides that the Department of Justice would have enforcement responsibility for all State and local government entities not

specifically assigned to other designated agencies, but that the Department may further assign specific functions to other agencies. The part would not, however, displace the existing enforcement authorities of the Federal funding agencies under section 504.

Regulatory Process Matters.

This final rule has been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12291. The Department is preparing a final regulatory impact analysis (RIA) of this rule and the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board is preparing an RIA for its Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines for Buildings and Facilities (ADAAG) that are incorporated in Appendix A of the Department's final rule implementing title III of the ADA. Draft copies of both preliminary RIAs are available for comment; the Department will provide copies of these documents to the public upon request. Commenters are urged to provide additional information as to the costs and benefits associated with this rule. This will facilitate the development of a final RIA by January 1, 1992.

The Department's RIA will evaluate the economic impact of the final rule. Included among those title II provisions that are likely to result in significant economic impact are the requirements for auxiliary aids, barrier removal in existing facilities, and readily accessible new construction and alterations. An analysis of these costs will be included in the RIA.

The Preliminary RIA prepared for the notice of proposed rulemaking contained all of the available information that would have been included in a preliminary regulatory flexibility analysis, had one been prepared under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, concerning the rule's impact on small entities. The final RIA will contain all of the information that is required in a final regulatory flexibility analysis and will serve as such an analysis. Moreover, the extensive notice and comment procedure followed by the Department in the promulgation of this rule, which included public hearings, dissemination of materials, and provision of speakers to affected groups, clearly provided any interested small entities with the notice and opportunity for comment provided for under the Regulatory Flexibility Act procedures.

The Department is preparing a statement of the federalism impact of the rule under Executive Order 12612 and will provide copies of this statement on request.

The reporting and recordkeeping requirements described in the rule are considered to be information collection requirements as that term is defined by the Office of Management and Budget in 5 CFR Part 1320. Accordingly, those information collection requirements have been submitted to OMB for review pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Subpart A -- General

§35.101 Purpose.

Section 35.101 states the purpose of the rule, which is to effectuate subtitle A of title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the Act), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability by public entities. This part does not, however, apply to matters within the scope of the authority of the Secretary of Transportation under subtitle B of title II of the Act.

§35.102 Application.

This provision specifies that, except as provided in paragraph (b), the regulation applies to all services, programs, and activities provided or made available by public entities, as that term is defined in §35.104. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicap in federally assisted programs and activities, already covers those programs and activities of public entities that receive Federal financial assistance. Title II of the ADA extends this prohibition of discrimination to include all services, programs, and activities provided or made available by State and local governments or any of their instrumentalities or agencies, regardless of the receipt of Federal financial assistance. Except as provided in §35.134, this part does not apply to private entities.

The scope of title II's coverage of public entities is comparable to the coverage of Federal Executive agencies under the 1978 amendment to section 504, which extended section 504's application to all programs and activities "conducted by" Federal Executive agencies, in that title II applies to anything a public entity does. Title II coverage, however, is not limited to "Executive" agencies, but includes activities of the legislative and judicial branches of State and local governments. All governmental activities of public entities are covered, even if they are carried out by contractors. For example, a State is obligated by title II to ensure that the services, programs, and activities of a State park inn operated under contract by a private entity are in compliance with title II's requirements. The private entity operating the inn would also be subject to the obligations of public accommodations under title III of the Act and the Department's title III regulations at 28 CFR Part 36.

Aside from employment, which is also covered by title I of the Act, there are two major categories of programs or activities covered by this regulation: those involving general public contact as part of ongoing operations of the entity and those directly administered by the entities for program beneficiaries and participants. Activities in the first category include communication with the public (telephone contacts, office walk-ins, or interviews) and the public's use of the entity's facilities. Activities in the second category include programs that provide State or local government services or benefits.

Paragraph (b) of §35.102 explains that to the extent that the public transportation services, programs, and activities of public entities are covered by subtitle B of title II of the Act, they are subject to the regulation of the Department of Transportation (DOT) at 49 CFR Part 37, and are not covered by this part. The Department of Transportation's

ADA regulation establishes specific requirements for construction of transportation facilities and acquisition of vehicles. Matters not covered by subtitle B, such as the provision of auxiliary aids, are covered by this rule. For example, activities that are covered by the Department of Transportation's regulation implementing subtitle B are not required to be included in the self-evaluation required by §35.105. In addition, activities not specifically addressed by DOT's ADA regulation may be covered by DOT's regulation implementing section 504 for its federally assisted programs and activities at 49 CFR Part 27. Like other programs of public entities that are also recipients of Federal financial assistance, those programs would be covered by both the section 504 regulation and this part. Although airports operated by public entities are not subject to DOT's ADA regulation, they are subject to subpart A of title II and to this rule.

Some commenters asked for clarification about the responsibilities of public school systems under section 504 and the ADA with respect to programs, services, and activities that are not covered by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), including, for example, programs open to parents or to the public, graduation ceremonies, parent-teacher organization meetings, plays and other events open to the public, and adult education classes. Public school systems must comply with the ADA in all of their services, programs, or activities, including those that are open to parents or to the public. For instance, public school systems must provide program accessibility to parents and guardians with disabilities to these programs, activities, or services, and appropriate auxiliary aids and services whenever necessary to ensure effective communication, as long as the provision of the auxiliary aids results neither in an undue burden or in a fundamental alteration of the program.

§35.103 Relationship to other laws.

Section 35.103 is derived from sections 501(a) and (b) of the ADA. Paragraph (a) of this section provides that, except as otherwise specifically provided by this part, title II of the ADA is not intended to apply lesser standards than are required under title V of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. 790-94), or the regulations implementing that title. The standards of title V of the Rehabilitation Act apply for purposes of the ADA to the extent that the ADA has not explicitly adopted a different standard than title V. Because title II of the ADA essentially extends the antidiscrimination prohibition embodied in section 504 to all actions of State and local governments, the standards adopted in this part are generally the same as those required under section 504 for federally assisted programs. Title II, however, also incorporates those provisions of titles I and III of the ADA that are not inconsistent with the regulations implementing section 504. Judiciary Committee report, H.R. Rep. No. 485, 101st Cong., 2d Sess., pt. 3, at 51 (1990) [hereinafter "Judiciary report"]; Education and Labor Committee report, H.R. Rep. No. 485, 101st Cong., 2d Sess., pt. 2, at 84 (1990) [hereinafter "Education and Labor report"]. Therefore, this part also includes appropriate provisions derived from the regulations implementing those titles. The inclusion of specific language in this part, however, should not be interpreted as an indication that a requirement is not included under a regulation implementing section 504.

Paragraph (b) makes clear that Congress did not intend to displace any of the rights or remedies provided by other Federal laws (including section 504) or other State laws (including State common law) that provide greater or equal protection to individuals with disabilities. As discussed above, the standards adopted by title II of the ADA for State and local government services are generally the same as those required under section 504 for federally assisted programs and activities. Subpart F of the regulation establishes compliance procedures for processing complaints covered by both this part and section 504.

With respect to State law, a plaintiff may choose to pursue claims under a State law that does not confer greater substantive rights, or even confers fewer substantive rights, if the alleged violation is protected under the alternative law and the remedies are greater. For example, a person with a physical disability could seek damages under a State law that allows compensatory and punitive damages for discrimination on the basis of physical disability, but not on the basis of mental disability. In that situation, the State law would provide narrower coverage, by excluding mental disabilities, but broader remedies, and an individual covered by both laws could choose to bring an action under both laws. Moreover, State tort claims confer greater remedies and are not preempted by the ADA. A plaintiff may join a State tort claim to a case brought under the ADA. In such a case, the plaintiff must, of course, prove all the elements of the State tort claim in order to prevail under that cause of action.

§35.104 Definitions.

"Act." The word "Act" is used in this part to refer to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101-336, which is also referred to as the "ADA."

"Assistant Attorney General." The term "Assistant Attorney General" refers to the Assistant Attorney General of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice.

"Auxiliary aids and services." Auxiliary aids and services include a wide range of services and devices for ensuring effective communication. The proposed definition in §35.104 provided a list of examples of auxiliary aids and services that was taken from the definition of auxiliary aids and services in section 3(1) of the ADA and was supplemented by examples from regulations implementing section 504 in federally conducted programs (see 28 CFR 39.103).

A substantial number of commenters suggested that additional examples be added to this list. The Department has added several items to this list but wishes to clarify that the list is not an all-inclusive or exhaustive catalogue of possible or available auxiliary aids or services. It is not possible to provide an exhaustive list, and an attempt to do so would omit the new devices that will become available with emerging technology.

Subparagraph (1) lists several examples, which would be considered auxiliary aids and services to make aurally delivered materials available to individuals with hearing impairments. The Department has changed the phrase used in the proposed rules, "orally

delivered materials," to the statutory phrase, "aurally delivered materials," to track section 3 of the ADA and to include non-verbal sounds and alarms, and computer generated speech.

The Department has added videotext displays, transcription services, and closed and open captioning to the list of examples. Videotext displays have become an important means of accessing auditory communications through a public address system. Transcription services are used to relay aurally delivered material almost simultaneously in written form to persons who are deaf or hearing-impaired. This technology is often used at conferences, conventions, and hearings. While the proposed rule expressly included television decoder equipment as an auxiliary aid or service, it did not mention captioning itself. The final rule rectifies this omission by mentioning both closed and open captioning.

Several persons and organizations requested that the Department replace the term "telecommunications devices for deaf persons" or "TDD's" with the term "text telephone." The Department has declined to do so. The Department is aware that the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (ATBCB) has used the phrase "text telephone" in lieu of the statutory term "TDD" in its final accessibility guidelines. Title IV of the ADA, however, uses the term "Telecommunications Device for the Deaf" and the Department believes it would be inappropriate to abandon this statutory term at this time.

Several commenters urged the Department to include in the definition of "auxiliary aids and services" devices that are now available or that may become available with emerging technology. The Department declines to do so in the rule. The Department, however, emphasizes that, although the definition would include "state of the art" devices, public entities are not required to use the newest or most advanced technologies as long as the auxiliary aid or service that is selected affords effective communication.

Subparagraph (2) lists examples of aids and services for making visually delivered materials accessible to persons with visual impairments. Many commenters proposed additional examples, such as signage or mapping, audio description services, secondary auditory programs, telebrailers, and reading machines. While the Department declines to add these items to the list, they are auxiliary aids and services and may be appropriate depending on the circumstances.

Subparagraph (3) refers to acquisition or modification of equipment or devices. Several commenters suggested the addition of current technological innovations in microelectronics and computerized control systems (e.g., voice recognition systems, automatic dialing telephones, and infrared elevator and light control systems) to the list of auxiliary aids. The Department interprets auxiliary aids and services as those aids and services designed to provide effective communications, i.e., making aurally and visually delivered information available to persons with hearing, speech, and vision impairments. Methods of making services, programs, or activities accessible to, or usable by, individuals with mobility or manual dexterity impairments are addressed by other

sections of this part, including the provision for modifications in policies, practices, or procedures (§35.130(b)(7)).

Paragraph (b)(4) deals with other similar services and actions. Several commenters asked for clarification that "similar services and actions" include retrieving items from shelves, assistance in reaching a marginally accessible seat, pushing a barrier aside in order to provide an accessible route, or assistance in removing a sweater or coat. While retrieving an item from a shelf might be an "auxiliary aid or service" for a blind person who could not locate the item without assistance, it might be a method of providing program access for a person using a wheelchair who could not reach the shelf, or a reasonable modification to a self-service policy for an individual who lacked the ability to grasp the item. As explained above, auxiliary aids and services are those aids and services required to provide effective communications. Other forms of assistance are more appropriately addressed by other provisions of the final rule.

"Complete complaint." "Complete complaint" is defined to include all the information necessary to enable the Federal agency designated under subpart G as responsible for investigation of a complaint to initiate its investigation.

"Current illegal use of drugs." The phrase "current illegal use of drugs" is used in §35.131. Its meaning is discussed in the preamble for that section.

"Designated agency." The term "designated agency" is used to refer to the Federal agency designated under subpart G of this rule as responsible for carrying out the administrative enforcement responsibilities established by subpart F of the rule.

"Disability." The definition of the term "disability" is the same as the definition in the title III regulation codified at 28 CFR Part 36. It is comparable to the definition of the term "individual with handicaps" in section 7(8) of the Rehabilitation Act and section 802(h) of the Fair Housing Act. The Education and Labor Committee report makes clear that the analysis of the term "individual with handicaps" by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) in its regulations implementing section 504 (42 FR 22685 (May 4, 1977)) and the analysis by the Department of Housing and Urban Development in its regulation implementing the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (54 FR 3232 (Jan. 23, 1989)) should also apply fully to the term "disability" (Education and Labor report at 50).

The use of the term "disability" instead of "handicap" and the term "individual with a disability" instead of "individual with handicaps" represents an effort by Congress to make use of up-to-date, currently accepted terminology. As with racial and ethnic epithets, the choice of terms to apply to a person with a disability is overlaid with stereotypes, patronizing attitudes, and other emotional connotations. Many individuals with disabilities, and organizations representing such individuals, object to the use of such terms as "handicapped person" or "the handicapped." In other recent legislation, Congress also recognized this shift in terminology, e.g., by changing the name of the

National Council on the Handicapped to the National Council on Disability (Pub. L. 100-630).

In enacting the Americans with Disabilities Act, Congress concluded that it was important for the current legislation to use terminology most in line with the sensibilities of most Americans with disabilities. No change in definition or substance is intended nor should one be attributed to this change in phraseology.

The term "disability" means, with respect to an individual -

- (A) A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual;
- (B) A record of such an impairment; or
- (C) Being regarded as having such an impairment.

If an individual meets any one of these three tests, he or she is considered to be an individual with a disability for purposes of coverage under the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Congress adopted this same basic definition of "disability," first used in the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and in the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, for a number of reasons. First, it has worked well since it was adopted in 1974. Second, it would not be possible to guarantee comprehensiveness by providing a list of specific disabilities, especially because new disorders may be recognized in the future, as they have since the definition was first established in 1974.

Test A — A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual

Physical or mental impairment. Under the first test, an individual must have a physical or mental impairment. As explained in paragraph (1)(i) of the definition, "impairment" means any physiological disorder or condition, cosmetic disfigurement, or anatomical loss affecting one or more of the following body systems: neurological; musculoskeletal; special sense organs (which would include speech organs that are not respiratory such as vocal cords, soft palate, tongue, etc.); respiratory, including speech organs; cardiovascular; reproductive; digestive; genitourinary; hemic and lymphatic; skin; and endocrine. It also means any mental or psychological disorder, such as mental retardation, organic brain syndrome, emotional or mental illness, and specific learning disabilities. This list closely tracks the one used in the regulations for section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (see, e.g., 45 CFR 84.3(j)(2)(i)).

Many commenters asked that "traumatic brain injury" be added to the list in paragraph (1)(i). Traumatic brain injury is already included because it is a physiological condition affecting one of the listed body systems, i.e., "neurological." Therefore, it was

unnecessary to add the term to the regulation, which only provides representative examples of physiological disorders.

It is not possible to include a list of all the specific conditions, contagious and noncontagious diseases, or infections that would constitute physical or mental impairments because of the difficulty of ensuring the comprehensiveness of such a list, particularly in light of the fact that other conditions or disorders may be identified in the future. However, the list of examples in paragraph (1)(ii) of the definition includes: orthopedic, visual, speech and hearing impairments, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, muscular dystrophy, multiple sclerosis, cancer, heart disease, diabetes, mental retardation, emotional illness, specific learning disabilities, HIV disease (symptomatic or asymptomatic), tuberculosis, [REDACTED]. The phrase "symptomatic or asymptomatic" was inserted in the final rule after "HIV disease" in response to commenters who suggested the clarification was necessary.

The examples of "physical or mental impairments" in paragraph (1)(ii) are the same as those contained in many section 504 regulations, except for the addition of the phrase "contagious and noncontagious" to describe the types of diseases and conditions included, and the addition of "HIV disease (symptomatic or asymptomatic)" and "tuberculosis" to the list of examples. These additions are based on the committee reports, caselaw, and official legal opinions interpreting section 504. In *School Board of Nassau County v. Arline*, 480 U.S. 273 (1987), a case involving an individual with tuberculosis, the Supreme Court held that people with contagious diseases are entitled to the protections afforded by section 504. Following the *Arline* decision, this Department's Office of Legal Counsel issued a legal opinion that concluded that symptomatic HIV disease is an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity; therefore it has been included in the definition of disability under this part. The opinion also concluded that asymptomatic HIV disease is an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity, either because of its actual effect on the individual with HIV disease or because the reactions of other people to individuals with HIV disease cause such individuals to be treated as though they are disabled. See Memorandum from Douglas W. Kmiec, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice, to Arthur B. Culvahouse, Jr., Counsel to the President (Sept. 27, 1988), reprinted in Hearings on S. 933, the Americans with Disabilities Act, Before the Subcomm. on the Handicapped of the Senate Comm. on Labor and Human Resources, 101st. Cong., 1st Sess. 346 (1989).

Paragraph (1)(iii) states that the phrase "physical or mental impairment" does not include homosexuality or bisexuality. These conditions were never considered impairments under other Federal disability laws. Section 511(a) of the statute makes clear that they are likewise not to be considered impairments under the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Physical or mental impairment does not include simple physical characteristics, such as blue eyes or black hair.

Nor does it include environmental, cultural, economic, or other disadvantages, such as having a prison record, or being poor.

Nor is age a disability. Similarly, the definition does not include common personality traits such as poor judgment or a quick temper where these are not symptoms of a mental or psychological disorder. However, a person who has these characteristics and also has a physical or mental impairment may be considered as having a disability for purposes of the Americans with Disabilities Act based on the impairment.

Substantial limitation of a major life activity. Under Test A, the impairment must be one that "substantially limits a major life activity." Major life activities include such things as caring for one's self, performing manual tasks, walking, seeing, hearing, speaking, breathing, learning, and working.

For example, a person who is paraplegic is substantially limited in the major life activity of walking, a person who is blind is substantially limited in the major life activity of seeing, and a person who is mentally retarded is substantially limited in the major life activity of learning. A person with traumatic brain injury is substantially limited in the major life activities of caring for one's self, learning, and working because of memory deficit, confusion, contextual difficulties, and inability to reason appropriately.

A person is considered an individual with a disability for purposes of Test A, the first prong of the definition, when the individual's important life activities are restricted as to the conditions, manner, or duration under which they can be performed in comparison to most people. A person with a minor, trivial impairment, such as a simple infected finger, is not impaired in a major life activity. A person who can walk for 10 miles continuously is not substantially limited in walking merely because, on the eleventh mile, he or she begins to experience pain, because most people would not be able to walk eleven miles without experiencing some discomfort.

The Department received many comments on the proposed rule's inclusion of the word "temporary" in the definition of "disability." The preamble indicated that impairments are not necessarily excluded from the definition of "disability" simply because they are temporary, but that the duration, or expected duration, of an impairment is one factor that may properly be considered in determining whether the impairment substantially limits a major life activity. The preamble recognized, however, that temporary impairments, such as a broken leg, are not commonly regarded as disabilities, and only in rare circumstances would the degree of the limitation and its expected duration be substantial. Nevertheless, many commenters objected to inclusion of the word "temporary" both because it is not in the statute and because it is not contained in the definition of "disability" set forth in the title I regulations of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). The word "temporary" has been deleted from the final rule to conform with the statutory language.

The question of whether a temporary impairment is a disability must be resolved on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration both the duration (or expected duration) of the impairment and the extent to which it actually limits a major life activity of the affected individual.

The question of whether a person has a disability should be assessed without regard to the availability of mitigating measures, such as reasonable modifications or auxiliary aids and services. For example, a person with hearing loss is substantially limited in the major life activity of hearing, even though the loss may be improved through the use of a hearing aid. Likewise, persons with impairments, such as epilepsy or diabetes, that substantially limit a major life activity, are covered under the first prong of the definition of disability, even if the effects of the impairment are controlled by medication.

Many commenters asked that environmental illness (also known as multiple chemical sensitivity) as well as allergy to cigarette smoke be recognized as disabilities. The Department, however, declines to state categorically that these the determination as to whether an impairment is a disability depends on whether, given the particular circumstances at issue, the impairment substantially limits one or more major life activities (or has a history of, or is regarded as having such an effect).

Sometimes respiratory or neurological functioning is so severely affected that an individual will satisfy the requirements to be considered disabled under the regulation. Such an individual would be entitled to all of the protections afforded by the Act and this part. In other cases, individuals may be sensitive to environmental elements or to smoke but their sensitivity will not rise to the level needed to constitute a disability. For example, their major life activity of breathing may be somewhat, but not substantially, impaired. In such circumstances, the individuals are not disabled and are not entitled to the protections of the statute despite their sensitivity to environmental agents.

In sum, the determination as to whether allergies to cigarette smoke, or allergies or sensitivities characterized by the commenters as environmental illness are disabilities covered by the regulation must be made using the same case-by-case analysis that is applied to all other physical or mental impairments. Moreover, the addition of specific regulatory provisions relating to environmental illness in the final rule would be inappropriate at this time pending future consideration of the issue by the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration of the Department of Labor.

Test B -- A record of such an impairment

This test is intended to cover those who have a record of an impairment. As explained in paragraph (3) of the rule's definition of disability, this includes a person who has a history of an impairment that substantially limited a major life activity, such as someone who has recovered from an impairment. It also includes persons who have been misclassified as having an impairment.

This provision is included in the definition in part to protect individuals who have recovered from a physical or mental impairment that previously substantially limited them in a major life activity. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Frequently occurring examples of the first group (those who have a history of an impairment) are persons with histories of mental or emotional illness, heart disease, or

cancer; examples of the second group (those who have been misclassified as having an impairment) are persons who have been misclassified as having mental retardation or mental illness.

Test C -- Being regarded as having such an impairment

This test, as contained in paragraph (4) of the definition, is intended to cover persons who are treated by a public entity as having a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits a major life activity. It applies when a person is treated as if he or she has an impairment that substantially limits a major life activity, regardless of whether that person has an impairment.

The Americans with Disabilities Act uses the same "regarded as" test set forth in the regulations implementing section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. *See, e.g.*, 28 CFR 42.540(k)(2)(iv), which provides:

(iv) "Is regarded as having an impairment" means (A) Has a physical or mental impairment that does not substantially limit major life activities but that is treated by a recipient as constituting such a limitation; (B) Has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits major life activities only as a result of the attitudes of others toward such impairment; or (C) Has none of the impairments defined in paragraph (k)(2)(i) of this section but is treated by a recipient as having such an impairment.

The perception of the covered entity is a key element of this test. A person who perceives himself or herself to have an impairment, but does not have an impairment, and is not treated as if he or she has an impairment, is not protected under this test.

A person would be covered under this test if a public entity refused to serve the person because it perceived that the person had an impairment that limited his or her enjoyment of the goods or services being offered.

For example, persons with severe burns often encounter discrimination in community activities, resulting in substantial limitation of major life activities. These persons would be covered under this test based on the attitudes of others towards the impairment, even if they did not view themselves as "impaired."

The rationale for this third test, as used in the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, was articulated by the Supreme Court in *Arline*, 480 U.S. 273 (1987). The Court noted that although an individual may have an impairment that does not in fact substantially limit a major life activity, the reaction of others may prove just as disabling. "[Redacted text]" *Id.* at 283. The Court concluded that, by including this test in the Rehabilitation Act's definition, "Congress acknowledged that [Redacted text]" *Id.* at 284.

Thus, a person who is denied services or benefits by a public entity because of myths, fears, and stereotypes associated with disabilities would be covered under this third test whether or not the person's physical or mental condition would be considered a disability under the first or second test in the definition.

If a person is refused admittance on the basis of an actual or perceived physical or mental condition, and the public entity can articulate no legitimate reason for the refusal (such as failure to meet eligibility criteria), a perceived concern about admitting persons with disabilities could be inferred and the individual would qualify for coverage under the "regarded as" test. A person who is covered because of being regarded as having an impairment is not required to show that the public entity's perception is inaccurate (e.g., that he will be accepted by others) in order to receive benefits from the public entity.

Paragraph (5) of the definition lists certain conditions that are not included within the definition of "disability." The excluded conditions are: transvestism, transsexualism, pedophilia, exhibitionism, voyeurism, gender identity disorders not resulting from physical impairments, other sexual behavior disorders, compulsive gambling, kleptomania, pyromania, and psychoactive substance use disorders resulting from current illegal use of drugs. Unlike homosexuality and bisexuality, which are not considered impairments under either section 504 or the Americans with Disabilities Act (see the definition of "disability," paragraph (1)(iv)), the conditions listed in paragraph (5), except for transvestism, are not necessarily excluded as impairments under section 504. (Transvestism was excluded from the definition of disability for section 504 by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Pub. L. 100-430, section 6(b)).

"Drug." The definition of the term "drug" is taken from section 510(d)(2) of the ADA.

"Facility." "Facility" means all or any portion of buildings, structures, sites, complexes, equipment, rolling stock or other conveyances, roads, walks, passageways, parking lots, or other real or personal property, including the site where the building, property, structure, or equipment is located. It includes both indoor and outdoor areas where human-constructed improvements, structures, equipment, or property have been added to the natural environment.

Commenters raised questions about the applicability of this part to activities operated in mobile facilities, such as bookmobiles or mobile health screening units. Such activities would be covered by the requirement for program accessibility in §35.150, and would be included in the definition of "facility" as "other real or personal property," although standards for new construction and alterations of such facilities are not yet included in the accessibility standards adopted by §35.151. Sections 35.150 and 35.151 specifically address the obligations of public entities to ensure accessibility by providing curb ramps at pedestrian walkways.

"Historic preservation programs" and "Historic properties" are defined in order to aid in the interpretation of §35.150(a)(2) and (b)(2), which relate to accessibility of historic

preservation programs, and §35.151(d), which relates to the alteration of historic properties.

"Illegal use of drugs." The definition of "illegal use of drugs" is taken from section 510(d)(1) of the Act and clarifies that the term includes the illegal use of one or more drugs.

"Individual with a disability" means a person who has a disability but does not include an individual who is currently illegally using drugs, when the public entity acts on the basis of such use. The phrase "current illegal use of drugs" is explained in §35.131.

"Public entity." The term "public entity" is defined in accordance with section 201(1) of the ADA as any State or local government; any department, agency, special purpose district, or other instrumentality of a State or States or local government; or the National Railroad Passenger Corporation, and any commuter authority (as defined in section 103(8) of the Rail Passenger Service Act).

"Qualified individual with a disability." The definition of "qualified individual with a disability" is taken from section 201(2) of the Act, which is derived from the definition of "qualified handicapped person" in the Department of Health and Human Services' regulation implementing section 504 (45 CFR §84.3(k)). It combines the definition at 45 CFR 84.3(k)(1) for employment ("a handicapped person who, with reasonable accommodation, can perform the essential functions of the job in question") with the definition for other services at 45 CFR 84.3(k)(4) ("a handicapped person who meets the essential eligibility requirements for the receipt of such services").

Some commenters requested clarification of the term "essential eligibility requirements." Because of the variety of situations in which an individual's qualifications will be at issue, it is not possible to include more specific criteria in the definition. The "essential eligibility requirements" for participation in some activities covered under this part may be minimal. For example, most public entities provide information about their operations as a public service to anyone who requests it. In such situations, the only "eligibility requirement" for receipt of such information would be the request for it. Where such information is provided by telephone, even the ability to use a voice telephone is not an "essential eligibility requirement," because §35.161 requires a public entity to provide equally effective telecommunication systems for individuals with impaired hearing or speech.

For other activities, identification of the "essential eligibility requirements" may be more complex. Where questions of safety are involved, the principles established in §36.208 of the Department's regulation implementing title III of the ADA, to be codified at 28 CFR Part 36, will be applicable. That section implements section 302(b)(3) of the Act, which provides that a public accommodation is not required to permit an individual to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of the public accommodation, if that individual poses a **direct threat** to the health or safety of others.

A [REDACTED] is a significant risk to the health or safety of others that cannot be eliminated by a modification of policies, practices, or procedures, or by the provision of auxiliary aids or services. In *School Board of Nassau County v. Arline*, 480 U.S. 273 (1987), the Supreme Court recognized that there is a need to balance the interests of people with disabilities against legitimate concerns for public safety. Although persons with disabilities are generally entitled to the protection of this part, a person who poses a significant risk to others will not be "qualified."

[REDACTED]

This is the test established by the Supreme Court in *Arline*. Such an inquiry is essential if the law is to achieve its goal of protecting disabled individuals from discrimination based on prejudice, stereotypes, or unfounded fear, while giving appropriate weight to legitimate concerns, such as the need to avoid exposing others to significant health and safety risks. Making this assessment will not usually require the services of a physician. Sources for medical knowledge include guidance from public health authorities, such as the U.S. Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control, and the National Institutes of Health, including the National Institute of Mental Health.

"Qualified interpreter." The Department received substantial comment regarding the lack of a definition of "qualified interpreter." The proposed rule defined auxiliary aids and services to include the statutory term, "qualified interpreters" (§35.104), but did not define it. Section 35.160 requires the use of auxiliary aids including qualified interpreters and commenters stated that a lack of guidance on what the term means would create confusion among those trying to secure interpreting services and often result in less than effective communication.

Many commenters were concerned that, without clear guidance on the issue of "qualified" interpreter, the rule would be interpreted to mean "available, rather than qualified" interpreters. Some claimed that few public entities would understand the difference between a qualified interpreter and a person who simply knows a few signs or how to fingerspell.

In order to clarify what is meant by "qualified interpreter" the Department has added a definition of the term to the final rule. A qualified interpreter means an interpreter who is able to interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary. This definition focuses on the actual ability of the interpreter in a particular interpreting context to facilitate effective communication between the public entity and the individual with disabilities.

Public comment also revealed that public entities have at times asked persons who are deaf to provide family members or friends to interpret. In certain circumstances, notwithstanding that the family member or friend is able to interpret or is a certified interpreter, the family member or friend may not be qualified to render the necessary interpretation because of factors such as emotional or personal involvement or considerations of confidentiality that may adversely affect the ability to interpret "effectively, accurately, and impartially."

The definition of "qualified interpreter" in this rule does not invalidate or limit standards for interpreting services of any State or local law that are equal to or more stringent than those imposed by this definition. For instance, the definition would not supersede any requirement of State law for use of a certified interpreter in court proceedings.

"Section 504." The Department added a definition of "section 504" because the term is used extensively in subpart F of this part.

"State." The definition of "State" is identical to the statutory definition in section 3(3) of the ADA.

§35.105 Self-evaluation.

Section 35.105 establishes a requirement, based on the section 504 regulations for federally assisted and federally conducted programs, that a public entity evaluate its current policies and practices to identify and correct any that are not consistent with the requirements of this part. As noted in the discussion of §35.102, activities covered by the Department of Transportation's regulation implementing subtitle B of title II are not required to be included in the self-evaluation required by this section.

Experience has demonstrated the self-evaluation process to be a valuable means of establishing a working relationship with individuals with disabilities, which has promoted both effective and efficient implementation of section 504. The Department expects that it will likewise be useful to public entities newly covered by the ADA.

However, only those that employ 50 or more persons are required to maintain the self-evaluation on file and make it available for public inspection for three years. The number 50 was derived from the Department of Justice's section 504 regulations for federally assisted programs, 28 CFR 42.505(c). The Department received comments critical of this limitation, some suggesting the requirement apply to all public entities and others suggesting that the number be changed from 50 to 15. The final rule has not been changed. Although many regulations implementing section 504 for federally assisted programs do use 15 employees as the cut-off for this record-keeping requirement, the Department believes that it would be inappropriate to extend it to those smaller public entities covered by this regulation that do not receive Federal financial assistance. This approach has the benefit of minimizing paperwork burdens on small entities.

Paragraph (d) provides that the self-evaluation required by this section shall apply only to programs not subject to section 504 or those policies and practices, such as those involving communications access, that have not already been included in a self-evaluation required under an existing regulation implementing section 504. Because most self-evaluations were done from five to twelve years ago, however, the Department expects that a great many public entities will be reexamining all of their policies and programs. Programs and functions may have changed, and actions that were supposed to have been taken to comply with section 504 may not have been fully implemented or may no longer be effective. In addition, there have been statutory amendments to section 504 which have changed the coverage of section 504, particularly the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, Pub. L. No. 100-259, 102 Stat. 28 (1988), which broadened the definition of a covered "program or activity."

Several commenters suggested that the Department clarify public entities' liability during the one-year period for compliance with the self-evaluation requirement. The self-evaluation requirement does not stay the effective date of the statute nor of this part. Public entities are, therefore, not shielded from discrimination claims during that time.

Other commenters suggested that the rule require that every self-evaluation include an examination of training efforts to assure that individuals with disabilities are not subjected to discrimination because of insensitivity, particularly in the law enforcement area. Although the Department has not added such a specific requirement to the rule, it would be appropriate for public entities to evaluate training efforts because, in many cases, lack of training leads to discriminatory practices, even when the policies in place are nondiscriminatory.

§35.106 Notice.

Section 35.106 requires a public entity to disseminate sufficient information to applicants, participants, beneficiaries, and other interested persons to inform them of the rights and protections afforded by the ADA and this regulation. Methods of providing this information include, for example, the publication of information in handbooks, manuals, and pamphlets that are distributed to the public to describe a public entity's programs and activities; the display of informative posters in service centers and other public places; or the broadcast of information by television or radio. In providing the notice, a public entity must comply with the requirements for effective communication in §35.160. The preamble to that section gives guidance on how to effectively communicate with individuals with disabilities.

§35.107 Designation of responsible employee and adoption of grievance procedures.

Consistent with §35.105, Self-evaluation, the final rule requires that public entities with 50 or more employees designate a responsible employee and adopt grievance procedures. Most of the commenters who suggested that the requirement that self-evaluation be maintained on file for three years not be limited to those employing 50 or more persons made a similar suggestion concerning §35.107. Commenters recommended either that all

public entities be subject to section 35.107, or that "50 or more persons" be changed to "15 or more persons." As explained in the discussion of §35.105, the Department has not adopted this suggestion.

The requirement for designation of an employee responsible for coordination of efforts to carry out responsibilities under this part is derived from the HEW regulation implementing section 504 in federally assisted programs. The requirement for designation of a particular employee and dissemination of information about how to locate that employee helps to ensure that individuals dealing with large agencies are able to easily find a responsible person who is familiar with the requirements of the Act and this part and can communicate those requirements to other individuals in the agency who may be unaware of their responsibilities. This paragraph in no way limits a public entity's obligation to ensure that all of its employees comply with the requirements of this part, but it ensures that any failure by individual employees can be promptly corrected by the designated employee.

Section 35.107(b) requires public entities with 50 or more employees to establish grievance procedures for resolving complaints of violations of this part. Similar requirements are found in the section 504 regulations for federally assisted programs (*see, e.g.*, 45 CFR 84.7(b)). The rule, like the regulations for federally assisted programs, provides for investigation and resolution of complaints by a Federal enforcement agency. It is the view of the Department that public entities subject to this part should be required to establish a mechanism for resolution of complaints at the local level without requiring the complainant to resort to the Federal complaint procedures established under subpart F. Complainants would not, however, be required to exhaust the public entity's grievance procedures before filing a complaint under subpart F. Delay in filing the complaint at the Federal level caused by pursuit of the remedies available under the grievance procedure would generally be considered good cause for extending the time allowed for filing under §35.170(b).

Subpart B -- General Requirements

§35.130 General prohibitions against discrimination.

The general prohibitions against discrimination in the rule are generally based on the prohibitions in existing regulations implementing section 504 and, therefore, are already familiar to State and local entities covered by section 504. In addition, §35.130 includes a number of provisions derived from title III of the Act that are implicit to a certain degree in the requirements of regulations implementing section 504.

Several commenters suggested that this part should include the section of the proposed title III regulation that implemented section 309 of the Act, which requires that courses and examinations related to applications, licensing, certification, or credentialing be provided in an accessible place and manner or that alternative accessible arrangements be made. The Department has not adopted this suggestion. The requirements of this part, including the general prohibitions of discrimination in this section, the program access

requirements of subpart D, and the communications requirements of subpart E, apply to courses and examinations provided by public entities. The Department considers these requirements to be sufficient to ensure that courses and examinations administered by public entities meet the requirements of section 309. For example, a public entity offering an examination must ensure that modifications of policies, practices, or procedures or the provision of auxiliary aids and services furnish the individual with a disability an equal opportunity to demonstrate his or her knowledge or ability. Also, any examination specially designed for individuals with disabilities must be offered as often and in as timely a manner as are other examinations. Further, under this part, courses and examinations must be offered in the most integrated setting appropriate. The analysis of §35.130(d) is relevant to this determination.

A number of commenters asked that the regulation be amended to require training of law enforcement personnel to recognize the difference between criminal activity and the effects of seizures or other disabilities such as mental retardation, cerebral palsy, traumatic brain injury, mental illness, or deafness. Several disabled commenters gave personal statements about the abuse they had received at the hands of law enforcement personnel. Two organizations that commented cited the Judiciary report at 50 as authority to require law enforcement training.

The Department has not added such a training requirement to the regulation. Discriminatory arrests and brutal treatment are already unlawful police activities. The general regulatory obligation to modify policies, practices, or procedures requires law enforcement to make changes in policies that result in discriminatory arrests or abuse of individuals with disabilities. Under this section law enforcement personnel would be required to make appropriate efforts to determine whether perceived strange or disruptive behavior or unconsciousness is the result of a disability. The Department notes that a number of States have attempted to address the problem of arresting disabled persons for noncriminal conduct resulting from their disability through adoption of the Uniform Duties to Disabled Persons Act, and encourages other jurisdictions to consider that approach.

Paragraph (a) restates the nondiscrimination mandate of section 202 of the ADA. The remaining paragraphs in §35.130 establish the general principles for analyzing whether any particular action of the public entity violates this mandate.

Paragraph (b) prohibits overt denials of equal treatment of individuals with disabilities. A public entity may not refuse to provide an individual with a disability with an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from its program simply because the person has a disability.

Paragraph (b)(1)(i) provides that it is discriminatory to deny a person with a disability the right to participate in or benefit from the aid, benefit, or service provided by a public entity. Paragraph (b)(1)(ii) provides that the aids, benefits, and services provided to persons with disabilities must be equal to those provided to others, and paragraph (b)(1)(iii) requires that the aids, benefits, or services provided to individuals with

disabilities must be as effective in affording equal opportunity to obtain the same result, to gain the same benefit, or to reach the same level of achievement as those provided to others. These paragraphs are taken from the regulations implementing section 504 and simply restate principles long established under section 504.

Paragraph (b)(1)(iv) permits the public entity to develop separate or different aids, benefits, or services when necessary to provide individuals with disabilities with an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from the public entity's programs or activities, but only when necessary to ensure that the aids, benefits, or services are as effective as those provided to others. Paragraph (b)(1)(iv) must be read in conjunction with paragraphs (b)(2), (d), and (e). Even when separate or different aids, benefits, or services would be more effective, paragraph (b)(2) provides that a qualified individual with a disability still has the right to choose to participate in the program that is not designed to accommodate individuals with disabilities. Paragraph (d) requires that a public entity administer services, programs, and activities in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of qualified individuals with disabilities.

Paragraph (b)(2) specifies that, notwithstanding the existence of separate or different programs or activities provided in accordance with this section, an individual with a disability shall not be denied the opportunity to participate in such programs or activities that are not separate or different. Paragraph (e), which is derived from section 501(d) of the Americans with Disabilities Act, states that nothing in this part shall be construed to require an individual with a disability to accept an accommodation, aid, service, opportunity, or benefit that he or she chooses not to accept.

Taken together, these provisions are intended to prohibit exclusion and segregation of individuals with disabilities and the denial of equal opportunities enjoyed by others, based on, among other things, presumptions, patronizing attitudes, fears, and stereotypes about individuals with disabilities. Consistent with these standards, public entities are required to ensure that their actions are based on facts applicable to individuals and not on presumptions as to what a class of individuals with disabilities can or cannot do.

Integration is fundamental to the purposes of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Provision of segregated accommodations and services relegates persons with disabilities to second-class status. For example, it would be a violation of this provision to require persons with disabilities to eat in the back room of a government cafeteria or to refuse to allow a person with a disability the full use of recreation or exercise facilities because of stereotypes about the person's ability to participate.

Many commenters objected to proposed paragraphs (b)(1)(iv) and (d) as allowing continued segregation of individuals with disabilities. The Department recognizes that promoting integration of individuals with disabilities into the mainstream of society is an important objective of the ADA and agrees that, in most instances, separate programs for individuals with disabilities will not be permitted. Nevertheless, section 504 does permit separate programs in limited circumstances, and Congress clearly intended the regulations issued under title II to adopt the standards of section 504. Furthermore,

Congress included authority for separate programs in the specific requirements of title III of the Act. Section 302(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act provides for separate benefits in language similar to that in §35.130(b)(1)(iv), and section 302(b)(1)(B) includes the same requirement for "the most integrated setting appropriate" as in §35.130(d).

Even when separate programs are permitted, individuals with disabilities cannot be denied the opportunity to participate in programs that are not separate or different. This is an important and overarching principle of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Separate, special, or different programs that are designed to provide a benefit to persons with disabilities cannot be used to restrict the participation of persons with disabilities in general, integrated activities.

For example, a person who is blind may wish to decline participating in a special museum tour that allows persons to touch sculptures in an exhibit and instead tour the exhibit at his or her own pace with the museum's recorded tour. It is not the intent of this section to require the person who is blind to avail himself or herself of the special tour. Modified participation for persons with disabilities must be a choice, not a requirement.

In addition, it would not be a violation of this section for a public entity to offer recreational programs specially designed for children with mobility impairments. However, it would be a violation of this section if the entity then excluded these children from other recreational services for which they are qualified to participate when these services are made available to nondisabled children, or if the entity required children with disabilities to attend only designated programs.

Many commenters asked that the Department clarify a public entity's obligations within the integrated program when it offers a separate program but an individual with a disability chooses not to participate in the separate program. It is impossible to make a blanket statement as to what level of auxiliary aids or modifications would be required in the integrated program. Rather, each situation must be assessed individually. The starting point is to question whether the separate program is in fact necessary or appropriate for the individual. Assuming the separate program would be appropriate for a particular individual, the extent to which that individual must be provided with modifications in the integrated program will depend not only on what the individual needs but also on the limitations and defenses of this part. For example, it may constitute an undue burden for a public accommodation, which provides a full-time interpreter in its special guided tour for individuals with hearing impairments, to hire an additional interpreter for those individuals who choose to attend the integrated program. The Department cannot identify categorically the level of assistance or aid required in the integrated program.

Paragraph (b)(1)(v) provides that a public entity may not aid or perpetuate discrimination against a qualified individual with a disability by providing significant assistance to an agency, organization, or person that discriminates on the basis of disability in providing any aid, benefit, or service to beneficiaries of the public entity's program. This paragraph is taken from the regulations implementing section 504 for federally assisted programs.

Paragraph (b)(1)(vi) prohibits the public entity from denying a qualified individual with a disability the opportunity to participate as a member of a planning or advisory board.

Paragraph (b)(1)(vii) prohibits the public entity from limiting a qualified individual with a disability in the enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity enjoyed by others receiving any aid, benefit, or service.

Paragraph (b)(3) prohibits the public entity from utilizing criteria or methods of administration that deny individuals with disabilities access to the public entity's services, programs, and activities or that perpetuate the discrimination of another public entity, if both public entities are subject to common administrative control or are agencies of the same State. The phrase "criteria or methods of administration" refers to official written policies of the public entity and to the actual practices of the public entity. This paragraph prohibits both blatantly exclusionary policies or practices and nonessential policies and practices that are neutral on their face, but deny individuals with disabilities an effective opportunity to participate. This standard is consistent with the interpretation of section 504 by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Alexander v. Choate*, 469 U.S. 287 (1985). The Court in Choate explained that members of Congress made numerous statements during passage of section 504 regarding eliminating architectural barriers, providing access to transportation, and eliminating discriminatory effects of job qualification procedures. The Court then noted: "These statements would ring hollow if the resulting legislation could not rectify the harms resulting from action that discriminated by effect as well as by design." *Id.* at 297 (footnote omitted).

Paragraph (b)(4) specifically applies the prohibition enunciated in §35.130(b)(3) to the process of selecting sites for construction of new facilities or selecting existing facilities to be used by the public entity. Paragraph (b)(4) does not apply to construction of additional buildings at an existing site.

Paragraph (b)(5) prohibits the public entity, in the selection of procurement contractors, from using criteria that subject qualified individuals with disabilities to discrimination on the basis of disability.

Paragraph (b)(6) prohibits the public entity from discriminating against qualified individuals with disabilities on the basis of disability in the granting of licenses or certification. A person is a "qualified individual with a disability" with respect to licensing or certification if he or she can meet the essential eligibility requirements for receiving the license or certification (*see* §35.104).

A number of commenters were troubled by the phrase "essential eligibility requirements" as applied to State licensing requirements, especially those for health care professions. Because of the variety of types of programs to which the definition of "qualified individual with a disability" applies, it is not possible to use more specific language in the definition. The phrase "essential eligibility requirements," however, is taken from the definitions in the regulations implementing section 504, so caselaw under section 504 will be applicable to its interpretation. In *Southeastern Community College v. Davis*, 442

U.S. 397, for example, the Supreme Court held that section 504 does not require an institution to "lower or effect substantial modifications of standards to accommodate a handicapped person," 442 U.S. at 413, and that the school had established that the plaintiff was not "qualified" because she was not able to "serve the nursing profession in all customary ways," *id.* Whether a particular requirement is "essential" will, of course, depend on the facts of the particular case.

In addition, the public entity may not establish requirements for the programs or activities of licensees or certified entities that subject qualified individuals with disabilities to discrimination on the basis of disability. For example, the public entity must comply with this requirement when establishing safety standards for the operations of licensees. In that case the public entity must ensure that standards that it promulgates do not discriminate against the employment of qualified individuals with disabilities in an impermissible manner.

Paragraph (b)(6) does not extend the requirements of the Act or this part directly to the programs or activities of licensees or certified entities themselves. The programs or activities of licensees or certified entities are not themselves programs or activities of the public entity merely by virtue of the license or certificate.

Paragraph (b)(7) is a specific application of the requirement under the general prohibitions of discrimination that public entities make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures where necessary to avoid discrimination on the basis of disability. Section 302(b)(2)(A)(ii) of the ADA sets out this requirement specifically for public accommodations covered by title III of the Act, and the House Judiciary Committee Report directs the Attorney General to include those specific requirements in the title II regulation to the extent that they do not conflict with the regulations implementing section 504. Judiciary report at 52.

Paragraph (b)(8), a new paragraph not contained in the proposed rule, prohibits the imposition or application of eligibility criteria that screen out or tend to screen out an individual with a disability or any class of individuals with disabilities from fully and equally enjoying any service, program, or activity, unless such criteria can be shown to be necessary for the provision of the service, program, or activity being offered. This prohibition is also a specific application of the general prohibitions of discrimination and is based on section 302(b)(2)(A)(i) of the ADA. It prohibits overt denials of equal treatment of individuals with disabilities, or establishment of exclusive or segregative criteria that would bar individuals with disabilities from participation in services, benefits, or activities.

Paragraph (b)(8) also prohibits policies that unnecessarily impose requirements or burdens on individuals with disabilities that are not placed on others. For example, public entities may not require that a qualified individual with a disability be accompanied by an attendant. A public entity is not, however, required to provide attendant care, or assistance in toileting, eating, or dressing to individuals with disabilities, except in special

circumstances, such as where the individual is an inmate of a custodial or correctional institution.

In addition, paragraph (b)(8) prohibits the imposition of criteria that "tend to" screen out an individual with a disability. This concept, which is derived from current regulations under section 504 (*see, e.g.,* 45 CFR 84.13), makes it discriminatory to impose policies or criteria that, while not creating a direct bar to individuals with disabilities, indirectly prevent or limit their ability to participate. For example, requiring presentation of a driver's license as the sole means of identification for purposes of paying by check would violate this section in situations where, for example, individuals with severe vision impairments or developmental disabilities or epilepsy are ineligible to receive a driver's license and the use of an alternative means of identification, such as another photo I.D. or credit card, is feasible.

A public entity may, however, impose neutral rules and criteria that screen out, or tend to screen out, individuals with disabilities if the criteria are necessary for the safe operation of the program in question. Examples of safety qualifications that would be justifiable in appropriate circumstances would include eligibility requirements for drivers' licenses, or a requirement that all participants in a recreational rafting expedition be able to meet a necessary level of swimming proficiency. Safety requirements must be based on actual risks and not on speculation, stereotypes, or generalizations about individuals with disabilities.

Paragraph (c) provides that nothing in this part prohibits a public entity from providing benefits, services, or advantages to individuals with disabilities, or to a particular class of individuals with disabilities, beyond those required by this part. It is derived from a provision in the section 504 regulations that permits programs conducted pursuant to Federal statute or Executive order that are designed to benefit only individuals with disabilities or a given class of individuals with disabilities to be limited to those individuals with disabilities. Section 504 ensures that federally assisted programs are made available to all individuals, without regard to disabilities, unless the Federal program under which the assistance is provided is specifically limited to individuals with disabilities or a particular class of individuals with disabilities. Because coverage under this part is not limited to federally assisted programs, paragraph (c) has been revised to clarify that State and local governments may provide special benefits, beyond those required by the nondiscrimination requirements of this part, that are limited to individuals with disabilities or a particular class of individuals with disabilities, without thereby incurring additional obligations to persons without disabilities or to other classes of individuals with disabilities.

Paragraphs (d) and (e), previously referred to in the discussion of paragraph (b)(1)(iv), provide that the public entity must administer services, programs, and activities in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of qualified individuals with disabilities, *i.e.,* in a setting that enables individuals with disabilities to interact with nondisabled persons to the fullest extent possible, and that persons with disabilities must be provided the option of declining to accept a particular accommodation.

Some commenters expressed concern that §35.130(e), which states that nothing in the rule requires an individual with a disability to accept special accommodations and services provided under the ADA, could be interpreted to allow guardians of infants or older people with disabilities to refuse medical treatment for their wards. Section 35.130(e) has been revised to make it clear that paragraph (e) is inapplicable to the concern of the commenters. A new paragraph (e)(2) has been added stating that nothing in the regulation authorizes the representative or guardian of an individual with a disability to decline food, water, medical treatment, or medical services for that individual. New paragraph (e) clarifies that neither the ADA nor the regulation alters current Federal law ensuring the rights of incompetent individuals with disabilities to receive food, water, and medical treatment. *See, e.g.*, Child Abuse Amendments of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 5106a(b)(10), 5106g(10)); Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. 794); the Developmentally Disabled Assistance and Bill of Rights Act (42 U.S.C. 6042).

Sections 35.130(e)(1) and (2) are based on section 501(d) of the ADA. Section 501(d) was designed to clarify that nothing in the ADA requires individuals with disabilities to accept special accommodations and services for individuals with disabilities that may segregate them:

The Committee added this section [501(d)] to clarify that nothing in the ADA is intended to permit discriminatory treatment on the basis of disability, even when such treatment is rendered under the guise of providing an accommodation, service, aid or benefit to the individual with disability. For example, a blind individual may choose not to avail himself or herself of the right to go to the front of a line, even if a particular public accommodation has chosen to offer such a modification of a policy for blind individuals. Or, a blind individual may choose to decline to participate in a special museum tour that allows persons to touch sculptures in an exhibit and instead tour the exhibits at his or her own pace with the museum's recorded tour.

Judiciary report at 71-72. The Act is not to be construed to mean that an individual with disabilities must accept special accommodations and services for individuals with disabilities when that individual can participate in the regular services already offered. Because medical treatment, including treatment for particular conditions, is not a special accommodation or service for individuals with disabilities under section 501(d), neither the Act nor this part provides affirmative authority to suspend such treatment. Section 501(d) is intended to clarify that the Act is not designed to foster discrimination through mandatory acceptance of special services when other alternatives are provided; this concern does not reach to the provision of medical treatment for the disabling condition itself.

Paragraph (f) provides that a public entity may not place a surcharge on a particular individual with a disability, or any group of individuals with disabilities, to cover any costs of measures required to provide that individual or group with the nondiscriminatory treatment required by the Act or this part. Such measures may include the provision of auxiliary aids or of modifications required to provide program accessibility.

Several commenters asked for clarification that the costs of interpreter services may not be assessed as an element of "court costs." The Department has already recognized that imposition of the cost of courtroom interpreter services is impermissible under section 504. The preamble to the Department's section 504 regulation for its federally assisted programs states that where a court system has an obligation to provide qualified interpreters, "it has the corresponding responsibility to pay for the services of the interpreters." (45 FR 37630 (June 3, 1980)). Accordingly, recouping the costs of interpreter services by assessing them as part of court costs would also be prohibited.

Paragraph (g), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of an individual's or entity's known relationship or association with an individual with a disability, is based on sections 102(b)(4) and 302(b)(1)(E) of the ADA. This paragraph was not contained in the proposed rule. The individuals covered under this paragraph are any individuals who are discriminated against because of their known association with an individual with a disability. For example, it would be a violation of this paragraph for a local government to refuse to allow a theater company to use a school auditorium on the grounds that the company had recently performed for an audience of individuals with HIV disease.

This protection is not limited to those who have a familial relationship with the individual who has a disability. Congress considered, and rejected, amendments that would have limited the scope of this provision to specific associations and relationships. Therefore, if a public entity refuses admission to a person with cerebral palsy and his or her companions, the companions have an independent right of action under the ADA and this section.

During the legislative process, the term "entity" was added to section 302(b)(1)(E) to clarify that the scope of the provision is intended to encompass not only persons who have a known association with a person with a disability, but also entities that provide services to or are otherwise associated with such individuals. This provision was intended to ensure that entities such as health care providers, employees of social service agencies, and others who provide professional services to persons with disabilities are not subjected to discrimination because of their professional association with persons with disabilities.

§35.131 Illegal use of drugs.

Section 35.131 effectuates section 510 of the ADA, which clarifies the Act's application to people who use drugs illegally. Paragraph (a) provides that this part does not prohibit discrimination based on an individual's current illegal use of drugs.

The Act and the regulation distinguish between illegal use of drugs and the legal use of substances, whether or not those substances are "controlled substances," as defined in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812). Some controlled substances are prescription drugs that have legitimate medical uses. Section 35.131 does not affect use of controlled substances pursuant to a valid prescription under supervision by a licensed health care professional, or other use that is authorized by the Controlled Substances Act or any other provision of Federal law. It does apply to illegal use of those substances, as well as to

illegal use of controlled substances that are not prescription drugs. The key question is whether the individual's use of the substance is illegal, not whether the substance has recognized legal uses. Alcohol is not a controlled substance, so use of alcohol is not addressed by §35.131 (although alcoholics are individuals with disabilities, subject to the protections of the statute).

A distinction is also made between the use of a substance and the status of being addicted to that substance. Addiction is a disability, and addicts are individuals with disabilities protected by the Act. The protection, however, does not extend to actions based on the illegal use of the substance. In other words, an addict cannot use the fact of his or her addiction as a defense to an action based on illegal use of drugs. This distinction is not artificial. Congress intended to deny protection to people who engage in the illegal use of drugs, whether or not they are addicted, but to

A third distinction is the difficult one between current use and former use. The definition of "current illegal use of drugs" in §35.104, which is based on the report of the Conference Committee, H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 596, 101st Cong., 2d Sess. 64 (1990) [hereinafter "Conference report"], is "illegal use of drugs that occurred recently enough to justify a reasonable belief that a person's drug use is current or that continuing use is a real and ongoing problem."

Paragraph (b) provides a limited exception to the exclusion of current illegal users of drugs from the protections of the Act. It prohibits denial of health services, or services provided in connection with drug rehabilitation to an individual on the basis of current illegal use of drugs, if the individual is otherwise entitled to such services. A health care facility, such as a hospital or clinic, may not refuse treatment to an individual in need of the services it provides on the grounds that the individual is illegally using drugs, but it is not required by this section to provide services that it does not ordinarily provide. For example, a health care facility that specializes in a particular type of treatment, such as care of burn victims, is not required to provide drug rehabilitation services, but it cannot refuse to treat a individual's burns on the grounds that the individual is illegally using drugs.

Some commenters pointed out that abstinence from the use of drugs is an essential condition of participation in some drug rehabilitation programs, and may be a necessary requirement in inpatient or residential settings. The Department believes that this comment is well-founded. Congress clearly intended to prohibit exclusion from drug

treatment programs of the very individuals who need such programs because of their use of drugs, but, once an individual has been admitted to a program, abstention may be a necessary and appropriate condition to continued participation. The final rule therefore provides that a drug rehabilitation or treatment program may prohibit illegal use of drugs by individuals while they are participating in the program.

Paragraph (c) expresses Congress' intention that the Act be neutral with respect to testing for illegal use of drugs. This paragraph implements the provision in section 510(b) of the Act that allows entities "to adopt or administer reasonable policies or procedures, including but not limited to drug testing," that ensure that an individual who is participating in a supervised rehabilitation program, or who has completed such a program or otherwise been rehabilitated successfully is no longer engaging in the illegal use of drugs. The section is not to be "construed to encourage, prohibit, restrict, or authorize the conducting of testing for the illegal use of drugs."

Paragraph 35.131(c) clarifies that it is not a violation of this part to adopt or administer reasonable policies or procedures to ensure that an individual who formerly engaged in the illegal use of drugs is not currently engaging in illegal use of drugs. Any such policies or procedures must, of course, be reasonable, and must be designed to identify accurately the illegal use of drugs. This paragraph does not authorize inquiries, tests, or other procedures that would disclose use of substances that are not controlled substances or are taken under supervision by a licensed health care professional, or other uses authorized by the Controlled Substances Act or other provisions of Federal law, because such uses are not included in the definition of "illegal use of drugs." A commenter argued that the rule should permit testing for lawful use of prescription drugs, but most commenters preferred that tests must be limited to *unlawful* use in order to avoid revealing the lawful use of prescription medicine used to treat disabilities.

§35.132 Smoking.

Section 35.132 restates the clarification in section 501(b) of the Act that the Act does not preclude the prohibition of, or imposition of restrictions on, smoking in transportation covered by title II. Some commenters argued that this section is too limited in scope, and that the regulation should prohibit smoking in all facilities used by public entities. The reference to smoking in section 501, however, merely clarifies that the Act does not require public entities to accommodate smokers by permitting them to smoke in transportation facilities.

§35.133 Maintenance of accessible features.

Section 35.133 provides that a public entity shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. The Act requires that, to the maximum extent feasible, facilities must be accessible to, and usable by, individuals with disabilities. This section recognizes that it is not sufficient to provide features such as accessible routes, elevators, or ramps, if those features are not maintained in a manner

that enables individuals with disabilities to use them. Inoperable elevators, locked accessible doors, or "accessible" routes that are obstructed by furniture, filing cabinets, or potted plants are neither "accessible to" nor "usable by" individuals with disabilities.

Some commenters objected that this section appeared to establish an absolute requirement and suggested that language from the preamble be included in the text of the regulation. It is, of course, impossible to guarantee that mechanical devices will never fail to operate. Paragraph (b) of the final regulation provides that this section does not prohibit isolated or temporary interruptions in service or access due to maintenance or repairs. This paragraph is intended to clarify that temporary obstructions or isolated instances of mechanical failure would not be considered violations of the Act or this part. However, allowing obstructions or "out of service" equipment to persist beyond a reasonable period of time would violate this part, as would repeated mechanical failures due to improper or inadequate maintenance. Failure of the public entity to ensure that accessible routes are properly maintained and free of obstructions, or failure to arrange prompt repair of inoperable elevators or other equipment intended to provide access would also violate this part.

Other commenters requested that this section be expanded to include specific requirements for inspection and maintenance of equipment, for training staff in the proper operation of equipment, and for maintenance of specific items. The Department believes that this section properly establishes the general requirement for maintaining access and that further details are not necessary.

§35.134 Retaliation or coercion.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 11:49 PM
To: Colberg; Talis J (LAW)
Cc: PARNELL; S (GOV sponsored)
Subject: Fw: Update on Senator Dyson's Native Commission Proposal

Fyi- Rhonda's a great one to help pull this together:

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Date: Tue, 25 Mar 2008 07:46:12

To: "Rhonda (GOV) McBride" <rhonda.mcbride@alaska.gov>

Subject: Re: Update on Senator Dyson's Native Commission Proposal

Thank you. And does Walter travel? Or should we go there?

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "McBride, Rhonda (GOV)" <rhonda.mcbride@alaska.gov>

Date: Mon, 24 Mar 2008 16:06:22

To: Sarah Palin <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Subject: RE: Update on Senator Dyson's Native Commission Proposal

Thanks. I will get you a copy of Harold Napoleon's book right away. I think Senator Dyson is feeling his age here. He reminds me of some other people in his age bracket who get grumpy and impatient, because they know the clock is running out - and they're passionate about getting something done. Fred has raised so many foster children of alcoholic parents. His heart is in the right place - and hopefully Randy Ruaro and I can sit down with him soon -- and talk about what the state can and can't do to make his vision a reality. He's in a big hurry to get started right away. But we need to make him understand that his vision would be better served by some good planning - and the right timing - which would be at AFN.

I think it would help greatly if you could visit with Dr. Walter Soboleff. This would do a lot to reassure Senator Dyson of your commitment.

In the meantime, I'll get Harold's book out to you in the mail.

Rhonda

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 2:53 PM

To: McBride, Rhonda (GOV)

Cc: Kelly, Russell T (GOV); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Nizich, Michael A (GOV); Jollie, Tara L (CED); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Bailey, Frank T (GOV); fek9wnr@yahoo.com;
Privileged or Personal Mail

Subject: Re: Update on Senator Dyson's Native Commission Proposal

Excellent update on this, thanks Rhonda. May I get a copy of that book? And it's unfortunate that Dyson's been so hostile towards us about this idea since he first approached us with it last year, I said it sounded great & asked him to work with our Leg Liason (who's not on the 3rd floor anymore) and let me know what I could do to help... he never got back with us until he wrote a pretty scathing letter re: why we hadn't followed through on his request to set this up. He understands our time constraints we're always under, but I understand his passion for this, and I share it - just wish he could help with more of the logistics of setting everything up, as he says he's been desiring this and working for it for some years. Please continue to work with him on this commission or committee, hopefully he'll take some ownership of this and work with like-minded legislators and members of the public to assist us in setting this up.

"McBride, Rhonda (GOV)" <rhonda.mcbride@alaska.gov> wrote:

DRAFT:

NATIVE COMMISSION PROPOSAL

March 21, 2008

BACKGROUND

Senator Fred Dyson met with the Governor in early 2007 to discuss reconvening the Alaska Natives Commission -- a joint Federal-State body, created by Congress in 1990, to review policies and programs that affect Alaska Natives.

Senator Dyson says two men inspired him to take action:

- * Dr. Walter Soboleff, a Tlingit elder who will turn 100 years old this November.

- * Harold Napoleon, author of "Yuuyaraq: Way of the Human Being." Napoleon wrote this book while serving time in prison for battering his son to death in an alcoholic blackout. The book gives a historical perspective on why Alaska Natives abuse alcohol.

THE VISION. Walter Soboleff and Harold Napoleon want a state task force comprised of Native elders:

- to review the Commission's report
- to assess the status of Alaska Natives since the report came out in 1994.
- to find out how much progress has been made on the report's recommendations.

Senator Dyson says there's a spiritual aspect to this as well -- that Soboleff and Napoleon believe God directed them to him, to help make their vision a reality.

Some of the goals Dyson describes:

- * to "redirect Alaska Native attention to spiritual values and transformation"

- * to bring together "a group of godly men and women from Alaska's Native peoples to meet and study the many Native problems and identify solutions."

Originally, Dyson considered introducing legislation to resurrect the "Alaska Native Commission," but said it would be difficult to restrict members of the panel to "people with deep spiritual conviction."

Dyson now believes it would be easier for the Governor to appoint such a panel by executive order.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

CURRENT STATUS

This issue was brought to my attention in mid-February. I've met with the key players.

- * 2/26/08. Met with Harold Napoleon
- * 2/27/08. Met with Fred Dyson.
- * 2/29/08. Met with Fred Dyson & Dr. Walter Soboleff

HAROLD NAPOLEON MEETING

This meeting was quite emotional. I've known Harold Napoleon for many years, but he's never spoken so intimately of the day his son died - the day his spiritual journey began.

At the time, Napoleon was head of the Association of Village Council Presidents, one of Southwest Alaska's most powerful leaders.

But alcohol brought an end to all that. Napoleon described the confusion when he awoke in a strange place after a night of heavy drinking -- how Trooper Jerry Hooper gently told him what he had done, even took him outside to get some air. Napoleon said time literally stopped in the room where he was held - that it seemed like hours had gone by. But when he looked at the clock, only five minutes had passed.

And from that day in 1984 forward, time would keep dragging on. Napoleon spent nine years in prison. But out of this time of darkness came an important book -- that sheds new light on old problems.

While in prison, Napoleon had time to talk with other Native inmates - and found they had so much in common. These discussions sparked a desire to learn the root causes of Native alcoholism. In his book, "Yuuyaraq (you-yuh-hawk) : The Way of the Human Being," he discounted the role of genetics -- and said the primary cause of alcoholism is spiritual not physical.

Governor Walter Hickel was among those deeply moved by the book. He later tapped Napoleon as an advisor in efforts to bring about a change in the Native condition.

Napoleon now believes genetics it plays a greater role than he once thought, but he still believes the central issue is inter-generational trauma. Today he talks about this trauma passes from generation to generation through child abuse.

To break this chain of death and self-destruction, Napoleon says Alaska Natives must return to the source of cultural breakdown -- the "Great Death," a series of epidemics which struck Native communities from the 1770's to the 1940's, which wiped out the "old culture."

Napoleon says Alaska Natives do not realize that Native culture today is very different from what existed before the "Great Death."

Napoleon believes a Native Commission could make a big difference, by helping Natives understand their past - a process that will take open and honest communication.

DR. WALTER SOBOLEFF MEETING

This meeting was also quite powerful. How often do you get to sit down with a 99-year-old man, who is so clear in mind and heart -- so humble, yet so great?

Aside from reviewing the Alaska Native Commission report, Walter Soboleff would like to use the commisison as a vehicle to reconnect Alaska Natives to their "old culture" - which was governed by an elaborate set of rules to promote good behavior.

"Good behavior is prevention," Walter said. "We have the best of doctors, clinics, everything, but now we need to let people know they need to behave themselves."

Soboleff says the commission needs to focus on "delinquent parents," because "parental delinquency is contagious."

Some of the other concepts he would like the commission to promote among Alaska Natives.

- * The road of progress is under construction and you are one of the workers.

- * Every village, every community is a teacher. And a community teaches us well. We must ask: what kind of lessons are Alaska Native communities teaching today?

- * All cultures have family values, cultural commandments. Take the best of each culture. Take the best of both worlds.

- * Share codes of conduct and protocol from different Native Cultures.

- * Native people need to speak out about bad behavior. We act because we care. If we don't speak -- and don't act, it means we don't care.

- * Good people need to support the helpless, but sometimes good people are too busy.

FEEDBACK FROM OTHER ALASKA NATIVE LEADERS

- * Most like the idea of a Native Commission to explore Native issues and give feedback to the Governor. They point out that other states with much smaller Native populations than Alaska have departments set-up to deal with tribal groups. They would like to see something similar and see the commission as a good start.

- * Senator Dyson gave us a list of people to tap for the commission. All were Native religious leaders. Almost all of the Native leaders I spoke with think this panel should have broader representation.

- * A number of leaders say they would like to see a mix of elders and young leaders on the panel. They believe this would energize and inspire a growing number of talented young Natives.

- * Some wanted to see subsistence included. Others felt that this would be too divisive - that nothing would result and the commission would lose credibility.

* Some say the scope of this task force should be limited - that if it was asked to do too many things, it would fail -- that it would be better for the Governor to give this board one assignment with a short time frame. Upon completion, the Governor and the group would decide whether the board should live on. If so, they would then decide what the next task would be.

* Others thought the group should serve as an advisory board to the Rural Advisor, who would pass on their input on current issues to the governor.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Rhonda McBride

Rural Affairs Advisor

Office of the Governor

Atwood Building, Suite 1700

550 West 7th Avenue

Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Tel: (907) 269-7451

E-Mail: Rhonda.McBride@alaska.gov

Unknown

From: Leighow, Sharon W (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SWLEIGHOW]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 11:59 AM
To: 'Sarah Palin'
Subject: for your approval

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

No. 08-041

Governor Palin Announces Board Appointments

March 24, 2008, Juneau, Alaska - Governor Sarah Palin today announced appointments to the Fishermen's Fund Advisory and Appeals Council, Alaska Retirement Management Board and Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute.

Fishermen's Fund Advisory and Appeals Council

Governor Palin appointed Mark Saldi of Skagway to the State Fishermen's Fund Board.

The six-member Council oversees the administration of the Fishermen's Fund and consults with the commissioner of the Department of Labor and Workforce Development regarding negative decisions on appeals filed in relation to care of sick and disabled fishermen.

Saldi has been a commercial fisherman for 28 years, working in a variety of positions, including deckhand, mate and skipper, on many vessels in different fisheries around the state. He holds a Coast Guard 200-ton ocean master license and five commercial fisheries limited-entry permits.

Saldi is a past member of Skagway's Economic Development Committee and Ports and Harbors Committee. He received a bachelor's degree in business administration from Colorado State University. Saldi will serve a five-year term representing District 2.

Alaska Retirement Management Board

Governor Palin reappointed Martin Pihl of Ketchikan, Tom Richards of Fairbanks and Michael Williams of Anchorage to the Retirement Management Board.

The nine-member Board is comprised of the commissioners of the Departments of Revenue and Administration and seven trustees appointed by the Governor who are professionally credentialed or have recognized competence in investment management, finance, banking, economics, accounting, pension, administration or actuarial analysis.

Pihl is a certified public accountant who is a public member of the Board. He is a past member of the Alaska Permanent Fund Corp. and is the former president and general manager of Ketchikan Pulp Company. Pihl has served on a variety of community and state-level boards, including the Alaska State Pension Investment Board and the Ketchikan Ports and Harbors Advisory Board. He is a former regent of Pacific Lutheran University and has served as a director of National Bank of Alaska. He currently is a member of the Wells Fargo Bank Alaska Statewide Advisory Board. Pihl earned a bachelor's degree in accounting from the University of Washington. He will serve a four-year term.

Richards has served on the state's Teachers' Retirement System Board for the past year. He is a lifelong Alaskan and 27-year educator in the Fairbanks North Star Borough School District.

Richards has served on the Northern Schools Federal Credit Union Board as well as on the Alaska

8/28/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013963

Bond and Reimbursement Committee. He earned a bachelor's degree in zoology from the University of Idaho and a master's in education from Western Oregon University. He will serve a four-year term.

Williams, who works as an auditor with the Alaska Department of Revenue, fills a union seat on the board. He is a professional accountant and is certified by the International Foundation for Employee Benefit Plans and also by the IRS Office of Professional Responsibility. Williams earned a bachelor's degree in accounting and German and a master's in professional accountancy, both from Weber State University. He will serve a four-year term.

Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute

Governor Palin appointed David Totemoff Sr., of the Prince William Sound community of Tatitlek, to the Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute.

The Institute is administered by the Prince William Sound & Technology Institute located in Cordova. Its purpose is to identify and develop the best available techniques, equipment and materials for dealing with oil spills in the Arctic and subarctic marine environment and to complement federal and state damage-assessment efforts and determine, document, assess and understand the long-range effects of Arctic or subarctic oil spills.

Totemoff is a senior Native leader. He is 100 percent Aleut and is a lifelong Alaskan with 15 years of commercial fishing experience. Since 1976, he has been employed with BP on the North Slope. He serves as BP's community liaison to the Prince William Sound area, and is also on the board of directors for Chugach Alaska Corp.

Sharon Leighow
Deputy Press Secretary
Deputy Communications Director

(907) 269-7450 Anchorage
(907) 465-4031 Juneau
(907) 240-7943 cell

8/28/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013964

Unknown

From: Myrna Brown [mbrown@cchita.org]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 3:08 PM
To: nicole.hallingstad@sealaska.com; Ella Bennett; Thea Brown; kate.kokotovich@sealaska.com; gary.droubay@goldbelt.com; angel.culp@goldbelt.com; norman.flood@goldbelt.com; Kathy Miller; peter@kootznocowoo.com; amalia.monreal@searhc.org; Andrew Ebona; Alfred McKinley, Sr.; Donnelly; Bernice M (EED); bobloesch@gci.net; Brad Fluetsch; bethel@gci.net; Connie Munro; Chris McNeil; carolee.martin@searhc.org; dcadiante@gci.net; Brown, Darrell (HAL); Don Bremner; Corpuz, Elena (DOA); Ethel Lund; Gomez; Shelly (HSS); gastineau_eagles@jsd.k12.ak.us; Ishmael Hope; Jfili; Judy Mason; Kolvig; Keitha J (ACS); Maureen Brown; Michele Metz; Miller, Mary E (DOL); makookesh@hotmail.com; memartin@gci.net; marietta.hopkins@sealaska.com; Norman Sarabia; Percy Martin; pexendine@gci.net; Jackson; Patsy A; Ronald Williams; Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); Renee Culp; Sue Ann Lindoff; Palin; Sarah H (GOV); Vicki Soboleff; Diane Carrier
Subject: Please let others know...

The Alaska Native Brotherhood Camp 2 and Central Council of Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska proudly announces the next Native Issues Forum scheduled for this coming Wednesday, March 26th featuring Peggy Cowan, Superintendent of Juneau Schools and Native Education Committee member and Elder Selina Everson. We've contacted our legislators and staff encouraging their representation to this important educational forum. Please share with others.

Thank you so much; have a great day!

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013965

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 1:03 PM
To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com; Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Cc: Bailey; Frank T (GOV)
Subject: RE: + Lieutenant Governor Parnell email +

Here's another one resigning from a board due to the requirement of disclosing competitive/proprietary information under new ethics law. Just wanted you to be aware. (Not sure she knows she's still required to file for 2007 under the law, but I've been hearing more of this kind of response. She does thank you, Governor, for giving her the opportunity to serve.) No response necessary at this point to my email, just a heads up.

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Denison [mailto:susan@hrumbrella.com]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 1:50 PM
To: Lieutenant Governor Sean Parnell (GOV sponsored)
Subject: + Lieutenant Governor Parnell email +

A person has sent the Lt. Governor an email message. Below is the information submitted

**** Begin Submission ****

Firstname: Susan
Lastname: Denison
City: Anchorage
Email: susan@hrumbrella.com
Topic: Workforce Development/Training
Message: Please see my letter of resignation from AWIB. Thank you

March 24, 2008

Commissioner, DOL, Click Bishop

Chairman, AWIB, Andy Baker

Department of Labor & Workforce Development

1016 W. 6th Ave, Ste 105

Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear Click and Andy:

With great regret I have made the decision to resign my position on the Alaska Workforce Investment Board (AWIB), representing Private Sector.

This was not by any means, a decision taken lightly. The changes by Alaska State Legislators in 2007 regarding public disclosure affecting volunteers appointed to seats on Boards and Commissions has a negative outcome to those who choose to volunteer their time. As business owner / partner of multiple Human Resource Consulting companies it would not be a prudent business decision to release information regarding our clients as required in the 2008 12 page financial disclosure by the APOC. This was not a decision regarding personal finances as it concerns our business partners and clients. We sign confidentiality statements with our clients, who may or may not choose to divulge the nature of our sometimes very sensitive work.

My family and business partners have taken extra precautionary measures to protect us against identity theft. Knowing this information will soon be available to every "Google" aficionado is disconcerting to say the least and will disclose proprietary information.

I would like to thank Governor Palin, Commissioner Click Bishop and all of those concerned for extending my appointment to the AWIB and regret that, in good conscience; I cannot serve out my term. These are very exciting times for the AWIB with the current Administration lending strong support for the advancement of a qualified trained workforce in Alaska. I will continue to work for the same goals by retaining a volunteer position on the AVTEC Advisory Board.

Sincerely,

Susan G. Denison, Partner

The Human Resource Umbrella, LLC

susan@hrumbrella.com

Action:

Send Email

**** End Submission ****

Unknown

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE
GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 11:38 AM
To: Sarah Palin
Subject: Phone Message - Mon., 03.24.08

Governor - Ainslie Phillips just called from Rositas Boutique (ANC). She was sorry that she missed you. Please call her on her cell to set up a time that you will be back.

244-8584 (cell) - best number to reach her at.

274-7143 (store)

Thanks, Janice

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 1:03 PM
To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com; Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Cc: Bailey; Frank T (GOV)
Subject: RE: + Lieutenant Governor Parnell email +

Here's another one resigning from a board due to the requirement of disclosing competitive/proprietary information under new ethics law. Just wanted you to be aware. (Not sure she knows she's still required to file for 2007 under the law, but I've been hearing more of this kind of response. She does thank you, Governor, for giving her the opportunity to serve.) No response necessary at this point to my email, just a heads up.

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Denison [mailto:susan@hrumbrella.com]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 1:50 PM
To: Lieutenant Governor Sean Parnell (GOV sponsored)
Subject: + Lieutenant Governor Parnell email +

A person has sent the Lt. Governor an email message. Below is the information submitted

**** Begin Submission ****

Firstname: Susan
Lastname: Denison
City: Anchorage
Email: susan@hrumbrella.com
Topic: Workforce Development/Training
Message: Please see my letter of resignation from AWIB. Thank you
March 24, 2008
Commissioner, DOL, Click Bishop
Chairman, AWIB, Andy Baker
Department of Labor & Workforce Development
1016 W. 6th Ave, Ste 105
Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear Click and Andy:

With great regret I have made the decision to resign my position on the Alaska Workforce Investment Board (AWIB), representing Private Sector.

This was not by any means, a decision taken lightly. The changes by Alaska State Legislators in 2007 regarding public disclosure affecting volunteers appointed to seats on Boards and Commissions has a negative outcome to those who choose to volunteer their time. As business owner / partner of multiple Human Resource Consulting companies it would not be a prudent business decision to release information regarding our clients as required in the 2008 12 page financial disclosure by the APOC. This was not a decision regarding personal finances as it concerns our business partners and clients. We sign confidentiality statements with our clients, who may or may not choose to divulge the nature of our sometimes very sensitive work.

My family and business partners have taken extra precautionary measures to protect us against identity theft. Knowing this information will soon be available to every "Google" aficionado is disconcerting to say the least and will disclose proprietary information.

I would like to thank Governor Palin, Commissioner Click Bishop and all of those concerned for extending my appointment to the AWIB and regret that, in good conscience; I cannot serve out my term. These are very exciting times for the AWIB with the current Administration lending strong support for the advancement of a qualified trained workforce in Alaska. I will continue to work for the same goals by retaining a volunteer position on the AVTEC Advisory Board.

Sincerely,

Susan G. Denison, Partner

The Human Resource Umbrella, LLC

susan@hrumbrella.com

Action:

Send Email

**** End Submission ****

Unknown

From: Myrna Brown [mbrown@ccthita.org]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 3:08 PM
To: nicole.hallingstad@sealaska.com; Ella Bennett; Thea Brown; kate.kokotovich@sealaska.com; gary.droubay@goldbelt.com; angel.culp@goldbelt.com; norman.flood@goldbelt.com; Kathy Miller; peter@kootznoowoo.com; amalia.monreal@searhc.org; Andrew Ebona; Alfred McKinley, Sr.; Donnelly; Bernice M (EED); bobloescher@gci.net; Brad Fluetsch; bethel@gci.net; Connie Munro; Chris McNeil; carolee.martin@searhc.org; dcadiente@gci.net; Brown; Darrell (HAL); Don Bremner; Corpuz; Elena (DOA); Ethel Lund; Gomez; Shelly (HSS); gastineau_eagles@jsd.k12.ak.us; Ishmael Hope; jfjl; Judy Mason; Kolvig; Keitha J (ACS); Maureen Brown; Michele Metz; Miller; Mary E (DOL); makookesh@hotmail.com; memartin@gci.net; marietta.hopkins@sealaska.com; Norman Sarabla; Percy Martin; pexendine@gci.net; Jackson; Patsy A; Ronald Williams; Ruaro; Randall P (GOV); Renee Culp; Sue Ann Lindoff; Palin; Sarah H (GOV); Vicki Soboleff; Diane Carrier
Subject: Please let others know...

The Alaska Native Brotherhood Camp 2 and Central Council of Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska proudly announces the next Native Issues Forum scheduled for this coming Wednesday, March 26th featuring Peggy Cowan, Superintendent of Juneau Schools and Native Education Committee member and Elder Selina Everson. We've contacted our legislators and staff encouraging their representation to this important educational forum. Please share with others.

Thank you so much; have a great day!

8/26/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013971

Unknown

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE
GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 11:38 AM
To: Sarah Palin
Subject: Phone Message - Mon., 03.24.08

Governor - Ainslie Phillips just called from Rositas Boutique (ANC). She was sorry that she missed you. Please call her on her cell to set up a time that you will be back.

244-8584 (cell) - best number to reach her at.

274-7143 (store)

Thanks, Janice

Unknown

From: McBride, Rhonda (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RMFAUBION]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 4:06 PM
To: 'Sarah Palin'
Subject: RE: Update on Senator Dyson's Native Commission Proposal

Thanks. I will get you a copy of Harold Napoleon's book right away. I think Senator Dyson is feeling his age here. He reminds me of some other people in his age bracket who get grumpy and impatient, because they know the clock is running out – and they're passionate about getting something done. Fred has raised so many foster children of alcoholic parents. His heart is in the right place – and hopefully Randy Ruaro and I can sit down with him soon – and talk about what the state can and can't do to make his vision a reality. He's in a big hurry to get started right away. But we need to make him understand that his vision would be better served by some good planning – and the right timing – which would be at AFN.

I think it would help greatly if you could visit with Dr. Walter Soboleff. This would do a lot to reassure Senator Dyson of your commitment.

In the meantime, I'll get Harold's book out to you in the mail.

Rhonda

From: Sarah Palin [mailto:gov.sarah@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 2:53 PM
To: McBride, Rhonda (GOV)
Cc: Kelly, Russell T (GOV); Tibbles, Michael A (GOV); Nizich, Michael A (GOV); Jollie, Tara L (CED); Perry, Kristina Y (GOV); Bailey, Frank T (GOV); fek9wnr@yahoo.com; [Privileged or Person]
Subject: Re: Update on Senator Dyson's Native Commission Proposal

Excellent update on this, thanks Rhonda. May I get a copy of that book? And it's unfortunate that Dyson's been so hostile towards us about this idea since he first approached us with it last year, I said it sounded great & asked him to work with our Leg Liason (who's not on the 3rd floor anymore) and let me know what I could do to help... he never got back with us until he wrote a pretty scathing letter re: why we hadn't followed through on his request to set this up. He understands our time constraints we're always under, but I understand his passion for this, and I share it - just wish he could help with more of the logistics of setting everything up, as he says he's been desiring this and working for it for some years. Please continue to work with him on this commission or committee, hopefully he'll take some ownership of this and work with like-minded legislators and members of the public to assist us in setting this up.

"McBride, Rhonda (GOV)" <rhonda.mcbride@alaska.gov> wrote:

DRAFT:

NATIVE COMMISSION PROPOSAL

March 21, 2008

BACKGROUND

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013973

Senator Fred Dyson met with the Governor in early 2007 to discuss reconvening the Alaska Natives Commission – a joint Federal-State body, created by Congress in 1990, to review policies and programs that affect Alaska Natives.

Senator Dyson says two men inspired him to take action:

- Dr. Walter Soboleff, a Tlingit elder who will turn 100 years old this November.
- Harold Napoleon, author of "Yuuyaraq: Way of the Human Being." Napoleon wrote this book while serving time in prison for battering his son to death in an alcoholic blackout. The book gives a historical perspective on why Alaska Natives abuse alcohol.

THE VISION. Walter Soboleff and Harold Napoleon want a state task force comprised of Native elders:

- to review the Commission's report
- to assess the status of Alaska Natives since the report came out in 1994.
- to find out how much progress has been made on the report's recommendations.

Senator Dyson says there's a spiritual aspect to this as well -- that Soboleff and Napoleon believe God directed them to him, to help make their vision a reality.

Some of the goals Dyson describes:

- to "redirect Alaska Native attention to spiritual values and transformation"
- to bring together "a group of godly men and women from Alaska's Native peoples to meet and study the many Native problems and identify solutions."

Originally, Dyson considered introducing legislation to resurrect the "Alaska Native Commission," but said it would be difficult to restrict members of the panel to "people with deep spiritual conviction."

Dyson now believes it would be easier for the Governor to appoint such a panel by executive order.

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted



8/25/2009

CURRENT STATUS

This issue was brought to my attention in mid-February. I've met with the key players.

- 2/26/08. Met with Harold Napoleon
- 2/27/08. Met with Fred Dyson.
- 2/29/08. Met with Fred Dyson & Dr. Walter Soboleff

HAROLD NAPOLEON MEETING

This meeting was quite emotional. I've known Harold Napoleon for many years, but he's never spoken so intimately of the day his son died – the day his spiritual journey began.

At the time, Napoleon was head of the Association of Village Council Presidents, one of Southwest Alaska's most powerful leaders.

But alcohol brought an end to all that. Napoleon described the confusion when he awoke in a strange place after a night of heavy drinking -- how Trooper Jerry Hooper gently told him what he had done, even took him outside to get some air. Napoleon said time literally stopped in the room where he was held -- that it seemed like hours had gone by. But when he looked at the clock, only five minutes had passed.

And from that day in 1984 forward, time would keep dragging on. Napoleon spent nine years in prison. But out of this time of darkness came an important book -- that sheds new light on old problems.

While in prison, Napoleon had time to talk with other Native inmates -- and found they had so much in common. These discussions sparked a desire to learn the root causes of Native alcoholism. In his book, "Yuuyaraq (you-yuh-hawk) : The Way of the Human Being," he discounted the role of genetics -- and said the primary cause of alcoholism is spiritual not physical.

Governor Walter Hickel was among those deeply moved by the book. He later tapped Napoleon as an advisor in efforts to bring about a change in the Native condition.

Napoleon now believes genetics it plays a greater role than he once thought, but he still believes the central issue is inter-generational trauma. Today he talks about this trauma passes from generation to generation through child abuse.

To break this chain of death and self-destruction, Napoleon says Alaska Natives must return to the source of cultural breakdown -- the "Great Death," a series of epidemics which struck Native communities from the 1770's to the 1940's, which wiped out the "old culture."

Napoleon says Alaska Natives do not realize that Native culture today is very different from what existed before the "Great Death."

Napoleon believes a Native Commission could make a big difference, by helping Natives understand their past -- a process that will take open and honest communication.

8/25/2009

DR. WALTER SOBOLEFF MEETING

This meeting was also quite powerful. How often do you get to sit down with a 99-year-old man, who is so clear in mind and heart -- so humble, yet so great?

Aside from reviewing the Alaska Native Commission report, Walter Soboleff would like to use the commission as a vehicle to reconnect Alaska Natives to their "old culture" -- which was governed by an elaborate set of rules to promote good behavior.

"Good behavior is prevention," Walter said. "We have the best of doctors, clinics, everything, but now we need to let people know they need to behave themselves."

Soboleff says the commission needs to focus on "delinquent parents," because "parental delinquency is contagious."

Some of the other concepts he would like the commission to promote among Alaska Natives.

- The road of progress is under construction and you are one of the workers.
- Every village, every community is a teacher. And a community teaches us well. We must ask: what kind of lessons are Alaska Native communities teaching today?
- All cultures have family values, cultural commandments. Take the best of each culture. Take the best of both worlds.
- Share codes of conduct and protocol from different Native Cultures.
- Native people need to speak out about bad behavior. We act because we care. If we don't speak -- and don't act, it means we don't care.
- Good people need to support the helpless, but sometimes good people are too busy.

FEEDBACK FROM OTHER ALASKA NATIVE LEADERS

- Most like the idea of a Native Commission to explore Native issues and give feedback to the Governor. They point out that other states with much smaller Native populations than Alaska have departments set-up to deal with tribal groups. They would like to see something similar and see the commission as a good start.
- Senator Dyson gave us a list of people to tap for the commission. All were Native religious leaders. Almost all of the Native leaders I spoke with think this panel should have broader representation.
- A number of leaders say they would like to see a mix of elders and young leaders on the panel. They believe this would energize and inspire a growing number of talented young Natives.
- Some wanted to see subsistence included. Others felt that this would be too divisive -- that nothing would result and the commission would lose credibility.

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013976

- Some say the scope of this task force should be limited – that if it was asked to do too many things, it would fail -- that it would be better for the Governor to give this board one assignment with a short time frame. Upon completion, the Governor and the group would decide whether the board should live on. If so, they would then decide what the next task would be.
- Others thought the group should serve as an advisory board to the Rural Advisor, who would pass on their input on current issues to the governor.


RECOMMENDATIONS

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

8/25/2009

PRA_GSP01_0013977

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted



Rhonda McBride
Rural Affairs Advisor
Office of the Governor
Atwood Building, Suite 1700
550 West 7th Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Tel: (907) 269-7451
E-Mail: Rhonda.McBride@alaska.gov

8/25/2009

Unknown

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JLMASON]
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 10:25 AM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: RE: Rural/Native

I have emailed Mr. Gillespie saying that we are able to send photo (I have some down here). I will wait until he replies back confirming his mailing address. Thanks, Janice

-----Original Message-----

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2008 10:18 AM
To: Mason, Janice L (GOV)
Subject: RE: Rural/Native

Janice,

We usually send pictures, do you want me to bring a unsigned picture for her to sign for him??

Donna

-----Original Message-----

From: Mason, Janice L (GOV)
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 4:05 PM
To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Subject: RE: Rural/Native

Well - I know the Governor Signs things for whoever asks - is there something in particular that he is asking for her to sign. I have not heard from him before - we always sign whatever they are asking...

-----Original Message-----

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 3:45 PM
To: Mason, Janice L (GOV)
Subject: FW: Rural/Native

Janice, what do you think? Should I write him back and say no, or do you think the Governor would be willing to sign something for him?

-----Original Message-----

From: WebMail@gov.state.ak.us [mailto:WebMail@gov.state.ak.us]

Sent: Friday, March 21, 2008 8:05 AM

To: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)

Subject: Rural/Native

Web mail from: Mr. Jim Gillespie

address: Box 481838 Charlotte NC 28269

MESSAGE:

Jim Gillespie

P.O. Box 481838

Charlotte, NC 28269-5316

To Whom It May Concern,

Hello. Can you tell me if the Governor signs authentic autographs through the mail? I've written several times through the mail and then tried email. I've either received no reply or a pre-printed autograph. Now I live in North Carolina and wondered if a NC address would have less chance of receiving a reply. Should I try writing again? If so, please let me know. If genuine autographs aren't provided through the mail, I didn't want to keep wasting letters and stamps. Thanks for your time and your assistance. Keep up the good work. I hope to get back to my home state for a visit this year. Take care.

Regards,

Jim Gillespie

jag11@earthlink.net